Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

Canital

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|---|---|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System Appellate Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Ch. 10, FSSLA 2005 (SB 130) Workers' | FisNot | 219.0 | 193.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Compensation/ Insurance 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 219.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public Employee Salary and Benefit | FisNot | 142.6 | 142.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 142.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Expand Court's Salary Schedule to Include "G" Step for Range 14 and below Under AS 22.20.037(c), the court system is required to con employees receive salaries that are consistent with salaries employees in the executive branch. In the early 1990's, the employees in the executive branch received for the cost of employees voted in a union. The union has now been deceived the court's salaries for parity with the executive branch. The most common compensation concern raised by court eincreases upon reaching "F" step. For FY01, the largest union concern raised the court is salaries for parity with the executive branch. | s paid to the e court syste living increa ertified, but employees in ion in the e | e classified and pa em did not receive ase and the follow the court has been is the discontinuate executive branch - | rtially exempt sta the 3.3% raise the ing year the court n vigilant about re ion of annual men the General Gov | te hat t eviewing rit ernment | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit - negotiated a revised salary schedule that added a "G This new step is calculated at "F" step + 3.75%. Inserting a an opportunity to progress through another salary step prio step will also place the court system on an even keel with the 22.20.037(c). | a "G" step a r to reachin | llows long-term, k g the first longevit | nowledgeable en y step. Inserting | nployees a "G" | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 32.5 FY2008 AMD: Reduction in FY08 JRS rate from 75% to 56.98% The Court System's retirement rate for FY08 will be 56.98% Governor's budget. This decrement reflects the savings for 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -233.5 | | | | 0.0 led in the | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2009 Additional Costs Related to the Supreme Court Justice Appointment in Fairbanks | Inc | 75.0 | 73.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Since the retirement of Justice Jay Rabinowitz more than 10 years ago, there has not been a sitting supreme court justice in Fairbanks. In January 2008 Justice Daniel Winfree began serving in Fairbanks as a supreme court justice taking the place of retired Justice Alexander Bryner. The employees that supported retired Justice Bryner were Anchorage-based positions. Unlike Fairbanks, Anchorage does not have a geographic differential added to the base salary amounts paid employees. The court system is seeking additional funding to cover the increased personal services expenses for appellate court staff that will now be serving in Fairbanks. This annual cost will be \$33,400.

The justices from Juneau and Fairbanks require administrative assistance while they are working in Anchorage. In the past, supporting the Juneau justice was accomplished through a coordinated effort and distribution of the additional work among the clerical staff supporting the Anchorage-based justices. With the appointment of a Fairbanks-based justice, the need for extra administrative support required has now doubled, although the number of Anchorage-based judicial assistants has been reduced by one. When a justice is not in his or her home chambers, that justice cannot produce work without the assistance of local staff. This includes circulating new draft opinions, circulating voting memos, and proofreading opinions for publication. Additionally, with the

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type E | Total xpenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services Co | ommodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Appellate Courts (continued) FY2009 Additional Costs Related to the Supreme Court Justice Appointment in Fairbanks (continued) appointment of the Fairbanks justice, the Fairbanks court w Acceptance of fillings at a court location outside of Anchora review and processing. This will not change with the new of assistance available in Anchorage will be essential to a sm \$73,000. | ge will require case managem | the documents nent system. H | be sent to Ancho aving additional lo | ocal | | | | | | | | |
| Finally, the court system is seeking additional funding for the to Anchorage for supreme court oral arguments, court confunditional travel is estimated to be \$13,500. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 75.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Workstations, Office Equipment and Replacement Furniture | Inc | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The appellate courts have 55 permanent, full-time employed appropriation of only \$9,200 for equipment and furniture - at the appellate courts are unable to purchase needed replace workstations and replacement furniture. Experts recommend four-year replacement cycle for printers. The court plans to beyond the recommended guidelines, but requires a sustain This request is for \$92,800 to replace aging computer system furniture. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 | an average of \$ ement compute nd a three-yea keep its equip ned funding so ems and an ad | \$167/position. L er equipment a r replacement of ment in place to purce to facilitat ditional \$25,00 | Due to the limited and ergonomically cycle for PCs and or an additional yet this cycled appropersions of the workstations. | funding, correct la ear roach. s and | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Travel for Fairbanks Based Supreme Court Justice The court system is seeking additional funding for the trave regularly travel to Anchorage for supreme court oral argum FY09, the court system received \$2,000 to partially fund the 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 11.5 | ents, court con | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2010 Elimination of Vacancy Savings Requirement for Judicial Positions | Inc | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| An agency the size of the court system falls within the OME budget within a 4% - 7% vacancy factor. The court system which is achieved by holding vacated positions open for a 3 to prevent increased numbers of backlogged caseloads, the much advanced notice as possible to permit an adequate a processes to occur prior to the judicial officer leaving his or judicial positions, the court system will require additional ful appellate and trial courts. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 | has been man 30-day period. e court system amount of time her position. T nds within the | aging with a 69 Because of ba has asked its for the selection o achieve the personal service | 6 - 7% vacancy facklogged caseloa retiring judges to un and appointment goal of 0% vacances budgets of the | actor, ds and give as nt cy of | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Judicial Retirement System Savings Due to a Decrease in the Employer Rate from 57.7% to 26.2% Cost savings due to the decrease in the employer rate from | Dec n 57.7% to 26. 2 | -425 . 5 | -425.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The contribution rate is set by the ARM board each year . The FY09 contribution rate was set at 57.7% (26.01 %

Numbers and Language

| | | Trans | Total | Personal | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|---------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|
| ka Caust Suatam (aantimua | n. | Type | <u>Expenditure</u> | Services _ | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT_ | PPT | TMP |
| ka Court System (continued ppellate Courts (continued) | 1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Judicial Retirement Syste | m Savings | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due to a Decrease in the Employe | r Rate from | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57.7% to 26.2% (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9% Past Service Cost rate). In s contribution to the unfunded la | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY10 (to 26.2% which is o | | авшу епави | eu ine JRS laies | to drop signilical | illy iOi | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -425.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EVOCAL Travel for horses December | Objet heating | Tuna | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Travel for Juneau-Based | Uniet Justice In of the supreme court results in | Inc additional to | | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| | ief justice. With this position co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and official events, many of whi | | | | , | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 9.4 | | · · | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Elimination of Vacancy Sa | vings Requirement for | Inc | 75.2 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Judicial Positions | t avadama falla voidhin OMD ataffin | | f | | - 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | t system falls within OMB staffir factor. The court system has be | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a 30-day period. To prevent fu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | tice to permit selection and app | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| positions. To attain 0% va | cancy of judicial positions, the c | ourt system | needs to increas | e personal servic | es | | | | | | | | |
| | nd trial courts. Towards this effo | ort, the appe | llate courts receiv | red \$50,000 in F | Y10. | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 75.2 | | F0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | F0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Workstations, Office Equiprocessing Furniture | oment, and Replacement | Inc0TI | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 56 permanent, full-time employ | ees Prior to | FY10 the annel | late courts receiv | ed an | | | | | | | | |
| | tion of \$9,200 for equipment an | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | l an additional \$117,800 per ye | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ture approved an additional \$50 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | ne appellate courts have an on- | going source | of funding to rep | olace outdated eq | juipment | | | | | | | | |
| and worn-out furnishings. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 50.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Ch. 56, SLA 2010 (HB 42 | | FisNot | 114.8 | 114.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employees Salary Increase | 1)112011101100000100 | 1 151100 | 11110 | 111.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ů | O | · · |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 114.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Y2012 Geographic Differential fo | r Non- Iudicial Employees | IncM | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | received funding to increase th | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| | union employees working in th | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | g in FY12, those union employe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| salaries. Those changes w | ere approved by the legislature | last session | when it ratified th | ne collective barg | aining | | | | | | | | |
| agreements. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This increment reflects the | activity at the second of a standing | . the EV10 | .ion woowent-! | liffarantiala ir | basad | | | | | | | | |
| | court system's cost of adopting favorably impacted by a change | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | working in locations negatively | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amount count omployood | | ,Jaciou by | J | gp ao/ 0//til | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc | PFT | PPT | <u>TMP</u> |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|------|-----|-----|------------|
| Alaska Court System (continued Appellate Courts (continued) FY2012 Geographic Differential for Employees (continued) | Non-Judicial | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | schedules. As these employees of fuced geographic differential. 6.3 | are replace | d, newly hired sta | iff will be placed o | on the | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Geographic Differential for 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | Non-Judicial Employees 9.8 | Inc | 9.8 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2014 Judicial Retirement Syster to 40.1% | n Rate Decrease from 40.3% | Dec | -3.1 | -3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -3.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Judicial Retirement Syster 39.79% | n Decrease from 40.1% to | Dec | -4.9 | -4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -4.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be compatible with updated technology requires the cout the past, the court system is systems. To ensure a plant must be incorporated into the three years for personal concepts and the part of the funding source to facilitate the last year the court system appropriation of \$75,000. The life-cycle approach for replations: \$25,000 in Appellation 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | agement system requires the coll software versions and licensing art system to continually evaluate has received sporadic grant and end, life-cycle approach to replace ne court system's base operating mputers (PCs) and servers, and or an additional year beyond the this cycled approach. In its fundirequested an additional \$175,00 he additional \$100,000 will secunate the systems of these critical systems te; \$25,000 in Administration; and \$25.00 | requirement and improceptial function of the budget. Effour years for recommen and base, the D for equipping a base furth of the budget. Funding furth of \$50,000 | nts. Rapid advantive its base of tecling to replace equipments recomment for printers. The coded guidelines, but the court system have the court system have the court system for this increment in the Trial Courts. | cements in comp hnological equipm uipment and oper ustained source of dreplacement cy ourt system curre ut requires a sust as received \$500, and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. | uter nent. In ating f funding vcles of ently ained 000. anned as | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reverse Request fo Computer Systems 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | r Life-Cycle Replacement of | Dec | -25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributate Closures) | | Dec | -107.2 | -107.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) FY2016 Additional Personal Servic Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -107.2 res Reduction Attributable to -46.6 | Dec | -46.6 | -46.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | | Dec | -75.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 | 0.0 | -50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated wit Reduced Work Week Schedule | h LWOP, Attrition, and | Dec | -30.1 | -27.1 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Appellate Courts (continued) FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and Reduced Work Week Schedule (continued) In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 funding authorized budget. Within the appellate courts, the funding the achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -30.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2018 Budget Reduction | Dec | -35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Excluding the base adjustment for increased healthcare cos general fund budget request is \$3,671,800 less than the FY. funding for supplies will be reduced by \$35,000. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -35.0 | ts, the Alas | ka Court System' | s FY2018 unrestr | ricted | 0.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | O | Ü |
| * Allocation Total * | | -114.8 | -100.0 | -5.1 | 0.0 | -9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Trial Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Balance of Funding Required for Positions Approved in FY05 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 130.7 | Inc | 130.7 | 284.5 | 0.0 | -153.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Experience Pay for Deputy Clerk Positions 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 227.3 | Inc | 227.3 | 227.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Increased utility costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 85.0 | Inc | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Add Drug/DUI Case Manager 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 68.3 | Inc | 68.3 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Life Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 125.0 | Inc | 125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Increased Lease Expenses in Bethel and Unalaska (plus \$27.5) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 169.5 | Inc | 169.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 169.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 2 customer service clerk positions 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 103.0 | Inc | 103.0 | 103.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 AMD: Upgrade Bethel Magistrate position to District | Inc | 33.2 | 33.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Judge The court system is requesting a budget amendment to upg District Court Judge. The court case volume, case composis are increasing as the population continues to increase in the cases filled in FY04, 64% were misdemeanors. District cour of business; whereas, magistrates can only handle misdeme defendant refuses to consent, the matter must be transferre judge from Fairbanks. Additionally, although not recommen permitted to serve as a superior court judge pro tempore. Of superior court matters helps to ensure the court is meeting i reducing the risk of losing cases that are not tried in a timely 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 33.2 FY2006 Staff Development and Systems' Training | tion, and trace Bethel cell to judges he eanor cases do to a Bethed ded for a second to speedy to speedy to speedy to speedy to speedy to the | ial demands in Be nsus area. Of the ar misdemeanor o s if the defendant el superior court ju ustained caseload use of the district rial requirements | thel are significant about the large state of the consents. Currently and the consents is a district court judge or to a district court judge to court judge to cooin criminal cases, | nt and ourt e course ntly, if a ict court udge is | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---|---|--|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Staff Development and Systems' | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 70.0 | Too | 105 1 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 00.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| FY2006 Mental Health Wellness Courts | Inc | 105.1 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 86.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 1 |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 105.1 | Too | 100.2 | 51.3 | 5.9 | 39.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| FY2006 Adult Guardianship and Caregiver Mediation Pilot | Inc | 100.3 | 51.3 | 5.9 | 39.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 1 |
| Project 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 100.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Too | 750.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 750.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Federal Grant Funding Increase | Inc | 750.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | /50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) 750.0 | Too | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Other Grant Funding Increase | Inc | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| 1108 Stat Desig (Other) 25.0 | Too | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 AMD: Increased Authority for Statutory Designated | Inc | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| Program Receipts | f O(-1:-(- | D i t t D | Di-t- (C | 2000) | | | | | | | | |
| The court system initially requested that its receipt authority | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be increased by \$25,000 for FY06. The court system has sit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| receipts will likely exceed the FY06 amount currently reques | tea. The C | ouri system is me | refore requesting | anomer | | | | | | | | |
| \$50,000 be added to its SDPR authority. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1108 Stat Desig (Other) 50.0 FY2006 Ch. 64, SLA 2005 (HB 53) Children in Need of Aid | FisNot | 94.9 | 83.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| /Adoption/Guardianship | 1 151100 | 34.3 | 03.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 4 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 94.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public Employee | FisNot | 1,314.7 | 1,314.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salary and Benefit | 1 151100 | 1,314.7 | 1,314./ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,314.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (OGF) 1,314.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Increased Utility Costs, Service Contracts Costs and Palmer Expansion | Inc | 120.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Due to increased fuel costs, utility rates are projected to increduce consumption and improve building energy efficiencie savings to offset the soaring costs. The court estimates it we charges for the trial courts throughout the state. Additionally services have advised the court that due to increasing fuel or court. The amount needed to cover the increase in FYO7 is the additional utility and service contracts costs must be abs from on-going maintenance activities to cover the cost of incactivities to be deferred. The court already faces the challer in prior years. | s have bee ill need an a g, service co osts, they a projected to orbed withi reased utili | on successful, but additional \$119,00 ontractors for sno are forced to incre to be \$91,400. With the maintenancities will cause the | do not generate e 00 to address utili w removal and jai ase amounts cha thout increased fo e budget. Diverti ase critical mainte | enough ity nitorial arged the unding, ing funds anance | | | | | | | | |
| The Palmer court expansion project schedule anticipates the Based on the court's cost per square foot to operate the curr an additional \$30,000 to cover six months' of operating expewill be requested in FY08 to cover the other six months' of operating expewill be requested in FY08 to cover the other six months' of operating the state of the | ent building | g over the last two expanded area in | years, the court | requires | 13.7 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | -1 | 0 |
| increased case loads in second, third and fourth districts. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

Trans Total Personal Capital Type Expenditure Services Travel Services Commodities Outlay Grants Misc PFT PPT TM

Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued)

FY2007 New/Upgrade Positions to Improve Services due to increased case loads in second, third and fourth districts. (continued) Second District

The second district requests \$256,700 for three permanent full-time positions. Each position is described below.

Nome trial courts requests \$95,000 for a permanent full-time rural court training assistant. The second district is currently the only district without a rural court trainer position. Although the second district has fewer clerical staff than the other districts, it has proportionally higher turnover in clerical positions. This proportionally higher turnover requires training to be conducted with greater frequency. This position will provide administrative, technical, and clerical assistance to rural courts in program areas such as case processing, fiscal procedures, personnel management, customer service, and general court management. The position will travel regularly to rural courts to assess compliance with court system rules, policies and procedures and will serve as rural clerk of court or deputy magistrate during clerical vacancies or extended staff absences.

The Kotzebue and Barrow superior courts are clerically staffed with one clerk of court and four deputy clerks. Due to the minimal number of staff within these courts, adequate staffing is not always available to address both the in-court and "front counter" customer service needs of these courts. These two courts are also plagued with excessive vacancies and high turnover rates. These conditions further negatively impact the staffing issues within the second district courts. Kotzebue and Barrow courts are each seeking an additional permanent full-time deputy court clerk. This clerk position will manage telephone communications, jury coordination and walk-in customers, who are there to make payments, file cases, obtain forms and ask a myriad of questions. Customer service is severely impacted by the limited number of staffing within the clerk's office. Following is court-specific case filing information that has impacted the operations of these second district courts:

Kotzebue trial court's superior court case filings are up 38% and district court case filings are up 29% from FY04 to FY05. This position and related expenses will cost approximately \$76,500.

Barrow trial court's superior court felony case filings are up 53% from FY04 to FY05. Case filings increased by 26% for children's matters and by 32% for domestic relations from FY04 to FY05. This position and related expenses will cost approximately \$85,200.

Third District

The third district requests \$488,500 for eight permanent, full-time positions. Each position is described below.

Dillingham superior court, which is the only superior court that does not have a law clerk, requests a permanent full-time law clerk. Early in this court's history, case loads were small in comparison with other superior court sites and it was decided that a law clerk was not required. This is no longer the case for this court, which in addition to its increased caseload is regularly called upon to cover superior court cases in Unalaska and Naknek. Case fillings totals in FY05 are 42% greater than in FY97 when the Dillingham superior court judicial position was created. Population for this area has increased 22.7% from 1990 to 2000. Criminal case fillings for this court are up 22%, childrens matters' filings are up 61% and domestic relations case fillings are up 22% comparing FY05 case fillings against FY04 case fillings. This position and related expenses is estimated to cost \$71,900.

Numbers and Language

| | Trans | Total | Personal | T1 | Camulana | C | Capital | Coonto | Winn | DET | DDT | TMD |
|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | Туре | Expenditure | Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | Commodities | Outlay | Grants | <u>Misc</u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | TMP |
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2007 New/Upgrade Positions to Improve | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Services due to increased case loads in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| second, third and fourth districts. (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palmer trial courts requests three permanent, full-time court | clerks Ti | he caseload for th | e Palmer court ha | s | | | | | | | | |
| steadily increased. This increase is in line with burgeoning p | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1990 census data against the 2000 census data, the Boroug | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the entire state was only 14% during this same period. From | n April 200 | 00 to July 2004, th | e Mat-su Borough | ı's | | | | | | | | |
| population grew by 21.8% compared with the rest of the state | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| load increases are known to be commensurate with populati | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Case filings are up 62% from FY00 to FY05. Additional reso | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| steady, case load increases, which are up another 19% compositions will cost approximately \$172,200. | paring FY | 704 and FY05 cas | e tilings totals. Th | ese | | | | | | | | |
| positions will cost approximately \$172,200. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anchorage trial courts request four permanent full-time cour | clerk pos | itions. The Munic | ipality of Anchora | ae is | | | | | | | | |
| planning to add additional policing resources during calenda | | | | 90.0 | | | | | | | | |
| approximately 5% additional officers over those currently se | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| estimates that the Anchorage court will require four additional | al clerical | positions to proce | ss increased case | filings | | | | | | | | |
| as a result of the additional policing resource. The estimate | d cost of t | hese positions is \$ | \$244,400. | | | | | | | | | |
| Fourth District | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The fourth district requests \$28,700 to upgrade a permanen full-time position. | t part-time | deputy clerk posi | tion to a permane | nt | | | | | | | | |
| The Chevak court managed 29% more non-traffic case filing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| with a magistrate and a half-time court clerk. Minimal staffin | • | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| greater than recommended will continue to create inefficient increase the part-time position to full-time. | ies in this | court's operations | s. Funaing reques | stea wiii | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 386.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Bethel Court Security Services | Inc | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The court system recognizes the need to provide security so | | | | | 70.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| state. Because adequate funding to support this need is no | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| security screening services as funding is identified. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening services are available in Anchorage, Palmer, Ken | ai. Juneaı | u and Fairbanks c | ourts. The Bethel | court is | | | | | | | | |
| the next location at which the court desires to implement sec | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court locations in the state and serves as the hub for the cor | nmunities | in the Yukon-Kus | kokwim Delta. Re | gionally | | | | | | | | |
| high felony rates and high domestic violence rates require the | | | screening to insu | re the | | | | | | | | |
| on-going safety of the public, jurors, witnesses, victims and | court staff. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 75.0 | | 161 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2007 Increased Lease Expenses | Inc | 161.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly confi public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for be | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | • | J , | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the courts budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tranan, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases Inc 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| FY2007 Increased Lease Expenses (continued) The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market remait rates for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the losse funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. Nenana is an approved felory titial site, which the fourth district regular flowers are property in the court's budget for the Tok facility. Nenana is an approved felory titial site, which the fourth district regular flowers are not residently into the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site pury selection, there are not on-site prisonen holding cells, the jury room is very compend, and there is only one restroom for staff, it pid participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursed during this breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased feased space. 1004 Cen Fund (UGF) 161.5 FY2007 CC: Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nenana Dec 40.0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed for supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. Nexana is an approved followy trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Vision. Gellens, Transm. and Healy). The space on on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial practigans, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jury, selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial practigans, and the public. Inadequate space on separate jury, selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial practigans, and the public. Inadequate space or separate jury, selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial practigans, and the public. Inadequate space or separate jury. **Total present Homer courthous be considered from many court proceedings. There is limited public walking space outside the courtroom and no space for private confiderances. Crowding in the celerical work areas leads select to subsect conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom the accommodate a visible jury judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and certality lacks a second courtroom the accommodate a visible judge, which is second conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom the lease for private confiderances. Crowding in the celerical work areas leaded on supplement the lease for uniform celes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. Nonama is an approved felory trial sits, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases organizating from rural venues in Interior Alaska for a Fort Valon. Galera, Tanama, and Healy). The space and design finitiations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no no-site prisoner holding cells, the jury rooms is very compand, and there is only one restroom for staff, it painticipants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate juros, the defendant, and coursed funding risib brakes creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 FY2007 CC; Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nennan Dec 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| on the courts analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lasses funding currently available within the courts budget for the Tok facility. Nenana is an approved felory trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very camped, and there is only one restroom for staff, in its participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate furor, the development of staff, in its participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate furor, which is the property of the staff of the control of staff, in its participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate furor, or staff, in its participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate furor, or staff, into present house creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 10.1 | \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased spac | e. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nerana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a ful bor cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site fury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury comis very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, if all participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate juros, the defendant, and coursed during that breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of S44,000 with be needed for increased to the court of the country of the countr | The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in | n September (| of 2006 with no | option to extend. | Based | | | | | | | | |
| Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Aleska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursed during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 The present Homer counthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public welfing space dustile the countron and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the electrical work which is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The leyout of the existing space is overcrowed; inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the courts analysis of the lair matter ental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the courts budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Aleska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are prolimenate. The facility obes not have spaces for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very | on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for con | nmercial prope | erty in Tok, an a | dditional \$40,000 | will be | | | | | | | | |
| originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors. the defendant, and coursel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Cen Fund (UGF) 161.5 The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public washing space outside the courtroom and no space for private confirences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a second countroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The leyout of the existing space is sometime to the self and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) **Renana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venue is interior Alaska (ie. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tranana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site private holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staf | needed to supplement the lease funding currently available | e within the co | urt's budget for | the Tok facility. | | | | | | | | | |
| design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursed during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Cen Fund (UGF) 161,5 FY2007 CC: Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nenana 10c | Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth di | strict would lik | e to develop as | a hub for cases | | | | | | | | | |
| selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be useded los suplement the lease funding currently available within the courts budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hubby. The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursed undring trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increases loads cereates security concerns. Additional funding of \$54,000 will be needed for increases 1 for \$40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yu | ıkon, Galena, | Tanana, and He | ealy). The space | and | | | | | | | | |
| selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, thal participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility leaks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-sile one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. **NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market renial rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be moded to supplement the lease funding currently available within the courts budget for the Tok Tok Itality. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) **Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originaling from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Vukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-sile jury selection, there are no ornise prisonen holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, thal participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and coursed during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44 | design limitations of the existing facility, however, are prob | lematic. The f | acility does not | have space for or | n-site jury | | | | | | | | |
| counsel during tial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leases apace. 1004 Cen Fund (UGF) 161.5 FY2007 CC: Represes to Homer and Nenana Dec | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 FY2007 CC: Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nenana Dec 40.0 0.0 0.0 -40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadeq | uate space to | separate jurors | , the defendant, a | nd | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 16.1.5 FY2007 CC: Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nenana Dec •40.0 0.0 0.0 -40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Add | ditional funding | of \$44,000 will | be needed for in | creased | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 CC: Reduce Lease Expenses for Homer and Nenana Dec -40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | leased space. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The present Homer courthouse is too small and poorly configured for many court proceedings. There is limited public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsale conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no no-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 Council and the concerns of the concerns of the public of the concerns of | 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 161.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| public waiting space outside the courtroom and no space for private conferences. Crowding in the clerical work areas lends itself to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felory trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurrors, the defendant, and counsel during frial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 100 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | -40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| reas lends lisel to unsafe conditions. This facility lacks a second courtroom to accommodate a visiting judge, who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (ie. Fort Vukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staft, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| who is on-site one to two weeks a month to handle superior court matters. The layout of the existing space is overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the courts analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases Inc 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| overcrowded, inefficient and creates security concerns for both the staff and the public. Funding requested is \$\frac{\text{\$77,500}}{\text{ and will be used to pay for increased leased space.}}\$ NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 **Y2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 **P2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 **P2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 **P2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased space. NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to Supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror processe enhancement for changes to jury duty Inc0TI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: The lease under which the Tok court is operating expires in September of 2006 with no option to extend. Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site pisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 | | | and the public. | Funding requeste | ed is | | | | | | | | |
| Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate for commercial property in Tok, an additional \$40,000 will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently available within the court's budget for the Tok facility. (This amount is funded separately and not included in this transaction) Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth district would like to develop as a hub for cases originating from rural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases Inc 40.0 0.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | \$77,500 and will be used to pay for increased leased spac | e. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| originating from Tural venues in Interior Alaska (i.e. Fort Yukon, Galena, Tanana, and Healy). The space and design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty Inc0TI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | Based on the court's analysis of the fair market rental rate will be needed to supplement the lease funding currently a | for commercia vailable withir | al property in To | k, an additional \$ | 40,000 | | | | | | | | |
| design limitations of the existing facility, however, are problematic. The facility does not have space for on-site jury selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty 1nc0TI 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | Nenana is an approved felony trial site, which the fourth di | strict would lik | e to develop as | a hub for cases | | | | | | | | | |
| selection, there are no on-site prisoner holding cells, the jury room is very cramped, and there is only one restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty IncOTI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| restroom for staff, trial participants, and the public. Inadequate space to separate jurors, the defendant, and counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty Inc0TI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Additional funding of \$44,000 will be needed for increased leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases Increase | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| leased space. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 -40.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -40.0 FY2007 Tok lease cost increases | counsel during trial breaks creates security concerns. Add | ditional funding | g of \$44,000 will | be needed for in | creased | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Tok lease cost increases Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) Sethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) Se | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tok lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty Inc0TI 261.0 261 | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases 1nc 56.0 0.0 0.0 56.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | Inc | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2007 Bethel lease cost increases Inc 56.0 0.0 0.0 56.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bethel lease cost increases 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty Inc0TI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | , | - | | | | = 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 56.0 FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty IncOTI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | Inc | 56.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2007 Juror process enhancement for changes to jury duty IncOTI 261.0 0.0 0.0 261.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pay and cost of juror parking in Anchorage. | , | IncOTT | 201 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.01 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ^ | 0 | ^ |
| | | Incuil | 261.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 261.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

modifications within the court's new automated jury management system, the court plans to pay jurors a daily rate

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| ka Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Juror process enhancement for | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| changes to jury duty pay and cost of juror | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| parking in Anchorage. (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of \$25 and discontinue payments of \$12.50 for half-day ser | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| with this change in juror payment, jurors will not receive pay | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| paid \$5 for the first day of juror service), with subsequent day | | | net amount neede | ed to | | | | | | | | |
| increase juror pay to \$25/day and eliminate first day juror pa | ay is \$69,000 | 0. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additionally, unlike other jurors throughout the state, Ancho | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| negotiated agreement with the Municipality of Anchorage, ju | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| garage at a cost of \$2.50/day. With the imminent construct | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| has been committed for use by the state employees in the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| system for its juror parking. For statewide parity in the treat | | | | e | | | | | | | | |
| parking for its jurors in Anchorage. Alternate parking for An | chorage jurd | ors is estimated t | to cost \$192,000. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 261.0 | _ | | 04.0 | | | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Child in Need of Aid (CINA) Mediation Project | Inc | 200.0 | 81.8 | 5.0 | 104.7 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| The court system has operated the CINA Mediation and far | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| According to a recent independent evaluation, the program | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| in CINA cases than outcomes through traditional case proc | | | | l as an | | | | | | | | |
| "exemplary court program" by the National Association of F | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| community-based mediators from nine court locations, the p | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alaskan communities by assisting parties to develop case p | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contested issues over 87% of the time. Funding for the pro | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| these grants has already ended, and the remaining grant is | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| part-time administrative assistant and to change a non-perm | nanent aispu | ite resolution cod | orainator, wnich w | as | | | | | | | | |
| partially funded in FY06 to a permanent full-time position. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 200.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Therapeutic Courts Coordinator | Inc | 22.1 | 14.6 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Mental Health Trust Authority has allocated \$87,000 in | | | | | ۷.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| personal services costs associated with the therapeutic cou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY07. Additionally, the court is requesting \$7,500 from the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and contractual costs associated with this position. | wentar rica | iiii Trusi Autrioni | y to cover supply, | liavei | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 22.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Courier Fees | Inc | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The court system has been relying on a 1980's communication | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| that our accounting practices of paying the cost of courier fe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| has since concluded that this is not in accordance with Gen | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| revenues and expenses to be separately reported. This rec | quest is for fu | unding to pay the | ese costs from ge | neral | | | | | | | | |
| funds. Interest earnings deposited to the general fund shou | ıld increase | by a like amount | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 35.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Increase Funding Family Law Self-Help Center | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (FLSHC) | Inc | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The FLSHC assists pro se litigants (litigants without lawyers | s) throughou | t the state. Assis | stance is conduct | ed | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | s) throughou nrough the c | it the state. Assis | stance is conduct cases such as d | ed ivorce, | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2007 Increase Funding Family Law Self-Help Center (FLSHC) (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| funds that are passed through Child Support Services Divisi pass-through to the court system. This will be accomplished 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) 100.0 | , | • | | 00 for | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Mental Health Trust Funding Alignment To align project funding with Trust recommendations as folk | Dec ows: | -47.4 | 0.0 | -5.9 | -38.5 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Training for therapeutic court staff (10.0) Access to Timely Neuropsychiatric Evaluations (25.0) Evaluate Implementation and Effectiveness of Therapeutic (Safeguards to Prevent Financial Victimization (17.4) | Courts 5.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net change is (47.4). 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -47.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Ch. 51, SLA 2006 (SB 237) Additional Judges/Judges' Salary Per Chris put all in Trial Courts 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 5,722.0 | FisNot | 5,722.0 | 5,275.2 | 22.8 | 84.6 | 339.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Juror Process Enhancement To reduce clerical requirements associated with recording jumodifications within the court's new automated jury manage and discontinued payments of \$12.50 for half-day service. To change in juror payment, jurors do not receive payment for the first day of juror service), with subsequent days paid increased juror pay and elimination of the first day juror pay | ment syste o offset the he first day at \$25 per | em, the court pays e additional cost a v of service (previo day. The net amo | jurors a daily rate ssociated with thi ously jurors were | is FY07 paid \$5 | 261.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Additionally, unlike other jurors throughout the state, Anchor parking. In a negotiated agreement with the Municipality of municipal garage at a cost of \$2.50/day. With the on-going this parking facility was committed for use by the state empl available to the court system for its juror parking. For statew | Anchorage construction oyees in th | e, jurors were prev on of the new conv oe Atwood Building | riously able to par vention center, in g and was no long | k in the FY07 ger | | | | | | | | |
| began providing parking for its jurors in Anchorage. Parking In FY07, the court system received \$261,000 for one year's for these costs is needed in FY08. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 261.0 | for Anchor | age jurors costs a | approximately \$19 | 92,000. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 LFD: One time item fiscal note for additional Judges Ch 51, SLA 2006 (SB237) (Ch 33 SLA06 P42 L28-29)(HB365) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 12.0 | Inc0TI | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Expand Court's Salary Schedule to Include "G" Step for Range 14 and below | Inc | 293.3 | 293.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Under AS 22.20.037(c), the court system is required to concemployees receive salaries that are consistent with salaries employees in the executive branch. In the early 1990's, the | paid to the court syste | classified and pa em did not receive | rtially exempt sta | te | | | | | | | | |

employees in the executive branch received for the cost of living increase and the following year the court

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type Ex | Total penditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|--|---|--|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| aska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2008 Expand Court's Salary Schedule to Include "G" Step for Range 14 and below (continued) employees voted in a union. The union has now been de the court's salaries for parity with the executive branch. | | | | | 551 1 1 1 5 1 | | | 4.4 | | | | |
| The most common compensation concern raised by court increases upon reaching "F" step. For FY01, the largest to Unit - negotiated a revised salary schedule that added a "This new step is calculated at "F" step + 3.75%. Inserting an opportunity to progress through another salary step pristep will also place the court system on an even keel with 22.20.037(c). | union in the exect G" step between a "G" step allow for to reaching the | utive branch - the "F" step a s long-term, k e first longevit | the General Go and the first longe anowledgeable en ty step. Inserting | vernment evity step. mployees g a "G" | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 293.3 FY2008 Increased Utility Costs Funding for increased utility costs was available in FY07 a increased operating expense. Distribution of the increased through the Office of Management and Budget. The cour over the funding in the court's base budget will be required 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 100.0 | ed funding to cove t system anticipa | er escalating t tes that additi | fuel costs was mas made of \$ | anaged | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Bethel Court Security Services The court system recognizes the need to provide security state. Because adequate funding to support this need is security screening services as funding is identified. | | | | | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Bethel court is the next location at which the court de is one of the busiest court locations in the state and serve Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. High felony rates and high dom pursue security screening to insure the on-going safety of The court system received funding in FY07 to pay for 1/2 balance of the funding required for annual operating costs courthouse. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 75.0 | s as the hub for the stic violence raise the public, jurors year's cost for so | the communitates in this regions, witnesses, were the control of t | ies in the ion require that to victims and court ces. This reques | he court staff. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Equalize hourly Rate paid for Court-Appointed Attorneys The court system must provide attorneys for a small numl lawyer, but are not eligible for services from either the Pul | 0 , | , | 0 , | | 59.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The court system must provide attorneys for a small number of indigent people who are legally entitled to a lawyer, but are not eligible for services from either the Public Defender Agency or the Office of Public Advocacy. People receiving these appointments include minor children in guardianship and estate cases, respondents in protective proceedings and involuntary alcohol commitments, and biological parents in certain types of adoptions, among others. The court system seeks funding to increase the compensation for attorneys who accept these appointments from \$40 per hour to \$75 per hour, which is comparable to the hourly rate that the Office of Public Advocacy pays its contract attorneys and the court's rate paid experienced mediators and deaf interpreters. The court's hourly rate, which is set by court rule, has not increased since 1978. In several court locations, no private attorney will accept these appointments for \$40 per hour. Because the clients in these court cases are particularly vulnerable, it is important to have qualified attorneys available for these appointments.

1004 Gen Fund (UGF)

Numbers and Language

| | Trans | Total | Personal | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | Expenditure | Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Per Diem Rate Increased for Alaska from \$42 to \$60 | Inc | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| per day | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The executive branch establishes the in-state per diem rate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| travel. In November, 2006 the executive branch increased to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$60/day - an increase of nearly 50%. The court system has | a modest | travel budget and | is not able to abs | sorb this | | | | | | | | |
| sizeable cost increase. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 75.0 | Dag | -227.5 | -82.3 | -33.0 | -118.3 | -2.5 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Remove MHRARR fund for General Fund Funding for | Dec | -22/.5 | -82.3 | -33.0 | -118.3 | -2.5 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| Palmer mental health Courts and Therapeutic Courts Coordinator Position | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Mental Health Trust Authority has requested the court s | system see | k general funds to | pay the costs of | the | | | | | | | | |
| Anchorage-based therapeutic courts coordinator, a clerk wo | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coordinator for the Palmer court and for legal services provi | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Defender for the Palmer court. These expenses were previous | | | | hority | | | | | | | | |
| Authorized Receipts (MHTAAR), a funding source not intend | ded to be u | sed to fund ongoi | ing operations. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -227.5 | | | 404.0 | | 400.0 | | 40.7 | | | | | |
| FY2008 Seek General Fund Funding for Palmer mental health | Inc | 362.1 | 131.0 | 52.5 | 188.3 | 4.0 | -13.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | -2 |
| Courts and Therapeutic Courts Coordinator Position | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Mental Health Trust Authority has requested the court s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anchorage-based therapeutic courts coordinator, a clerk wo | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coordinator for the Palmer court and for legal services provices provices provices by Defender for the Palmer court. These expenses were previces. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Authorized Receipts (MHTAAR), a funding source not intend | | | | nonty | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 362.1 | ueu to be u | sea to turia origoi | ng operations. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Juneau Courthouse and Palmer Courthouse Included | Inc | 332.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 332.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in the Public Building Fund | 1110 | 332.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 332.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | O | O |
| The executive branch is proposing the transfer of the Dimon | nd Courthoi | ise into the state- | managed building | as fund | | | | | | | | |
| This transfer will require the court system to pay the Departi | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court system agrees that this transfer is desirable as this bu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| that are desperately needed but not generally funded. Fund | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amount of funding that will be transferred to the court via int | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| utilities and maintenance resides with the Department of Ad | | | s approved for tra | nsfer to | | | | | | | | |
| the state-managed pool of facilities, this funding will be trans | sferred to t | ne court system. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For Palmer, the court system will be required to pay expens | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| purchased Palmer hospital. Funding for this expense, which | | ed to total \$105,0 | 000, is not current | ly | | | | | | | | |
| budgeted in either the court's or the executive branch's budgeted | get. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 332.8 | | | 4 500 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 AMD: Reduction in FY08 JRS rate from 75% to 56.98% | Dec | -1,509.8 | -1,509.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Court System's retirement rate for FY08 will be 56.98% | | | | ed in the | | | | | | | | |
| Governor's budget. This decrement reflects the savings for | the That C | ourts component. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,509.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Ketchikan Court Security Screening | Inc | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Λ | 0 | 0 |
| "The court system recognizes the need to provide security s | | | | | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| state. Because adequate funding to support this need is no | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| state. Decause adequate fulluling to support this need is no | ı ııı pıace, t | ne count system i | ιαο παυ το μποπιίΖ | -6 | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2009 Ketchikan Court Security Screening | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| placement of security screening services as funding is ider | ntified. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening services are conducted in the courts of Anchora Ketchikan court is the largest superior court location with n The Ketchikan court is the next superior court location at w screening. Funding requested will be used to pay for conti 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 100.0 | nultiple judicia hich the cour | l officers, but wit t system desires | thout screening s to implement se | ervices. curity | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Partial Funding for Elimination of Vacancy Savings | Inc | 250.0 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Requirement for Judicial Positions | 2110 | 20010 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| An agency the size of the court system falls within the OMI budget within a 4% - 7% vacancy factor. The court system which is achieved by holding vacated positions open for a to prevent increased numbers of backlogged caseloads, the much advanced notice as possible to permit an adequate a processes to occur prior to the judicial officer leaving his or judicial positions, the court system will require additional ful appellate and trial courts. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 250.0 | n has been ma 30-day period ne court syste namount of tim r her position. | anaging with a 6 I. Because of ba m has asked its e for the selectio To achieve the | % - 7% vacancy in a cklogged caselogy of the caselogy of 0% vacalogy of 0% va | factor, ads and give as ent ncy of | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 First Judicial District Custody Investigator | Inc | 76.0 | 76.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| First District | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| There is no custody investigator for the Juneau/Sitka and report of recommendations from the 2004 Children in Alas. investigators. The caseload of domestic relations filings in custody investigator. Custody investigators provide thoroug should be placed. Additionally, through the work of custody going to trial to resolve custody disputes. This position and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | ka's Courts P Juneau and gh reports for ly investigator d related expo | roject identified t Sitka support the judges, who mu s, cases can ofte enses will cost ap | he need for more e addition of a full st decide where o entimes be settle oproximately \$76 | e custody l-time children d prior to ,000. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Wellness Court Contractual Funds for Positions and Treatment | Inc | 550.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 550.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The therapeutic courts in Anchorage, Fairbanks, Bethel, Juford the Alaska Office of Highway Safety as part of that ago of the grant program, the court system is expected to increeach year. This year, the court system seeks \$300,000 to services (prosecutor and defense attorney) for the Fairbant the Ketchikan Wellness Court. The court system also requesticipants in the Fairbanks Wellness Court. This funding received from the Alaska Mental Trust Authority to build the 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 300.0 | gency's mission ase the proporting the grades the grades wellness of the grades wellness of the grades well as the grades were | on to reduce drur ortion of state fur rant funding used Court, the Junea O to provide treat e a one-time grai city for therapeut | nk driving. Under ading for these produced to pay for attorn underrapeutic Colument services for that the court sici justice projects | the terms ojects tely turt, and r system s. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Juror Process Enhancement To reduce clerical requirements associated with recording modifications within the court's new automated jury manag and discontinued payments of \$12.50 for half-day service. | ement syster | n, the court pays | jurors a daily rat | | 357.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|---|---|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2009 Juror Process Enhancement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) change in juror payment, jurors do not receive payment for | the first day | of oor ioo (provid | aughi iurara wara | noid ¢E | | | | | | | | |
| for the first day of juror service), with subsequent days paid increased juror pay and elimination of the first day juror pay | at \$25 per da | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additionally, unlike other jurors throughout the state, Ancho parking. In a negotiated agreement with the Municipality of municipal garage at a cost of \$2.50/day. With the on-going this parking facility was committed for use by the state empl available to the court system for its juror parking. For statew began providing parking for its jurors in Anchorage. Parking \$12 per day. Parking is estimated to cost \$288,000 in FY0s one-time increment, although funding is need as an on-goin in FY09 will be \$357,000. | Anchorage, construction oyees in the vide parity in for jurors was for Jurons and | jurors were prevolution of the new convolution of the new convolution of the treatment of as increased in FY08 pproved in FY08 | iously able to par vention center, in g and was no long jurors, the court EY08 from \$8 per B was treated as a | k in the FY07 ger system day to | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 357.0 | Inc | 69.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2009 Lease Increases for Nome, Chevak, Emmonak, Galena, McGrath, and Kenai Parking Lot | THC | 09.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 09.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| The landlords for the court facilities leased in Nome, Cheval court that they intend to increase rents at these locations. A \$27,000; Cheval \$1,500; Emmonak \$4,800; Galena \$6,600. The Kenai courthouse is currently under construction for an courtrooms from four to seven. Prior to this expansion, the courthouse. Jurors and other court customers are using pa to provide parking for their own tenants and visitors. This sineighbor, especially on days when many jurors are called. proceedings can be scheduled concurrently, there is a need courthouse. The City of Kenai has offered to develop a paraddress the Kenai courthouse parking needs. The City of Kourt system for this property at an annual cost of \$25,800. | Annual rental c; and McGra expansion, v re was very l rking lots at r tuation has c tuation has t for additiona king lot on pr lenai is willing | rates are to incr th \$3,600. which will increa. little on-site park neighboring facill. aused the court court is expand al dedicated pari operty adjacent g to enter into a | rease as follows: se the number of ing around the ities, which are in to be an ""undes ing and more cou- king spaces for th to the courthouse long-term lease v | Nome tended irable"" ort ee Kenai e to vith the | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Homer Courthouse Expansion | Inc | 154.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 154.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The court system received \$77,500 in FY07 to expand the F The increased funding coupled with the prior budgeted fund increasing the Homer court space to 10,000 net sq ft to acc visiting judge and to improve the efficiency of the existing H 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 154.4 | ling was not s ommodate a | sufficient to allov courtroom for a | v the court to pro | ceed with | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Partial Funding to Improve the Efficiency of Court | Inc | 600.0 | 600.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Proceedings The court system is experiencing difficulty recruiting and ret currently viewed as stressful and undesirable jobs, involving unscheduled overtime, a high pressure environment, and at clerks, who are paid at a salary range 12, are responsible for recording equipment, a computerized case management sy complicated telephone systems, assistive devices for the he equipment. Their workload has also been affected by other | n long hours n unrealistic i or an array of stem, an aut earing-impair | with few opportu number of courtr f courtroom tech omated jury mar ed, and an asso | nities for respite, room demands. In nology, including nagement system rtment of audio-v | frequent n-court digital , isual | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total _Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2009 Partial Funding to Improve the Efficiency of Court Proceedings (continued) number of orders now routinely entered in criminal cases (or | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| requiring indigent defendants to pay for representation, orde orders, and surcharges). In-court clerks must manage new new confidentiality requirements intended to protect victims, identifiers, and the increasing number of pro se litigants and the in-court clerk for assistance. Given the complexity of the in-court clerk to be fully trained, especially if the employee has | confidentia jurors, soo litigants w ijob, it cur | ality requirements cial security numb rith limited English rently takes appro | court clerks must pers, and other pe n proficiency who oximately one yea | manage rsonal rely on r for an | | | | | | | | |
| To improve the efficiency of court proceedings as well as to for court proceedings, the court system is requesting 17 new statewide staffing levels to one per courtroom. The court sypositions, which will provide one supervisor for every seven in-court training and will assist in the courtroom as necessary. | range 12 stem is als clerks. Th | in-court clerk pos so requesting seve | sitions to increase en additional supe | ervisory | | | | | | | | |
| Finally, the court system is requesting nine additional range additional clerical position in the courtroom during high volur and changes of plea hearings. Having a clerical assistant in as bail and conditions of release, to be entered into CourtVie criminal justice personnel as soon as the judge enters the or distribute more documents in the courtroom, so that parties in proceeding. | ne procee the courtro w and ma der. It will | dings, such as arı dom will allow imp de available to la also permit the co | raignments, calen portant informatior w enforcement an purt system to pro | dar calls, n, such nd duce and | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 600.0 FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Mental Health Court The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Mental Health therapeutic court alternative for Trust beneficiary youth invol funding will be managed by the Alaska Court System. | | | | 6.0 | 230.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice F treatment to avoid the future costs of more expensive treatm correctional and judicial systems. Court System staff will proassociated cost savings as well as the number of youth serv | ent servic ovide outc | es or costs associ ome data on how | iated with the adu | lt | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Mental Healt 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 236.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Juneau Mental Health Court The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Juneau Mental Health Court will beneficiaries in Juneau. The project and its funding will be n | | | | 4.3 | 119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice F identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs and descripting right of fitting contacts with the critical project in the contacts. | h the crim will be mo | inal justice systen initored by the cou | n and then develo urt. The objective | p a court is in | | | | | | | | |

decreasing risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or the psychiatric

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Juneau Mental Health Court (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| institution and the associated high costs. Court System st utilized and associated cost savings as well as the number | • | | | ng is | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Juneau Mental Health Court w 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | ill be funded | in FY09 with \$20 | 04.4 MHTAAR. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Access to timely | Inc0TI | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| neuropsychiatric evaluations for therapeutic court participants Grant 567.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Access to Timely Neuropsycho | ological Eval | uations for Thera | peutic Court Par | ticipants | | | | | | | | |
| project will continue funding for access to neuropsychologic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluations assist therapeutic court teams in developing a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| assist judicial officers and legal team members in construc participant's cognitive abilities. The funding and the projec | 0 | , | ,,,, | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts with qualified neuropsychologists. | t will be mai | laged by the Alas | ska Court Gysteri | runougn | | | | | | | | |
| This project maintains a critical component of the Disability | lustice Foo | rus Δrea nlan hv | nrovidina service | es to | | | | | | | | |
| accurately assess and evaluate a therapeutic court particip | | , , , | | | | | | | | | | |
| bail/probation aren't set, thus avoiding the costs of incarce | ration as a r | esult of prevental | ble bail/probation | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| violations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Access to Timely Neuropsych | ological Eval | uations for Thera | neutic Court Par | ticinants | | | | | | | | |
| project was funded in FY08 with \$25.0 MHTAAR and is ma | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 25.0 | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Increased case | Inc0TI | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| coordinator capacity for Anchorage Mental Health court | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Increased Case Coordinator C | | • | | • | | | | | | | | |
| needed to maintain the positive momentum and success o | t the Anchor | age Mental Heal | th Court. This po | sition will | | | | | | | | |

needed to maintain the positive momentum and success of the Anchorage Mental Health Court. This position will provide additional slots for participation in this therapeutic court as well as enhance the quality of court supervision. The funding and its incorporation into the existing model will be managed by the Alaska Court System.

The Anchorage Mental Health Court is at a juncture where the need for an additional case coordinator is required. Current caseloads are at or above capacity, resulting in a waitlist for participation. At the end of FY07 there were sixteen clients on the waitlist, with an average wait of 21.9 days for clients out-of-custody and 15.6 days for clients in-custody prior to their initial opt in.

This position maintains the momentum of a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan by enhancing the Anchorage Mental Health Court's ability to identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with the criminal justice system, to develop a court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs and to provide for monitoring by the court. The objective is in decreasing risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or the psychiatric institution and the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the funding is utilized and associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals served and their outcomes.

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Increased | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| case coordinator capacity for Anchorage Mental | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Health court (continued) | naaitu An | aharaga Mantal I | laalth Caust will h | o fundod | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Increased Case Coordinator Ca in FY09 with \$99.4 MHTAAR. | расну - Ап | icriorage ivieritai r | realtri Court Will b | e iuriaea | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 99.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Safeguards to prevent | Inc0TI | 147.7 | 51.3 | 6.1 | 89.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| financial victimization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grant 584.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Safeguards to Prevent Financia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| alternative approach to resolving the adult guardianship and | | , | | ies | | | | | | | | |
| through mediation. The funding and the project will be man | aged by the | e Alaska Court Sy | vstem. | | | | | | | | | |
| This project maintains a critical component of the Disability | lunting Eng | ous Area plan bur | arovidina on altorn | nativa for | | | | | | | | |
| resolving guardianship and/or conservatorship issues that w | | | | iative ioi | | | | | | | | |
| unnecessary appointment of quardians and/or conservators | | | | d prevent | | | | | | | | |
| the potential financial victimization of a vulnerable adult. Th | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the funding is utilized, the number of individuals served and | their outco | mes as well as do | ocumenting any | | | | | | | | | |
| associated cost savings. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Safeguards to Prevent Financia | I Viotimizo | tion was funded in | EVOQ with \$160 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| MHTAAR and will be funded in FY09 with \$147.7 MHTAAR. | | ion was runded in | 1 F 100 WILLI \$ 102.0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 147.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Deliver training for | Inc0TI | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| prosecutors and defense at annual meetings | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Deliver training for prosecutors | and defens | se at annual meeti | ing continues to p | rovide | | | | | | | | |
| training and education on understanding and effectively har | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| disorders and/or cognitive impairments. This is a collaborate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alaska Bar Association, and the Anchorage Bar Association | . The tund | ling and the proje | ct will be managed | d by the | | | | | | | | |
| Alaska Court System. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project maintains a critical component of the Disability | Justice Foo | cus Area by provid | ding foundational | | | | | | | | | |
| knowledge on mental health disorders and cognitive impairs | | | | and our | | | | | | | | |
| state's community behavioral health system. These profess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| these areas, yet a significant percentage of individuals they | interact wit | th professionally e | experience mental | l health | | | | | | | | |
| disorders and/or cognitive impairments. By providing this tr | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equipped to understand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| with the criminal justice system, and to set appropriate cond | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and/or cognitive capacity. The objective is in minimizing the the processing of another criminal case as a result of bail/pr | | | ea with incarcerat | tion and | | | | | | | | |
| the processing of another chillinal case as a result of ball/pr | obalion vic | nauons. | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Deliver training for prosecutors | and defens | se at annual meeti | ing was funded in | FY08 | | | | | | | | |
| with \$75.0 MHTAAR and will be funded in FY09 with \$25.0 | MHTAAR. | | = | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 25.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Probation and Minor Consuming (HB359) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 29.8 | FisNot | 29.8 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|---|---|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2009 DID NOT PASS: Probation and Minor Consuming (HB359) | FisNot | -29.8 | -29.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -29.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Treatment funding for therapeutic court participants Starting in 1998 the Trust, Alaska Court System and other partnered to offer a therapeutic alternative to targeted pop underlying issues related to contact with the criminal justic substance abuse and mental health treatment services is and the success of its participants. | ulations and e in an effor | communities in a | n effort to address ism. Timely acce | s the ss to | 500.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In FY10 a \$500.0 GF/MH increment is requested to ensure | e continued a | access to treatmen | nt services for exi | sting | | | | | | | | |
| and new therapeutic courts. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 500.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Lease Barrow Therapeutic Court Case Coordinator office space | Inc | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The funding will be used to cover the costs of maintaining occupied by the Barrow Therapeutic Court case coordinate. | | ease for office spa | ace. This space is | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| A \$12.5 GF increment in FY10 is requested to maintain the 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 12.5 | is lease. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 584.04 Adult Guardianship/Mediation project | Inc | 147.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 147.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Adult Guardianship/Mediation Victimization" in prior year) will continue to provide an alteconservatorship concerns of Trust beneficiaries through my the Alaska Court System. | rnative appro | ach to resolving t | he adult guardian | ship and | | | | | | | | |
| This project maintains a critical component of the Disability resolving guardianship and/or conservatorship issues that unnecessary appointment of guardians and/or conservator the potential financial victimization of a vulnerable adult. If the funding is utilized, the number of individuals served an associated cost savings. | will conservers, protect the The Court Sy | e judicial resource e Trust beneficiary stem staff will pro | es, eliminate the y's autonomy, and vide outcome data | d prevent | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$147.7 MHTAAR an project with \$147.7 GF/MH. 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 147.7 | d the FY10 r | equest is to contin | nue the momentui | m of this | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 147.7 FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1936.01 Increased case coordinator capacity for Anchorage Mental Health court The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Increased Case Coordinator C | Inc Capacity - An | 99.4 chorage Mental H | 0.0 lealth Court proje | 0.0 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| provides additional slots for participation in this therapeutic | | | | | | | | | | | | |

supervision. The funding and its incorporation into the existing model will be managed by the Alaska Court System. The Anchorage Mental Health Court is at a juncture where an additional case coordinator is required.

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc_ | PFT I | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|--|--|---|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1936.01 Increased case coordinator capacity for Anchorage Mental Health court (continued) This position maintains the momentum of a critical componer enhancing the Anchorage Mental Health Court's ability to ide with the criminal justice system and then develop a court ord and will be monitored by the court, thus, decreasing risk of function of the psychiatric institution and the associated of the court and the system of the court of the psychiatric institution and the associated of the court and the court and their outcomes. | entify the u lered treat uture conta ociated hi | underlying reasons tment plan that ad acts with the crimi gh costs. Court S | s for an individua dresses treatme inal justice systel System staff will p | o's contact nt needs m, care provide | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$99.4 MHTAAR and th project with \$99.4 in GF/MH. 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 99.4 | e FY10 re | equest is to continu | ue the momentu | m of this | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 No Dark Courtrooms - Improve Courtroom Staffing | Inc | 600.0 | 522.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In the Alaska Court System's FY 2009 budget request, funding was sought for a new court initiative to improve courtroom operations around the state. The 2008 legislature appropriated funds for approximately one-fourth of the funding request, so that the court could begin work on this initiative, with the understanding that the court would seek further funding this legislative session.

With the funding appropriated by the 2008 legislature, in-court positions were allocated to Palmer, Anchorage and Fairbanks to begin pilot projects to improve the courtroom environment and courtroom flow. In these locations, a second in-court clerk is now present in some courtrooms during high volume proceedings so that case paperwork can be prepared and distributed to parties immediately in the courtroom, and data essential to public safety concerns can be immediately recorded in the court's electronic systems for dissemination throughout the justice system. Already, benefits have become apparent: Judgments, bail conditions and court orders are available to defendants and attorneys without delay, promoting compliance and eliminating the need for later paper processing and mailing. These improvements are not only a benefit to the court, but also to the other justice agencies.

In FY 09, new court clerk positions were also placed in Kenai, Bethel, and Nome, to address current serious courtroom understaffing. The new positions help ensure that no court proceedings are postponed or canceled due to the unavailability of court support staff, and reduce some of the pressure associated with inadequate staffing.

In FY 2010, the court system is requesting eight new courtroom personnel positions and five additional range 10 clerical positions. The new courtroom positions will ensure that an adequate number of in-courts are available for court proceedings and also improve the court system's ability to train in-court staff. Given the complexity of the job, it currently takes approximately one year for an in-court clerk to be fully trained, especially if the employee has not previously worked for the court system.

The court system is requesting additional range 10 clerical positions so that larger courts can place an additional clerical position in the courtroom during high volume proceedings, such as multi-case arraignments, calendar calls, and changes of plea hearings. Having an additional clerical position in the courtroom will allow important information, such as bail and conditions of release, to be entered into the case management system and made available to law enforcement and criminal justice personnel as soon as the judge enters the order. It will also permit the court system to produce and distribute more documents in the courtroom, so that parties have copies of orders and notices when they leave the proceeding.

Statewide

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 No Dark Courtrooms - Improve | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Courtroom Staffing Statewide (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 600.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 CC: Reduction of No Dark Courtrooms | Dec | -175.0 | -152.0 | 0.0 | -5.0 | -18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -175.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 First District Position Changes - Juneau Magistrate and | Inc | 155.0 | 144.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Haines clerk upgrade | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Magistrate IV, Juneau, 24A, permanent, full-time | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Juneau superior court has over 1,000 superior court cas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| judges, proportionally has the highest caseload per superior | , , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pressure within the superior court, a part-time master and a p | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| there continues to be insufficient judicial resources to manag | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addressing this problem, the court system is seeking funding | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| enable the district court judge to assist with some caseload in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | stem is pr | oposing this as a | less costly (and i | less | | | | | | | | |
| is needed now and will eventually be requested, the court system is proposing this as a less costly (and less efficient) interim approach. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01 1 10 1111 101 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clerk of Court, Haines, 10A, permanent, part-time (30 hours) | | | () (T | , , , | | | | | | | | |
| The Haines court is currently staffed by a full-time magistrate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cases supports the addition of a permanent clerk of court to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| clerk of court position be budgeted for 30 hours per week and | a propose | s elimination of th | e seasonai cierk | | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative Assistant Katabikan 12A narmanant full tim | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative Assistant, Ketchikan, 12A, permanent, full-tim A part-time custody investigator was funded in FY09, but witi | | niatrativa avanart | With ingranged | hours the | | | | | | | | |
| administrative assistant for the Ketchikan custody investigato | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ii custody | | | | | | | | |
| investigator. This request is to increase the budgeted hours from 18.75 to 37.5 hours per week. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deputy Clerk II, Craig, 10A, permanent, full-time | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ark of cour | t a full-time clerk | and a nart-time | clark This | | | | | | | | |
| The Craig court is currently staffed with a magistrate III, a clerk of court, a full-time clerk and a part-time clerk. This request is to increase the hours of the part-time clerk to full-time to assist with the management of felonies and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| other superior court case fillings now that the Craig court is a superior court filling site. The superior court judge | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| based in Sitka regularly travels to Craig and the additional cle | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| created by the superior court case activity. | on nours | Do asca to sup | oport the addition | iai work | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 155.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Third District Position Changes | Inc | 299.1 | 263.3 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| District Court Judge, 81A, permanent, full-time | 1110 | 233.1 | 200.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | U | U |

District Court Judge, 81A, permanent, full-time

In FY08, the Anchorage District Court was managing a caseload of 1,765 non-traffic filings per judicial officer. This is the highest non-traffic caseload in the state by a large margin, and the caseload is increasing; during the first quarter of FY09, the district court's caseload was up 5% over the number of case filings reported for the first quarter of FY08. In addition, the court system has learned that the Municipality of Anchorage intends to add 33 new positions to its existing police force. Adding these police officers will further increase case filings for the district court. Significantly, while the addition of a district court judge in Anchorage would reduce the ratio of filings per judicial officer, the court would remain the busiest district court in the state.

Deputy Clerk III, Anchorage, 12A, permanent, full-time

A deputy clerk III is required to assist the new district court judge with a variety of in-court duties and to manage

2017 Legislature - Operating Budget **Transaction Detail - Governor Structure**

06-18IncDecF Column

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities _ | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Third District Position Changes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| an array of courtroom technology, including digital recording | equipment, | a computerized | l case managem | ent | | | | | | | | |
| system, an automated jury management system, complicated | telephone | systems, assis | tive devices for th | ne | | | | | | | | |
| hearing-impaired, and an assortment of audio-visual equipme | ent. The in- | court clerk is a | key position in as | ssuring | | | | | | | | |
| courtroom proceedings go smoothly. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clerk of Court, Naknek, 12A, permanent, part-time | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Naknek court is currently staffed with only a magistrate. | The case fi | lings for the Nak | knek court increa | sed by | | | | | | | | |
| 17% between FY07 and FY08. The majority of case filings at | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| caseload suggests that a full-time position is required, becau | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| caseload, full-time clerical assistance is primarily needed dur | • | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| the rest of the year. The addition of a part-time clerical position | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| year-round rather than closing the court when the magistrate | is absent o | lue to illness or | scheduled leave. | | | | | | | | | |
| 01.10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chief Deputy Clerk of Court, Anchorage, 18A, permanent, fu | | 11 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Anchorage Clerk of Court's office has more than 150 em | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a clerk of court and a chief deputy clerk. The division of cleric | • | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| buildings. This distribution of staffing is expected to continue managing Anchorage clerical operations. A second chief dep | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| criminal and traffic operations, two areas of substantial casel | • | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 299.1 | Jau growin | III IIIE AIICIIOI ag | je court, is reque | sieu. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Fourth District Position Changes | Inc | 143.5 | 134.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Clerk of Court, Aniak, 12C, permanent, part-time (increased | | | 154.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | U | U |
| In the rural court of Aniak recruitment and retention of a part- | | | n difficult Turnov | er in this | | | | | | | | |
| key court position is costly and disruptive to court operations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| single magistrate and a clerk of court. Because the clerk of c | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| magistrate is traveling or otherwise absent from work, the co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| when comparing FY07 and FY08 activity. To improve access | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| customer service, the court system is requesting funding to it | • | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| per week. | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Court Training Assistant, Bethel, 18A, permanent, full- | ime \$111,9 | 00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The 4th judicial district is the largest judicial district geograph | ically in the | United States, | extending from th | пе | | | | | | | | |
| Canadian border on the east of the state to the Bering Sea o | n the west. | There are a total | al of 10 rural cour | t sites, | | | | | | | | |
| five in the Interior and five in the Bethel area. To more effecti | vely and co | st-efficiently me | et the significant | training | | | | | | | | |
| and oversight administrative support needs in the Bethel regi | on, a perma | anent Rural Coເ | ırt Training Assis | tant | | | | | | | | |
| (RCTA) position is required. With the addition of a Bethel-base | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bethel region, the current Fairbanks-based RCTA could then | | o rural courts in | · Intorior Idith to | o DOTA | | | | | | | | |
| the fourth district would then have the resource capacity to b | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | e more atte | ntive and respo | nsive in meeting | its needs | | | | | | | | |
| within the vast judicial district. Since the travel costs and time | e more atte required to | ntive and respo o travel from Fai | nsive in meeting irbanks to the Be | its needs thel area | | | | | | | | |
| are significant, establishing a regional RCTA positions in this | e more atte required to | ntive and respo o travel from Fai | nsive in meeting irbanks to the Be | its needs thel area | | | | | | | | |
| are significant, establishing a regional RCTA positions in this resources available to the courts statewide. | e more atte required to | ntive and respo o travel from Fai | nsive in meeting irbanks to the Be | its needs thel area | | | | | | | | |
| are significant, establishing a regional RCTA positions in this | e more atte required to | ntive and respo o travel from Fai | nsive in meeting irbanks to the Be | its needs thel area | 0.0 | 297.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | ТМР |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Travel and Office Supplies Expense | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increases (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| air carriers are required to assess "fuel surcharges" to cover | their incre | ased fuel expens | se and to stay in I | ousiness | | | | | | | | |
| this expense must be passed on to the traveler. According to | | • | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Department of Transportation's Bureau of Transportation Sta | | · · | | | | | | | | | | |
| second quarter of 2008 reached the highest level of average | | ,. • | | | | | | | | | | |
| available data the U.S. Department of Transportation's Burea | | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| today.""Average domestic air fares in the second quarter of | | | · / / | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007." The court system's authorized travel budget is a mod | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| absorb these excessive cost increases without impacting the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| communities. The court system is requesting \$150,000 as it | s unable t | o absorb these e | scalating costs. | | | | | | | | | |
| "In addition to the funding required for escalating travel costs | | | | 97,500 to | | | | | | | | |
| cover increased supplies costs. The court system has exper | ienced sig | nificant increase | d costs for routine | office | | | | | | | | |
| supplies such as paper, toner for copiers and other consuma | ble office | supplies. Publish | ing companies ha | ave | | | | | | | | |
| advised the court system of cost increases up to as much as | 30% over | current rates par | id. To control libi | ary costs, | | | | | | | | |
| the court system has reduced law library subscriptions, but for | ırther redi | ictions in the coll | ections would din | ninish the | | | | | | | | |
| court system's ability to provide the necessary legal resource | s for Alas | kan communities | . According to th | e Bureau | | | | | | | | |
| of Labor Statistics, in comparing the cost of goods between S | Septembe | r, 2007 and Septe | ember 2008, the | Consumer | | | | | | | | |
| Price Index for Anchorage increased by 4.6% and transporta | tion costs | have increased 1 | 10.3%. The cost t | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| transport goods to the court system's 44 locations, adds a sig | ınificant e | xpense to the tota | al cost of the con | sumable | | | | | | | | |
| supplies. For items such as cases of paper, the shipping cos | ts add 60 | - 70% onto the g | gross cost of the p | aper. | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 447.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Utilities and Contract Increases | Inc | 776.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 776.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Due to increased fuel costs, FY10 utility rates are projected t | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| have confirmed with Enstar that effective January 1, 2009, the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20% and possibly as high as 24%. The court system is seeki | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| underfunding to pay the FY09 utility cost increases, but requi | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| budget. Efforts to reduce consumption and improve building | ٠, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| generate enough savings to offset the soaring costs. The cou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| address utility charges for the trial courts throughout the state | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| janitorial services and security services have advised the cou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| increase contractual amounts charged the court. The amoun | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| be \$120,000. Without increased funding, the additional utility the maintenance budget. | and servi | ce contracts cost | s musi be absort | ea wiliiii | | | | | | | | |
| the maintenance budget. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diverting funds from on-going preventive maintenance activity | ies to cov | er the cost of inci | raasad utilitias wi | ll cause | | | | | | | | |
| these critical maintenance activities to be deferred. The cour | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| maintenance that accumulated in prior years. Finally, with the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fairbanks, the court will requires additional \$130,000 to fund | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| services these facilities. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 776.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Public Building Fund & Other Court System Leased | Inc | 223.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 223.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Space Increases | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Department of Administration has advised the court syst | em that th | e increased fund | ling needed to co | ver the | | | | | | | | |
| court's share of the Palmer State Office Building and the Dim | ond Cour | thouse will be \$1 | 15,800. In additio | n to | | | | | | | | |
| funding these state-managed spaces, the costs for increases | for anoth | er 22 facilities lea | ased through priv | ate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total <u>Expenditure</u> | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Public Building Fund & Other Court System Leased Space Increases (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| landlords and other governmental entities will be \$152,000. | This amou | int includes the \$4 | 15 000 in addition | al funding | | | | | | | | |
| needed for an expanded facility in the Nenana/Healy region. | | ι. πισιαασσ τησ φ ι | o,cco iii adailioii | arranamg | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 223.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Juror Mileage Reimbursement Increase | Inc | 35.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Under the current rule, jurors must reside more than 30 mile | s away fro | om the court to be | entitled to receive | e mileage | | | | | | | | |
| reimbursement. Because of small jury pools, jurors serving i | | • | | • | | | | | | | | |
| the court, but may travel distances greater than 60 miles dur | 0 | , | , | , , | | | | | | | | |
| several days. The court system desires a rule change and is | - | • | , | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| expense if the aggregated mileage traveled during the cours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| receive \$25 per day for their juror service. For rural locations per gallon, requiring jurors to travel great distances without r | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| per galion, requiring jurors to traver great distances without r hardship. | eiiiibuisei | nentiol their mile | aye, is causing a | IIIIaiiCiai | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 35.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Life-Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems | Inc | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Automation of its case management system requires the cou | ırt to regu | larly update its op | erating systems t | o be | | | | | | | | |
| compatible with software versions and licensing requirement | s. Rapid a | advancements in d | computer technol | ogy | | | | | | | | |
| require the court system to continually evaluate and improve | its base | of technological ed | quipment. In the p | oast, the | | | | | | | | |
| court has received grant and capital funding to purchase the | , | | , | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| systems, but a sustained source of funding must be incorpor | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| planned, life-cycle approach to replacement of these system | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cycle for PCs and a four-year replacement cycle for printers. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| additional year beyond the recommended guidelines, but rec cycled approach. | juires a si | istained lunding s | ource to racilitate | uns | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 250.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 CC: Reduction of Life-Cycle Replacement of Computer | Dec | -125.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -100.0 | -25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Systems | 500 | 22010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -125.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Elimination of Vacancy Savings Requirement for | Inc | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Judicial Positions | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| An agency the size of the court system falls within the OMB | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| budget within a 4% - 7% vacancy factor. The court system h | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| which is achieved by holding vacated positions open for a 30 | , , | | 00 | | | | | | | | | |
| to prevent increased numbers of backlogged caseloads, the | | | 0, 0 | • | | | | | | | | |
| much advanced notice as possible to permit an adequate an | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| processes to occur prior to the judicial officer leaving his or h | • | | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| judicial positions, the court system will require additional fun- | as witnin t | ne personai servid | ces buagets of the | е | | | | | | | | |
| appellate and trial courts. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 200.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Magistrate Salary Increase | Inc | 326.6 | 326.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Each year the Human Resources Department conducts clas | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| classifications to ensure that employees of the court system | | | |) | | | | | | | | |
| employees in the classified and partially exempt state servic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The 2007 classification study included the magistrate, stand | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| These job classes were last reviewed in 1995, 1990, and 19 | 89, respe | ctively. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans <u>Type</u> | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services C | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc _ | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2010 Magistrate Salary Increase (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| "As part of the study, the human resources department ex | amined the ty | pes of judicial w | ork that magistrat | es, | | | | | | | | |
| standing masters, and committing magistrates perform and | , | | , | 0 / | | | | | | | | |
| skills required for these positions to those required for other | , , | | , | | | | | | | | | |
| executive branch. The study concluded that standing mas appropriately when compared to other judicial and attorne | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| upgrading certain magistrate groups, namely, magistrates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| superior court proceedings, and magistrates working in ve | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| generally the only judicial officer and in many locations, is | the only cour | t system represe | ntative in the con | nmunity. | | | | | | | | |
| The court system is requesting additional funding to pay m | nagistrates co | mmensurate witl | h the duties of the | ese | | | | | | | | |
| important judicial positions. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 326.6 FY2010 Judicial Retirement System Savings Due to a | Dec | -3,104.4 | -3,104.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Decrease in the Employer Rate from 57.7% to 26.2% | DEC | 3,104.4 | 3,104.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| Cost savings due to the decrease in the employer rate from | n 57.7% to 2 | 6.2%. | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | T/ E)/00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The contribution rate is set by the ARM board each year . Normal Cost rate plus 31.69% Past Service Cost rate). In | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JRS unfunded liability. This contribution to the unfunded li | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY10 (to 26.2% which is only the Normal Cost rate). | ability oriable | a 1110 01 to 14100 | to arop orgrimour | ay 101 | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -3,104.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Training for therapeutic | Inc0TI | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| court clinical staff | unt to a man /iv al | | liniaal aaaa aaard | inatara) | | | | | | | | |
| The funding will be used to send existing Therapeutic Cou to a national conference sponsored by SAMHSA and the 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| other year and focuses on issues surrounding the intersec | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the use of evidence based practices as solutions to proble | ms identified | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A \$25.0 MHTAAR increment in FY10 is requested for Alas | ka theranout | ic court teams to | narticinate in the | | | | | | | | | |
| conference. | ка шетареии | c count teams to | participate iii tile | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 25.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice - Training for therapeutic | Dec | -10.0 | 0.0 | -10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| court clinical staff | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due to the economic recession and plummeting stock mar for FY2010 since original budget approval in September 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| budget reduction which decreases MHTAAR requests to e | | | | Toverall | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -10.0 | quai projecto | a mining in cavaii | aomty. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Grant 567.04 Access to | Inc0TI | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| timely neuropsychiatric evaluations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Access to Timely Neuropsych project will continue funding for access to neuropsycholog | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluations assist therapeutic court teams in developing a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| assist judicial officers and legal team members in construc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| participant's cognitive abilities. The funding and the project | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts with qualified neuropsychologists. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans <u>Type</u> E | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services (| Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | <u>TMP</u> |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|------------|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2010 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Grant 567.04 Access to timely neuropsychiatric | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluations (continued) This project maintains a critical component of the Disability accurately assess and evaluate a therapeutic court participa bail/probation aren't set thus avoiding the costs of incarcera violations. | ant's cognitive | abilities so ina | ppropriate condition | ons of | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$25.0 MHTAAR and is 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 25.0 | s maintained | at that level in F | Y10 with \$25.0 M | HTAAR. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 567.04 Access to timely neuropsychiatric evaluations | Dec | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Due to the economic recession and plummeting stock mark for FY2010 since original budget approval in September 200 budget reduction which decreases MHTAAR requests to eq 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -20.0 | 08. This proje | ect is being redu | uced as part of an | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice -Grant 1935.01 Mental Health Court Expansion to Targeted Community | Inc0TI | 204.4 | 80.4 | 4.3 | 119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project has had a title change since originally submitted Mental Health Court. | d from MH Tr | ust: Dis Justice | - Grant 1935.01 J | luneau | | | | | | | | |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice -Grant 1935.01 Mental Health Co therapeutic court alternative for Trust beneficiaries. The pro Court System staff. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice I identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact wi court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment need risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide o associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals. | th the crimina Is and will be within a corre utcome data | nl justice system monitored by the ectional or the po on how the fund | and then develop ne court, thus decr sychiatric institutio ding is utilized and | oing a reasing on and | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$204.4 MHTAAR and 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | is maintained | l at that level in | FY10 with \$204.4 | !. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice -Grant 1935.01 Mental Health Court Expansion to Targeted Community This project has had a title change since originally submitted Health Court Expansion to Targeted Community. | Dec d to MH Trust | -204.4 :: Dis Justice -G | -80.4 rant 1935.01 Men | -4.3 tal | -119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Juneau MH Court will provide a Juneau. The project and its funding will be managed by the | | | for Trust beneficia | aries in | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice I identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment need sink of future contacts with the principal traffic greaters. | th the crimina Is and will be | nl justice system monitored by th | and then develop ne court, thus decr | oing a reasing | | | | | | | | |

risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or the psychiatric institution and

2017 Legislature - Operating Budget Transaction Detail - Governor Structure

06-18IncDecF Column

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type E | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services (| Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice -Grant 1935.01 Mental Health Court Expansion to Targeted Community (continued) the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide of associated cost savings as well as the number of individual | | | ling is utilized and | | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$204.4 MHTAAR and 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -204.4 | is maintained | l at that level in I | FY10 with \$204.4. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Name change to "Juneau Mental Health Court" from "Targeted Community" This project has had a title change since originally submitte Health Court Expansion to Targeted Community. | IncOTI d to MH Trust | 204.4 :: Dis Justice -Gr | 80.4 ant 1935.01 Ment | 4.3 al | 119.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Juneau MH Court will provide a Juneau. The project and its funding will be managed by the | • | | for Trust beneficia | ries in | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact we court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment need risk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide associated cost savings as well as the number of individual This project was funded in FY09 with \$204.4 MHTAAR and 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 FY2010 MH Trust: Disability Justice - Grant 1934.01 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic alternative for Trust beneficiary youth involved in the juvenil managed by the Alaska Court System. | ith the criminals and will be within a corre utcome data is served and is maintained IncOTI | I justice system monitored by the ctional or the ps on how the fund their outcomes. I at that level in 1 255.9 | and then develop, e court, thus decreposition in the state of the stat | ing a easing n and 0.0 | 255.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice treatment to avoid the future costs of more expensive treatment and judicial systems. Court System staff will prassociated cost savings as well as the number of youth ser | nent services ovide outcom | or costs associa e data on how t | ated with the adult | | | | | | | | | |
| This project was funded in FY09 with \$236.3 MHTAAR. In modest increase to maintain momentum of effort. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 255.9 | FY10, the \$25 | 55.9 MHTAAR fu | ınding request refi | lects a | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 AMD: MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.01 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court Due to the economic recession and plummeting stock mark for FY2010 since original budget approval in September 20 budget reduction which decreases MHTAAR requests to eq 1092 MHTAAR (Other) -5.0 | 08. This proje | ect is being redu | ced as part of an | | -5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| ska Court System (continued) | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---|---|--|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Partially fund No Dark Courtrooms statewide initiative to ensure adequate staffing for court proceedings In the Alaska Court System's FY 2009 and FY 2010 budget re to improve courtroom operations around the state. The 2008 one-fourth of the funding request and the 2009 legislature appropriate to improve courtroom operations. | legislature propriated | appropriated fu funding for anoti | nds for approxin her portion of the | nately | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Past appropriations have supported projects in Palmer, Anche through streamlining proceedings. In these locations, a secon proceedings so that case paperwork can be distributed to par public safety concerns can be immediately recorded in the counter the justice system. Judgments, bail conditions, and court ord delay, promoting compliance and eliminating the need for subtimprovements are not only a benefit to the court, but also to the Department of Public Safety. The court system was also able to add new court clerk position courtroom understaffing. The new positions help to ensure the due to the unavailability of court support staff, and reduce sor staffing. The court system also added general clerical position was able to increase the hours of a position in Bethel. | nd in-court ties while i ourt's electr lers are ave osequent p he other ju- ons in Kena nat no cour me of the p | clerk is now pre- in the courtroom, onic systems for ailable to defend aper processing stice agencies a ai, Bethel, and N t proceedings ar vressure associa | esent during high, and data essent dissemination to lants and attorned and mailing. The and ultimately the lome to address the postponed or of the distribution of the distribution of the distribution of the land distribut | volume tial to to throughout ys without ese serious canceled atte | | | | | | | | |
| For FY11, the court system is requesting the remaining positifor the eighteen remaining positions will be used to hire additing personnel, and range 14 supervisory positions. The new count makes of in-courts are available for court proceedings and a staff. Given the complexity of the job, it currently takes approtrained. The additional range 10 clerical positions will allow undistribution of orders and other documents. | ional range urtroom poo Iso improv eximately o | e 10 clerical posi sitions will ensur e the court syste ne year for an in | tions, range 12 c re that an adequa em's ability to trai r-court clerk to be | ourtroom ate in in-court e fully | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 400.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The Craig court is currently staffed with a magistrate III, a clerk of court, a full-time clerk and a part-time clerk. This request is to make the part-time clerk full-time to assist with the management of felonies and other superior court case filings now that the Craig court is a superior court filing site. The superior court judge based in Sitka regularly travels to Craig. The additional clerk hours will be used to support the additional work created by the superior court case activity. This position will cost approximately \$28,000.

Third District

The third district has 22 magistrates and masters. Six deputy magistrates are regularly assigned weekend duty. The recent addition of an active, dedicated statewide magistrate trainer has been very beneficial, however, more magistrate training resources are justified for a district the size of the third. Because the majority of the district's

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|
| | Type | <u>Expenditure</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | <u>Misc</u> | PFT _ | PPT _ | <u>TMP</u> |
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Expand Deputy Clerk II Position to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improve Services in Craig (First District) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| magistrates and masters are law-trained judicial officers wh | o rarely pe | rform clerical dutie | es, this training is | not an | | | | | | | | |
| appropriate responsibility for the district's Rural Court Traini | ng Assista | nt. This Anchorag | e part-time positi | ion will | | | | | | | | |
| cost approximately \$66,000. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 28.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Update Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial | Inc | 400.0 | 400.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employees for Parity with SU and GGU contracts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Department of Administration has received a consultan | t's recomm | endation for chan | ges to the geogra | aphic | | | | | | | | |
| differential applied to salaries throughout the state. The diff | | | rt employees is | | | | | | | | | |
| established by Alaska Statute 39.27.02 and was last change | ed over 25 | years ago. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Differentials currently paid in several rural court locations ur | nder the sta | ntute are less than | the differentials | paid to | | | | | | | | |
| employees under either the SU or GGU contracts. The cou | rt system's | increment reflects | s the cost of ado | oting the | | | | | | | | |
| recommended geographic differentials for locations favorab | | | | ing in | | | | | | | | |
| locations negatively impacted by the changed geographic d | ifferentials | would remain on t | the existing pay | | | | | | | | | |
| schedules. As these employees are replaced, newly hired | | | new schedules w | ith the | | | | | | | | |
| reduced geographic differential. The estimated cost of this | increment i | is \$1,483,200. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 400.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Telephone and Teleconferencing Services Due to an | Inc | 98.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increase in Rates for Instate Calls (No More Prepaid Calling | | | | | | | | | | | | |

In past years the court system used prepaid phone cards extensively to save on toll costs for long-distance phone calls. These cards were particularly useful for instate calls as local carriers charged from \$0.115 to \$0.18 per minute. With a prepaid phone card, the court system was paying \$0.0283 or less than three cents per minute for calls made with a Sprint prepaid calling card. Effective October 2008, Sprint sold its interest in prepaid calling cards to a carrier that was unable to provide the instate calling services at the rates formerly offered by Sprint and did not have the facilities to insure that calls made to remote locations would be of sufficient quality to conduct court business. The court system pursued other prepaid calling card vendors, but none could provide a reliable service at less cost than amounts charged by the local carriers, two of which have agreed to charge \$0.10 per minute for instate calls. The court system is requesting \$42,000 to pay for these increased telephony costs.

The court system regularly conducts hearings telephonically. By court rule, parties convenienced by holding a hearing telephonically must pay the cost of the hearing. The court pays the telephone cost if the judge is able to avoid traveling to the hearing (cost savings measure). For other situations, the defendant, public defender, plaintiff or prosecution would be responsible for the cost of the call. Frequently the payer is another state agency. In the past, the in-court clerk would collect calling cards from the payers and place the calls. This was time-consuming and caused delays in court proceedings. To address the efficiency issue in high-volume proceedings with multiple parties in attendance, the court piloted a project wherein the court system used a teleconferencing service to coordinate the calls. Using the service resolved many issues and placed the burden of calling into the hearing on the parties rather than on the in-court court clerk who frequently had to track down parties who may be at home, on their cell phone, at their office, etc. The vendor is extremely reliable is extremely reliable and charges \$0.059 per minute for each caller. The court system is requesting \$56,000 in additional funding to expand the pilot project to include all telephonic proceedings and to pay the long-distance charges for telephonic proceedings that would generally be borne by another state agency.

1004 Gen Fund (UGF)

Cards)

98.0

2017 Legislature - Operating Budget **Transaction Detail - Governor Structure**

06-18IncDecF Column

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 CC: Life Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems | Inc | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Automation of its case management system requires the co | urt to regula | arly update its op | erating systems to | be be | | | | | | | | |
| compatible with software versions and licensing requirement | its. Rapid a | dvancements in | computer technol | ogy | | | | | | | | |
| require the court system to continually evaluate and improve | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court has received sporadic grant and capital funding to rep | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| planned, life-cycle approach to replacement of these system | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| into the court's base operating budget. Experts recommend | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| years for printers. The court plans to keep its equipment in p | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| guidelines, but requires a sustained funding source to facilit system received \$125,000 in its base budget for the purcha | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| requesting an additional \$250,000 in FY11. | se or replac | ement computer | s and servers and | IS | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Pro Tem Pay Increase (\$30 per hour to \$75 per hour) | Inc | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| for Retired Judges Providing Court Coverage | 1110 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | O | O |
| Retired judicial officers are essential resources for the court | . They are | used for court c | overage during iud | dicial | | | | | | | | |
| vacancies, to perform settlement conferences and cover pro | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| special projects. In single judge locations, pro tem coverage | is especial | lly critical as no d | other judicial resou | rce is | | | | | | | | |
| available. Since 1997, pro tem judges have been paid a da | ily rate, whi | ch is based on a | \$30 hourly rate. | The court | | | | | | | | |
| system requests the daily rate pay basis be increased to \$7 | 5 per hour, | which is compar | able to the hourly | rate for | | | | | | | | |
| court-appointed attorneys and attorneys appointed by the C | ffice of Pub | lic Advocacy (Ol | PA). | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| FY2011 Language Interpreter Center Support for Training and | Inc | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Provision of Qualified Interpreters | | | | 0 1 | | | | | | | | |
| The Alaska Court System is involved in a multi-agency colla (LIC). The LIC has three goals: train interpreters on skills a | | | | Center | | | | | | | | |
| responsibilities of working with interpreters; and provide a re | , | , | | rofite | | | | | | | | |
| and businesses in need of qualified interpreters. | neriai servi | ce for governine | nt agencies, nonpi | onis, | | | | | | | | |
| and businesses in rised of quanties interpretere. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The court system is required to provide language interprete | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interpretation of federal law suggests that parties, victims, a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| entitled to court-paid interpreter services for any type of cou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and certification of interpreters was not available in anywhe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and to ensure that a pool of certified language interpreters i | | • | | iding is | | | | | | | | |
| needed to pay for interpreter services and training. The est | imated cost | of this service is | \$ \$25,000. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 25.0 | Inc | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Galena and Chevak Leased Space Increases Galena and Chevak could function as district court trial sites | Inc with increase | | | | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| court's fairness and access goals. Trials in the busy Cheva | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inadequate space at this facility. The Galena trial facility is | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| increasingly difficult to maintain, so the court seeks newer a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| increased to 1200SF, at a cost of \$4/SF in Chevak and \$5/ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Galena is \$54,000 and in Chevak is \$45,200. | | | | ., | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 99.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Increased Funding for Family Law Self-Help Center | Inc | 100.0 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| (FLSHC) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FLSHC assists pro se litigants (litigants without lawyers | s) throughou | it the state. Ass | istance is provided | 1 | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

Canital

| | Trans Type I | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|--------------|-----|-----|
| ka Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | 4.4 | | | | |
| rial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Increased Funding for Family Law | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Help Center (FLSHC) (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| primarily over the phone and via internet through the court's | s website for | cases such as di | vorce, dissolution | n, child | | | | | | | | |
| support and other family-related law. This operation is curr | ently support | ed by federal fun | ds that are passe | ed | | | | | | | | |
| through from the Child Support Services Division, which ha | s budgeted a | n additional \$100 | 0,000 for pass-thi | rough to | | | | | | | | |
| the court system. This will be accomplished through an inte | eragency agri | eement. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Elimination of Vacancy Savings Requirement for | Inc | 250.0 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Judicial Positions | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Based on its size, the court system falls within OMB staffing | g guidelines f | or managing its p | oersonal services | budget | | | | | | | | |
| within a 4% - 7% vacancy factor. The court system has be | en managing | with a 6% - 7% | vacancy factor by | / holding | | | | | | | | |
| vacated positions open for a 30-day period. To prevent fun | ther case bac | klogs, the court | system has aske | d retiring | | | | | | | | |
| judges to give sufficient notice to permit selection and appo | intment of the | eir replacements | before leaving th | neir | | | | | | | | |
| positions. To attain 0% vacancy of judicial positions, the co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| budgets of the appellate and trial courts. Towards this effor | rt, the trial co | urts received \$25 | 50,000 in FY09 al | nd | | | | | | | | |
| \$200,000 in FY10. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 250.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Transcription Costs for Grand Jury Proceedings | Inc | 148.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 148.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) 148.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Ch. 56, SLA 2010 (HB 421) FY 2011 Noncovered | FisNot | 1,045.7 | 1,045.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Employees Salary Increase | | | | | | | | | | | | C |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,045.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | (|
| 1004 0011 4114 (001) | | | | | | | | | | | | (|
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR | FisNot | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| , | FisNot | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR | FisNot | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING | FisNot FisNot | 15.0 499.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 18.8 | 0.0 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | C |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 FY2011 INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 FY2011 INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 499.1 | FisNot | | 440.5 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 4 -4 | | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 FY2011 INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 499.1 FY2011 DID NOT PASS: INCREASING NUMBER OF | | 499.1 | | | | | | | 0.0 | 0 4 -4 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 FY2011 INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 499.1 FY2011 DID NOT PASS: INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) | FisNot | 499.1 | 440.5 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 4 -4 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 111, SLA 2010 (HB 52) POST-TRIAL JUROR COUNSELING 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 15.0 FY2011 INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 499.1 FY2011 DID NOT PASS: INCREASING NUMBER OF SUPERIOR CT JUDGES (SB 246) | FisNot | 499.1 | 440.5 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 4 -4 | 0 | 0 |

In the Alaska Court System's FY09, FY10, and FY11 budget requests, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent legislative sessions. This initiative is integral to the court system's long-range planning effort. Past appropriations have supported projects in Palmer, Anchorage and Fairbanks that improved courtroom efficiency by streamlining proceedings. In these locations, a second in-court clerk is now present during high volume proceedings so that case paperwork can be generated and distributed to parties in the courtroom, and data essential to public safety can be recorded in the court's electronic systems, making it immediately accessible throughout the justice system. Judgments, ball conditions, and other court orders are available to defendants and attorneys without delay, promoting compliance and eliminating the need for subsequent paper processing and mailing. These improvements are not only a benefit to the court, but also to corrections, state and local law enforcement, and other justice agencies.

Using funding for this initiative, the court system was also able to add new court clerk positions in Anchorage,

Numbers and Language

| | Trans | Total | Personal | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|----------|-------------|---------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Type | Expenditure | Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2012 Reduced increment request for No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dark Courtrooms (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palmer, Kenai, Bethel, and Nome to address serious courtro that no court proceedings are postponed or canceled due to system also added general clerical positions in Juneau, Naki to increase the hours of a position in Bethel. | the unava | ilability of court su | Ipport staff. The c | court | | | | | | | | |
| For FY12, the court system is requesting the remaining positions will be used to hire addition and range 14 supervisors. The new courtroom positions will are available for court proceedings and will also improve the complexity of the job, it takes approximately one year for an 10 clerical positions will allow understaffed courts to keep up documents. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 467.4 | nal range ensure the court syste in-court cl | 10 clerks, range 1 at an adequate no em's ability to trai erk to be fully trai | 2 courtroom pers umber of in-court in in-court staff. C ined. The addition | connel, clerks Given the aal range | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Partially fund request to add 1 PFT and increase hours | Inc | 131.7 | 111.7 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| for 1 PPT position to improve services in the Trial Courts | 2110 | 20211 | 111, | 0.0 | , | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | Ü | Ü |
| The trial courts request \$286,400 for two permanent full-time permanent part-time position. These requests are described the custody investigator for Ketchikan and Juneau works hal part-time position to 30 hours per week to provide clericals us Because of the limited clerical support available to the two competers of the limited clerical support available to the two competers of the limited clerical support available to the two competers of the limited clerical support available to the two competers of the limited clerical support available to the two competers of the limited performs when their time would be better specific performed by the division of custody investigations helps expirate as the support of the sexport of the describing similar to appeals. As the papeals of decisions issued in agency administrative proceed from prisoners, which are procedurally similar to appeals. As by parties not represented by counsel, are particularly time-coften file applications and other papers that are procedurally rules. Since the agency appeals involve attorneys for those impact those attorneys and agencies as well as the courts. The procedurally rules are suffered by these inpact through the courts. The procedural sequence of the s | below:Fir. f-time. This poort to twistody inve- ent conduct ent co | st DistrictThe adnis request is to inco 30-hours/week estigators, they are citing the investigatisposition of child DistrictThis attorne with post convicted and PCR case for the court. See and they struggle the problems with est need substanting at a sudicial officers and judicial officers with the range of the court. See and indicial officers there with the range of the see services is siding adequate judicial improve and enlatisfaction by the district of the cost \$130,800 of the set of the cost \$130,800 of | ninistrative assistative assistative assistative assistative actions investigate of the required that the same as the end of the required that the same as the end of the represented little to comply with a comply prepared fally more monitorially more and training and training and training indicial education, the assential to adecidicial education, and the public and increasion. | of the stors. o handling free (PCR) ose filed gants ourt blitigants ring than fed to fenty six for range fing onal | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Geographical Differential for Non-Judicial Employees For FY11, the court system received funding to increase the amounts then being paid to union employees working in the | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total _Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|--|---|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2012 Geographical Differential for Non-Judicial Employees (continued) (GGU). However, beginning in FY12, those union employee salaries. Those changes were approved by the legislature is agreements. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This increment reflects the court system's cost of adopting manner. Court employees favorably impacted by a change amount. Court employees working in locations negatively in remain on the existing pay schedules. As these employees new schedules with the reduced geographic differential. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 411.0 | d geograph npacted by are replace | ic differential will the changed geo | receive the new sa graphic differentia aff will be placed o | alary als will on the | FAF 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 Software Support Costs Vendors for the court's case management software and open licensing fees and maintenance expense. As with utilities, court system, the costs for the maintenance and support for support costs will render the court unable to receive software repair "bugs" detected after software applications are releas system, a new digital imaging system, and a new recording software and upgraded Microsoft software applications to a imperative that this investment be protected by purchasing 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 545.2 | service con r software h re upgrades sed. The ma system had ddress the licensing an | tracts, supplies a nas increased. Fa s and software pa igration over to a s required extens court's functional and maintenance a | nd other expenses ailure to pay the so teches that are nee new case manage ive investment in a requirements. It is agreements. | s of the oftware eded to ement new | 545.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 Partial Funding for Life Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems Automation of its case management system requires the compatible with software versions and licensing requirement require the court system to continually evaluate and improve court has received sporadic grant and capital funding to replanned, life-cycle approach to replacement of these system into the court's base operating budget. Experts recommend computers (PCs) and four years for printers. The court plant beyond the recommended guidelines, but requires a sustaints funding base, the court system has received \$350,000. funding of \$500,000 to facilitate a planned life-cycle approal 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | nts. Rapid a re its base of blace equipi ms, a sustal d replacement is to keep it ned funding An appropr | advancements in of technological education and operation in do source of fureint cycles of three is equipment in plus ource to facilitation of \$150,000 | computer technol quipment. In the pag systems. To en nding must be income e years for person ace for an addition te this cycled app 0 will secure a bas | logy past, the psure a prporated pal pal year roach. In | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 Improving Handling of Cases Involving Children Families involved in child custody disputes come with a var a trial to resolve their case, others could resolve their issue appropriate level of intervention could help families resolve judicial resources. This request would allow the court syste procedures in the Anchorage trial court, to continue a speci volunteer attorneys, and to refer more families to mediation evaluation. Funding requested is for contractual mediation investigator; and, increased hours for an existing attorney profile. | s in a less f their disput em to devel ial court doo , judicial se services; p | ormal forum. Mat les in a more time op and test case cket that teams u ttlement conferer ro tem judicial wo | ching each family ely manner, and co screening and ass nrepresented pare nces, and early ne ork; a part-time cus | with the conserve sessment ents with utral stody | 167.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|--------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Type | Expenditure | Services | Travel | <u>Services</u> | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT_ | TMP |

Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued)

FY2012 Improving Handling of Cases Involving Children (continued)

Screening and Assessment -- The FLSHC will review new custody filings and flag those in which neither parent has an attorney. These cases will be screened and assessed to determine if they are candidates for participation in a special docket or other non-trial options as described below.

Special Docket - Cases in which parties need information about the strength of their case will be referred to a special docket at which "unbundled" legal services will be provided free by local attorneys. (Unbundled legal services are limited in scope and time compared to full representation).

Child Custody Mediation - Mediation offers parents an opportunity to create co-parenting plans for their children in a non-adversarial, confidential, informal setting with a trained and experienced neutral mediator. This request is an outgrowth of the success of the mediation services provided through the court system's Child Custody and Visitation Mediation Program (CCVMP) for over 10 years. Over a recent 18-month period, referrals to mediation increased sharply, resulting in a 250 percent increase in costs, an amount not sustainable by the federal grant that funds this program. The court system seeks to leverage grant funds to provide an important continuum of mediation services to parents and maximize court resources. The focus of state funding will be to assist parents in conflict over physical and legal custody issues regarding their children and the initial court filing, prior to a decree.

Mediation is not appropriate in every case and this project will develop referral protocols, including screening for domestic violence for the more than 3,000 annual filings, about 54 percent of which are pre-decree, involving custody disputes in domestic relations cases. The pool of private contract mediators will be expanded and given specialized training. The program will evaluate its outcomes, including the impact of early mediation on later litigation and expects to find that successful mediation of the initial co-parenting plan results in a significant decrease in later contested motions for modifications.

Child in Need of Aid (CINA) Mediation - Funds are needed to expand the CINA mediation program in Juneau. In the past two years, the Juneau court has used the CINA mediation program quite heavily to successfully resolve CINA and CINA-related cases. At this point, the program's proven track record supports changing it from a grant-funded pilot project to a permanent mediation location.

Judicial Settlement Conferences - High-conflict parents with communication issues and differing assessments of their own likelihood of success at trial often can benefit from the more evaluative or directed approach of a judge in a settlement conference setting. These individuals often present difficult management challenges during the pretrial litigation phase and in the adversarial setting of the trial itself.

Early Neutral Evaluation - Some parents are motivated to negotiate their own agreement, but they are stymied by significantly differing notions of the likelihood of prevailing at trial, often because they do not understand the factors that guide the judge's custody decision. These parents can benefit from referral to an experienced custody evaluator who can explain the salient factors, evaluate the particular needs of the children, and help the parents negotiate an agreement that meets those needs.

negotiate an agreement that meets those 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 276.1

FY2012 Language Interpreter Center - Interpreter Skill Development

Inc **25.0**

0.0

0.0

25.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0 0

The Alaska Court System is involved in a multi-agency collaboration to sustain the statewide Language Interpreter Center (LIC). The LIC has three goals: train interpreters on skills and ethics; train professionals on the roles and responsibilities of working with interpreters; and provide a referral service for government agencies, nonprofits, and businesses in need of qualified interpreters. In FY 11, the court system received an appropriation of \$25,000 to support expansion of the pool of certified court interpreters through the LIC.

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type E | Total xpenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc_ | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Language Interpreter Center - Interpreter Skill Development (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| In August 2010, the Department of Justice notified chief jus | tices and state | court adminis | trators that courts | | | | | | | | | |
| receiving federal financial assistance must provide free lang | | | | whose | | | | | | | | |
| presence or participation in a court matter is necessary or a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| language services. This funding will be used to procure add | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| language lines, to translate court materials, and to provide in 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 25.0 | • | | | | 100 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | | 0 |
| FY2012 Naknek and Aniak Leased Space Increases | Inc | 160.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 160.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Naknek Court has been located in the basement level on The courtroom is poorly designed and is too small to accom | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| within inches of the jury box. The public seating is comprise | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| judge's bench and the in-court clerk's desk are not large en | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| equipment. The witness stand is raised and, therefore, doe | es not meet ac | cessibility requ | irements of the An | nericans | | | | | | | | |
| with Disabilities Act. The clerk's office is also poorly design | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lacks sufficient electrical outlets and does not have private | | , | | , | | | | | | | | |
| seeks additional funding to lease a new facility. The estima | atea increasea | cost for space | in Naknek is \$104 | ,500. | | | | | | | | |
| The Aniak courthouse requires additional space to function | as a district co | ourt trial site. T | he Aniak court is o | currently | | | | | | | | |
| in a facility of less than 1,000 sqft. The estimated increased | | | | Janonay | | | | | | | | |
| Expanding the Aniak facility will support the court's fairness | | | | in rural | | | | | | | | |
| areas. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 160.1 | | 404.0 | 01.0 | 0.0 | 00.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | _ | 0 |
| FY2012 Establish a District Court in Hooper Bay | Inc | 181.3 | 81.9 | 3.0 | 89.9 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| The community of Hooper Bay is the most populous community indicial officer. Given the demand for services in this region | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| magistrate post in Hooper Bay. The court system currently | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| position to Hooper Bay while continuing to serve the Cheva | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| estimated cost for a 1,500 sqft leased facility and utilities in | | | ., | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Although the court calendars for these two communities can | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| between Hooper Bay and Chevak, the new Hooper Bay countries necessary clerical support and to maintain regular court hou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| s94,400. | urs. Establishir | ng a cierk or co | ин ін поорет вау | WIII COST | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 181.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Public Building Fund Leased Space Increases | IncM | 69.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Alaska Court System occupies space in the Dimond Co | ourthouse and | the Palmer Sta | ate Office Building | The | | | | | | | | |
| Department of Administration has advised the court system | that the increa | ased funding n | eeded in FY12 to p | pay the | | | | | | | | |
| cost of the court system's share of this space is \$69,200. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 69.2 | Time | 200 0 | 00.0 | 12.0 | 07.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 | 1 | ^ | 0 |
| FY2012 Increased Funding for Family Law Self-Help Center (FLSHC) | Inc | 200.0 | 90.8 | 12.0 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| The Child Support Services Division (CSSD) receives feder | ral funding that | t can be nasse | d through to the co | urt for | | | | | | | | |
| costs related to child support activity. For FY12, CSSD has | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court system. Funding will be used to pay the expense of a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| increased hours for the Family Law Self-Help Center (FLSF | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| coordinator due to expiring federal grant 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 1092 MTHAR (Other) 39.1 FY2012 I/A to replace GF distributed to requesting agencies for FndChg 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc _ | PFT | PPT | TM |
|--|--------------|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|-----|-----|----|
| Fix2012 Increased Funding for Family Law Self-Heip Center (FLSHC) (continued) alwayers) throughout the state. Assistance through the ELSHC is provided primarily over the phone and via the Internet through the court's website for cases such as divorce, dissolution, child support and other family-related law. The Child Custody, Visitation, and Support Analyst reviews case lifes to determine if appropriate custody and visitation orders have been issued; drafts custody and visitation orders have been issued; drafts custody and visitation orders have been sized; drafts custody and visitation orders have been sized; drafts custody and visitation orders have been sized; drafts custody and visitation orders have been appropriate outsody and visitation orders have been appropriate outsody and visitation orders have been approfraged and have been appropriate outsody and visitation orders have been appropriate custody and visitation orders have been appropriated custody and visitation orders have been appropriated custody and visitation orders have been appropriated early appropriate custody and visitation orders have been appropriated early appropriated and have been appropriated early appropriated have been appropriated early app | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Help Center (FLSHC) Continued) lawyers) throughout the state. Assistance through the FLSHC is provided primarily over the phone and via the Internet through the court's website for cases such as divorce, dissolution, child support and other family-related law. The Child Custody, Visitation, and Support Analyst reviews case filles to determine if appropriate custody and visitation orders have been issued, that is custody and visitation orders, calculates child support payments in accordance with state statutes, court rules and judicial orders; calculates child support payments in accordance with state statutes, court rules and judicial orders; acclusives statistics and prepares reports or child support case activities. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inayers) throughout the state. Assistance through the PESHC is provided primarily over the phone and via the Internet through the court's website for cases such as divorce, dissolution, official support and order learnily-related law. The Child Custody, Visitation, and Support Analyst reviews case files to determine if appropriate custody and visitation orders have been sixued; drafts custody and visitation orders; acuted maintains statistics and prepares reports or child support case activities. 1007 I/A Repts (Other) 200.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Internet through the court's website for cases such as divorce, dissolution, child support and other family-related law. The Child Custody, visitation orders have been issued, thrafts custody and visitation orders; and unitatives care like so to determine if appropriate outsoldy and visitation orders; and unitatives care like so to determine if appropriate outsoldy and visitation orders; and unitatives count local and visitation orders; and unitatives care reports on child support case activities. 1007 IN Recpts (Other) 200,10 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | 0110: :1 | , , , , | | | | | | | | | | |
| wistation orders have been issued; drafts sustantly and visitation orders; and maintains statistics and prepares reports on child support case activities. 1007 VIA Rights (Othen) 200,0 FY2012 Continue funding for part-time domestic violence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| wistation orders have been issued, drafts custody and visitation orders; calculates child support payments in accordance with size statutes, count rules and judicial orders; and maintains statistics and prepares reports on child support case activities. 1007 IN RODIK (Othen 200.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| accordance with state statutes, court rules and judicial orders; and maintains statistics and prepares reports on child support case activities. 1007 IAR Repts (Other) 200.0 FY2012 Continue funding for part-time domestic violence IncM 78.2 39.1 0.0 39.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Child support case activities. 1007 IAR Ropts (Other) 200.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 NA Richs (Other) 200.0 FY2012 Continue funding for part-time domestic violence IncM 78.2 39.1 0.0 39.1 0.0 | | | aoro, arra rran. | iamo otationoo ar | . а р. ора. оо . оро. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Continue funding for part-time domestic violence coordinator due to expliring federal grant 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 10032 MHTAR (Other) 39.1 10032 MHTAR (Other) 39.1 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 1005 MHTAR (Other) 39. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coordinator due to expiring federal grant 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 39.1 | | | IncM | 78.2 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 39.1 FY2012 I/N AR (Other) 39.1 FY2012 I/N AR (Other) 39.1 FY2012 I/N AR (Other) 39.1 FY2012 I/N Lo replace GF distributed to requesting agencies for FndChg 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 (An to replace GF distributed to requesting agencies for FndChg 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. | 1004 Ger | n Fund (UGF) 39.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| transcription costs associated with appellate court proceedings 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 1092 MH | TAAR (Other) 39.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 242.2 FY2012 Ch. 11, SLA 2011 (SB 58) INCREASING NUMBER OF FisNot 1,015.6 888.4 10.0 37.6 79.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8 SUPERIOR CT JUDGES This fiscal note is different from the court's earlier note in that it is on an updated fiscal note form and it has an amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Y2012 I/A to | o replace GF distributed to requesting agencies for | FndChg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1007 I/A Ropts (Other) 242.2 FY2012 Ch. 11, SLA 2011 (SB 58) INCREASING NUMBER OF FisNot 1,015.6 888.4 10.0 37.6 79.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 8 SUPERIOR CT JUDGES This fiscal note is different from the court's earlier note in that it is on an updated fiscal note form and it has an amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100 400 Gen Fund (UGF) 419.1 FY2013 Ultily Expenses Associated with New Courts Opening Inc 64.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 64.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | anscription | costs associated with appellate court proceedings | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 C.h. 11, SLA 2011 (SB 58) INCREASING NUMBER OF FisNot 1,015.6 888.4 10.0 37.6 79.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 88 SUPERIOR CT JUDICES This fiscal note is different from the court's earlier note in that it is on an updated fiscal note form and it has an amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUPERIOR CT JUDGES This fiscal note is different from the court's earlier note in that it is on an updated fiscal note form and it has an amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This fiscal note is different from the court's earlier note in that it is on an updated fiscal note form and it has an amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | FisNot | 1,015.6 | 888.4 | 10.0 | 37.6 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0 | |
| amended analysis. Neither the bill version nor the fiscal impact has changed. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,015.6 FY2013 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees Inc 419.1 419.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Utility Expenses Associated with New Courts Opening Inc 64.0 0.0 0.0 64.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 1004 Ger | n Fund (ÚGF) 1,015.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| During FY2012 The court system is requesting \$64,000 for utility expenses associated with new courts opening during FY2012. The annual average utility cost for new facilities opening in Petersburg, Galena, Hooper Bay, and Aniak will be \$16,000 per location. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 64.0 FY2013 Increased Utility Expenses in Existing Courts IncM 63.2 0.0 0.0 63.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 The Fairbanks court is projected to require an additional \$22,600 for increased utility costs, much of which is associated with the cost to heat the facility. For Bethel, the cost for electricity is expected to increase by \$24,800 over the costs incurred in FY11. The balance of the funding requested is for lesser utility cost increases in other court locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | 1004 Ger | n Fund (UGF) 419.1 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| The annual average utility cost for new facilities opening in Petersburg, Galena, Hooper Bay, and Aniak will be \$16,000 per location. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 64.0 FY2013 Increased Utility Expenses in Existing Courts IncM 63.2 0.0 0.0 63.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | uring FY20 | 12 | | | | | 64.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2013 Increased Utility Expenses in Existing Courts IncM 63.2 0.0 0.0 63.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | The a | nnual average utility cost for new facilities opening i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Fairbanks court is projected to require an additional \$22,600 for increased utility costs, much of which is associated with the cost to heat the facility. For Bethel, the cost for electricity is expected to increase by \$24,800 over the costs incurred in FY11. The balance of the funding requested is for lesser utility cost increases in other court locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | 1004 Ger | n Fund (UGF) 64.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| associated with the cost to heat the facility. For Bethel, the cost for electricity is expected to increase by \$24,800 over the costs incurred in FY11. The balance of the funding requested is for lesser utility cost increases in other court locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | 63.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| over the costs incurred in FY11. The balance of the funding requested is for lesser utility cost increases in other court locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 63.2 FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | ng requested is | s for lesser utility | cost increases in | other | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms Inc 488.4 428.4 0.0 21.0 39.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 60.0 1.0 Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark" Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| In Alaska Court System's budget requests for the last four fiscal years, funding was sought for the "No Dark Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Courtrooms" initiative to improve courtroom efficiency around the state. A portion of the funding requested has been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | 21.0 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0 | |
| been appropriated each year with the understanding that the court would seek further funding during subsequent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | , | | , | 0 , | | | | | | | | | |
| legislative sessions. I nis initiative is integral to the court system's long-range planning effort. | | | | | | equent | | | | | | | | |
| -0 | iegisla | auve sessions. I his initiative is integral to the court | system's long- | rarige pianning e | ποπ. | | | | | | | | | |

volume proceedings so that case paperwork can be generated and distributed to parties in the courtroom, and

2017 Legislature - Operating Budget Transaction Detail - Governor Structure

06-18IncDecF Column

Numbers and Language

| | Tnanc | Total | Donconal | | | | Canital | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|------------|--------------------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------------|------------|
| | Trans | Total | Personal | Travel | Sarvicas | Commodities | Capital | Grants | Misc | DET | DDT | TMD |
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2013 No Dark Courtrooms (continued) data essential to public safety can be recorded more systema make it immediately accessible throughout the justice system are available to defendants and attorneys without delay, which eliminated the need for subsequent paper processing and mathe court, but also to the Department of Corrections, state and Using funding for this initiative, the court system was also able Palmer, Kenai, Bethel, and Nome to address serious courtroof that no court proceedings are postponed or canceled due to system also added general clerical positions in Juneau, Nakmand was able to increase the hours of a position in Bethel. | tically in to Judgme h has profiling. The d local law e to add n m unders he unavai | ents, bail condition moted compliance se improvements renforcement, an ew court clerk po taffing. The new lability of court su | ns, and other counts and other counts are not only bened other justice agastions in Anchora positions help to apport staff. The counts are sufficient of the coun | nt orders and eficial to encies. age, ensure ourt | Services . | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | Grants | Misc _ | PFT _ | <u>PPT</u> _ | <u>TMP</u> |
| For FY13, the court system is requesting the remaining six por funding for the six remaining positions will be used to hire add personnel, and range 14 supervisors. The new courtroom poin-court clerks are available for court proceedings and will also staff. Given the complexity of the job, it takes approximately additional range 10 clerical positions will allow understaffed coorders and other documents. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 488.4 FY2013 Emmonak Courthouse Expansion The Emmonak courthouse requires additional space to function | litional rar sitions wil o improve one year ourts to ke Inc on as a di | nge 10 clerks, ran I ensure that an a the court system for an in-court cle eep up with data of 74.5 strict court trial sin | ge 12 courtroom dequate number 's ability to train in the to be fully train entry and distribut 0.0 de. The Emmonal | of n-court led. The ion of 0.0 k court is | 74.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| currently in a 1,040 sqft facility. Funding is requested to secur facilities that were recently constructed (or are under construc The estimated increased cost to secure a 1,850 sqft lease is the court's fairness and access goal to increase judicial prese 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 74.5 | tion) and \$74,500. | leased in Hooper Expanding the Er | Bay, Aniak, and | Galena. | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Leased Space Increases The court system leases court facilities from several private la a competitive bid process, three new court facilities were open associated with construction projects in these communities, the and Hooper Bay was higher than initially projected. Of the and lease expenses in the three new facilities. In addition to this a increases for long-term leases. Finally, an additional \$35,000 the Department of Military and Veteran's Affairs for the space | ned in the ne annual nount requ amount \$1) is reques | fourth district. D rent expense for uested, \$59,200 is 18,400 is required sted to pay increa | ue to higher costs facilities in Aniak, s for funding to pa t to fund planned sed costs assess | s Galena, ay the lease | 193.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Alaska Court System occupies space in the Dimond Cou Department of Administration has advised the court system the cost of the court system's share of this space is \$59,600 for the State Office Building. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 193.0 FY2013 Software Support Costs Vendors for the court's case management software and opera licensing fees and maintenance expense. As with utilities, se court system, the costs for the maintenance and support for s | nat the income Dimono IncM ating system | reased funding no d Courthouse and 70.6 ems software assi racts, supplies ar | eeded in FY13 to \$20,800 for the in 0.0 ess charges for read other expenses | pay the Palmer 0.0 ecurring s of the | 70.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Software Support Costs (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| support costs will render the court unable to receive software | uparades | s and software pa | atches that are ne | eeded to | | | | | | | | |
| repair "bugs" detected after software applications are release | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| system, a new digital imaging system, and a new recording s | ystem ha | s required extens | sive investment in | new | | | | | | | | |
| software and upgraded Microsoft software applications to ad | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| imperative that this investment be protected by purchasing li | ensing ar | nd maintenance a | agreements. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 70.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Security Services Contractual Increases | IncM | 105.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$105,200 is requested in FY2013 for the increased costs ass | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the various locations throughout the state. The court system | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| screening services in Juneau, Ketchikan, Anchorage, Palme | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| received additional funding in FY2010 for increased security implementing services and revised contractual arrangements | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 and FY2012. | , trie cour | t system ala not : | sеек адашопан н | unaing for | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 105.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Increased Cost for Central Mail Services | IncM | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Per Department of Administration FY2013 projected core ser | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | ••• | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ŭ |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Increased Lease & Utility Costs for Nome Court Facility | Inc | 176.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 176.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | |
| FY2014 On-going Funding for Americans with Disabilities Act | Inc | 300.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 300.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accommodation in Bethel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Alaska Court System is required to provide a reasonable appointed to the superior court bench in Bethel in July 2012. | | | , , | | | | | | | | | |
| disability experts, the court system determined that a reason | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CART (Communication Access Realtime Translation) transc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| language into text in the courtroom and in chambers so the j | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| courtroom and to enable him to communicate with his staff; u | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the transcriptionists' equipment; workstations for the realtime | transcript | tionists; and a va | riety of portable a | assistive | | | | | | | | |
| communication devices to enhance communication between | the judge | , his judicial assis | stant, and his law | / clerk. | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 300.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 One-Time Funding Portion for Americans with | Inc0TI | 156.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 156.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disabilities Act Accommodation in Bethel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Alaska Court System is required to provide a reasonable | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| appointed to the superior court bench in Bethel in July 2012. disability experts, the court system determined that a reason | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CART (Communication Access Realtime Translation) transc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| language into text in the courtroom and in chambers so the j | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| courtroom and to enable him to communicate with his staff; i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the transcriptionists' equipment; workstations for the realtime | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| communication devices to enhance communication between | the judge | , his judicial assis | stant, and his law | / clerk. | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 156.8 | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Existing Facility Leases | Inc | 91.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The court system leases court facilities from several private | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| required to fund lease increases for existing long-term leases | and \$10, | .800 is needed to | fund the Nome I | lease, | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type l | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel_ | Services C | ommodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc_ | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|--|---|--|--|------------|------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2014 Existing Facility Leases (continued) which was authorized in FY13. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Alaska Court System occupies space in the Dimond Co Department of Administration has advised the court system cost of the court system's share of this space is \$25,700 for State Office Building. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 91.6 | that the incre the Dimond | eased funding n Courthouse and | eeded in FY14 to I \$7,800 for the P | pay the almer | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Facility Maintenance Services Snow removal, janitorial services and other services contract cover the increase in FY14 is estimated to be \$104,700. W. service contracts costs must be absorbed within the mainten preventive maintenance activities to cover the cost of increating critical maintenance activities to be deferred. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 104.7 | ithout increas nance budge | sed funding, the t. Diverting fund | additional utility a ds from on-going | and | 104.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2014 Fairbanks 24/7 Sobriety Pilot Program The Fairbanks court has requested funding to pilot a project required to abstain from drinking as a condition of bail or procourt will require defendants to be screened for alcohol usay scheduled test, or testing demonstrates that a defendant ha instantly revoked and the defendant may be immediately jai per test. Similar programs in other states are funded entirely that greater than 60% of its criminal caseload involves some 100-125 defendants will participate in the program at any potential expanded to provide sobriety monitoring for alcohol-depending also be used by the Fairbanks Wellness Court for alcohol-decompared to the program and the progra | obation. Work ge twice daily is consumed iled. Participa y by participa e sort of alcol pint in time. If lent parents i | king with a local r. If a defendant alcohol, then pr nts will pay a sr nt fees. The Fai hol consumptior the program pr n child-in-need- | provider, the Fail fails to appear fo obation or bail manall fee (usually \$ rbanks court estimated and projects that oves successful, | banks r a ay be 11 - \$2) nates t t may be | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Similar 24/7 sobriety programs in other states have shown p sobriety program showed a general pattern of lower recidivis and that the program has a sustained effect on recidivism a requests \$40,000 to develop and implement a pilot 24/7 sob 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 40.0 | sm rates by ן fter program | participants com completion. The | pared to control g | ıroups, | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Judicial Retirement System Rate Decrease from 40.3% to 40.1% | Dec | -23.5 | -23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -23.5 FY2014 Partially Fund Interpreter and Translation Services In August 2010, the Department of Justice notified chief just receiving federal financial assistance must provide free lang presence or participation in a court matter is necessary or a additional interpreter services through the Language Interpr court materials, and to provide interpreter training to bilingue system received an appropriation of \$25,000 and another \$20.000 and another \$20.0000 and another \$20.0000 another \$20.0000 and another \$20.0000 a | guage assista ppropriate. T eter Center a al court empl | nce to all partie This funding will and various lang oyees. In FY11 | s and non-parties be used to procu uage lines, to trai and in FY12, the | whose re nslate court | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 10.0 FY2014 New Facility Leases in Sand Point and Craig LFD: Unalakleet should not need funding until FY15 and wa | Inc as removed fr | 123.3 om this increme | 0.0 | 0.0 | 123.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type E | Total xpenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---|--|---|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 New Facility Leases in Sand Point and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Craig (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility leases in Sand Point and Unalakleet have expired a Craig will expire during FY14. Current facilities in these loc therefore need to relocate and enter new leases. In additio disrepair and have not been maintained. The net amount obased upon 600SF @ \$4/sq ft; \$54,200 for Unalakleet base based on 3500SF @ \$4.50/sq ft. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | ations are not n, the current of increased fu | ADA compliant facilities in San nds needed for | t, and these coun od Point and Craig Sand Point is \$1 | ts g are in 9,000 | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Risk Management Cost Increases for Public Buildings | Inc | 125.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| due to DOA Core Service Rate Increases | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Department of Administration advised the court system system will be increasing by \$125,300 for FY14. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 125.3 | | J | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Utilities for New and Existing Facilities The court system is requesting \$192,200 for utility expense | Inc | 192.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 192.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| for cost increases projected in other court locations. The an Chevak, Craig, Emmonak, and Unalakleet will be \$17,500 projected to require an additional \$53,600 for increased util heat the facility. The cost for electricity is expected to incre \$31,400 in third district locations. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 192.2 | nnual average per location. A ity costs, mucl | utility cost for r dditionally, the n of which is as | new facilities ope Fairbanks court sociated with the | ning in is cost to | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Partial Funding for Wide Area Network (WAN) | Inc | 175.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 175.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bandwidth Expansion | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The court system has been experiencing network congestic improved transmission of data, the court system is in need to efficiently traverse the court system's network. As datable business, the amount of information that travels the network proceedings and other court processes. The court system with accommodating proceedings (particularly in the Bethel increased bandwidth over the amount needed for transmitting maintain court business for existing and new bandwidth-intervideo arraignment, video conferencing, electronic document terminals for statewide electronic communications, among the filing, document management, fully electronic case file), a project's success. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | of increased be ase and web ase and web ask slows and coincides also pursuint service area). Increasive applicate managemen others. Once to | andwidth to allo pplication use ingests, leading g videoconfere Videoconfere eased bandwid itions such as e t, disaster reco he court systen | ow electronic info increases for cou- g to delays during encing as a way to moting consumes th is generally re- filing, case mana very, and public a n implements its | rmation int icourt court cossist quired to agement, access eCourts | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 CC: Partial Funding for Wide Area Network (WAN) | Inc | 174.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 174.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bandwidth Expansion | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The court system has been experiencing network congestic improved transmission of data, the court system is in need to efficiently traverse the court system's network. As datable business, the amount of information that travels the network proceedings and other court processes. The court system is | of increased b ase and web a k slows and co is also pursuin | andwidth to allo pplication use ingests, leading | ow electronic info increases for cou g to delays during | rmation Irt I court | | | | | | | | |

with accommodating proceedings (particularly in the Bethel service area). Videoconferencing consumes

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) FY2014 CC: Partial Funding for Wide Area Network (WAN) Bandwidth Expansion (continued) increased bandwidth over the amount needed for transmitting data. Increased bandwidth is generally required to | |
|---|---|
| FY2014 CC: Partial Funding for Wide Area Network (WAN) Bandwidth Expansion (continued) increased bandwidth over the amount needed for transmitting data. Increased bandwidth is generally required to | |
| Network (WAN) Bandwidth Expansion (continued) increased bandwidth over the amount needed for transmitting data. Increased bandwidth is generally required to | |
| (continued) increased bandwidth over the amount needed for transmitting data. Increased bandwidth is generally required to | |
| | |
| | |
| maintain court business for existing and new bandwidth-intensive applications such as e-filing, case management, | |
| video arraignment, video conferencing, electronic document management, disaster recovery, and public access terminals for statewide electronic communications, among others. Once the court system implements its eCourts | |
| (e-filing, document management, fully electronic case file), higher network bandwidth will be integral to this | |
| project's success. | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 174.1 | |
| FY2015 Federal Receipts (Interagency) for Family Law FndChg 0.0 < | 0 |
| Self-Help Center The Family Law Self-Help Center (FLSHC) assists pro se litigants (litigants without lawyers) throughout the state. | |
| Assistance is conducted, primarily over the phone, on family law cases such as divorce, dissolution, child support, | |
| and other family-related law. The operation is currently completely funded by federal funds that are passed | |
| through the Child Support Services Division (CSSD) to the court system for this purpose. The court is eligible to | |
| receive additional funds through CSSD in FY15. These funds will be used to support and expand upon the | |
| services provided through the FLSHC. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -250.0 | |
| 1007 I/A Ropts (Other) 250.0 | |
| FY2015 Judicial Retirement System Decrease from 40.1% to Dec -36.7 -36.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0 |
| 39.79% | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -36.7 | 0 |
| FY2015 Costs for Court Appointed Visitors in Conservatorship Inc 53.5 0.0 0.0 53.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | 0 |
| Statutes | |
| In FY14, the Office of Public Advocacy (OPA) revised its interpretation of its authority statutes and determined that | |
| it could no longer fund the costs for court visitors in conservatorship cases; the court therefore must now pay the | |
| fees associated with the court visitors. The court had not previously been responsible for the payments and had | |
| not anticipated the change in policy. Based on payments made since the start of FY14, at which time the new policy was implemented by OPA, the court system estimates a need of \$107.0 for FY15. | |
| policy was implemented by OFA, the count system estimates a need of \$107.0 for F110. | |
| In addition, the Department of Law implemented a new policy in July 2013 that it would not enter plea agreements | |
| in certain serious felony and sex offense cases. The exact effects of that policy are not yet fully knowable, but the | |
| court anticipates that since fewer cases will be resolved through a plea bargain, the number of cases brought to | |
| jury trials and the number of charges brought before grand juries will increase. This request will cover the court's anticipated increased juror costs and increased use of pro tem judges to cover the increase in the criminal trial | |
| rate. | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 53.5 | |
| FY2015 Existing Facility Leases Inc 104.3 0.0 0.0 104.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | 0 |
| The court system leases court facilities from several private landlords throughout the state. For FY15, \$68.9 is | |
| required to fund lease increases for existing long-term leases in Nome, Dillingham, Unalaska, Homer, Glennallen, | |
| Bethel, Cordova, Kake, and Sand Point. | |

The Alaska Court System occupies space in the Dimond Courthouse and the Palmer State Office Building. The

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total _Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---------------|---|----------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2015 Existing Facility Leases (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Department of Administration has advised the court system t | hat the inc | creased funding n | eeded in FY15 to | nav the | | | | | | | | |
| cost of the court system's share of this space is \$27.1 for the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office Building. | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 104.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Reduce Existing Facility Lease Costs for the Nome | Dec | -21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court House as Costs will not Increase until FY16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -21.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Facility Maintenance Services | Inc | 46.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Snow removal and janitorial services contracts are increasing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Without increased funding, the additional service contracts of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| budget. Diverting funds from on-going preventive maintenan | | | st of increased se | rvice | | | | | | | | |
| contracts will cause these critical maintenance activities to be | e deterrea | l. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 46.0 | Tina | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2015 Interpreter and Translation Services In August 2010, the Department of Justice notified chief justice. | Inc | 25.0 | | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | 0 | U |
| receiving federal financial assistance must provide free langu | | | | whoso | | | | | | | | |
| presence or participation in a court matter is necessary or ap | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| additional interpreter services through the Language Interpre | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court materials, and to provide interpreter training to bilingua | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| system received an appropriation of \$25.0. In FY14, an additional | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court system continues to see an increase in limited English | proficient | persons involved i | in courtroom proce | eedings. | | | | | | | | |
| An additional \$25.0 is needed to address the increased need | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interpreters. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 25.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Life Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems | Inc | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Automation of its case management system requires the cou | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be compatible with updated software versions and licensing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| technology require the court system to continually evaluate a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the past, the court system has received sporadic grant and c systems. To ensure a planned, life-cycle approach to replace | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| must be incorporated into the court system's base operating | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and f | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equipment in place for an additional year beyond the recomm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding base, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| appropriation of \$175,000 will secure a base funding of \$600 | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| replacement of these critical systems. This amount will be all | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Administration and Support, and \$100.0 to Trial Courts. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 75.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Security Screening Services | Inc | 400.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 400.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The legislature has funded contracted security screening ser | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| superior court judges. For courts in Anchorage, Fairbanks, J | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| security guards are posted and security screening (akin to ai | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nome, Kotzebue, Barrow, Kodiak, Sitka, and Dillingham do r screening equipment. The court system is requesting funding | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| hired for each superior court location at which there is curren | | | security guard to | ne | | | | | | | | |
| mileu for each superior court location at which there is curren | my no sec | unity services. | | | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

Trans Total Personal Capital
<u>Type Expenditure Services Travel Services Commodities Outlay Grants Misc PFT PPT TI</u>

Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued)

FY2015 Security Screening Services (continued)

Because a minimum of two guards is required to operate security screening equipment consisting of an x-ray machine and a walk-through metal detector, the court system plans to use the single guard to perform hand searches of patrons' bags and use of hand-held metal detector to screen for prohibited items.

Additionally, enhanced security screening services are needed for the courtroom housed at the Anchorage Jail. Judges travel to that facility to conduct arraignments for defendants who are in custody; the prisoners are behind a secure barrier, but visitors who enter the jail facility and the courtroom are not adequately screened to ensure the security of the judge and of other visitors. The court is requesting funds to add a secondary screening station to ensure that improper items that place the judge and spectators at risk of harm are not brought into the courtroom and that the level of security is, at a minimum, equivalent to that of the Boney and Nesbett courthouses.

Finally, the Anchorage court handles long-term domestic violence (DV) protective orders on the first floor of the Boney Courthouse. Because these hearings can be volatile, Judicial Services tries to have an officer in the DV area, but this is not always possible given the resources required for in-custody criminal trials. The Anchorage court requests funding to contract for a security guard to be placed in the DV area to ensure the safety and security of DV petitioners.

1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 400.0

FY2015 CC: Reduce Portion of the \$400.0 Security Screening Services Increment

Dec -300.0

0.0

0.0

-300.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0 0

0

The legislature has funded contracted security screening services for the seven superior courts with multiple superior court judges. For courts in Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, Ketchikan, Palmer, Kenai, and Bethel, full-time security guards are posted and security screening (akin to airport screening) is conducted. The superior courts in Nome, Kotzebue, Barrow, Kodiak, Sitka, and Dillingham do not have any security guards or stationary security screening equipment. The court system is requesting funding to contract for one full-time security guard to be hired for each superior court location at which there is currently no security services.

Because a minimum of two guards is required to operate security screening equipment consisting of an x-ray machine and a walk-through metal detector, the court system plans to use the single guard to perform hand searches of patrons' bags and use of hand-held metal detector to screen for prohibited items.

Additionally, enhanced security screening services are needed for the courtroom housed at the Anchorage Jail. Judges travel to that facility to conduct arraignments for defendants who are in custody; the prisoners are behind a secure barrier, but visitors who enter the jail facility and the courtroom are not adequately screened to ensure the security of the judge and of other visitors. The court is requesting funds to add a secondary screening station to ensure that improper items that place the judge and spectators at risk of harm are not brought into the courtroom and that the level of security is, at a minimum, equivalent to that of the Boney and Nesbett courthouses.

Finally, the Anchorage court handles long-term domestic violence (DV) protective orders on the first floor of the Boney Courthouse. Because these hearings can be volatile, Judicial Services tries to have an officer in the DV area, but this is not always possible given the resources required for in-custody criminal trials. The Anchorage court requests funding to contract for a security guard to be placed in the DV area to ensure the safety and security of DV petitioners.

1004 Gen Fund (UGF)

-300.0

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|------------|-----|------------|
| | Туре | <u>Expenditure</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Travel</u> | Services | Commodities | Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | <u>Misc</u> | <u>PFT</u> | PPT | <u>TMP</u> |
| Alaska Court System (continued) Trial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Transcript Services for the Office of Public Advocacy | Inc | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and Public Defender's Office | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| As requested by the agencies, the court system prepares tra provided at public expense through the Office of Public Advo | cacy and t | through the Public | Defender's Offic | e. The | | | | | | | | |
| demand for transcripts requested by these agencies has inc | reased and | d the additional ar | mount of funding r | eeded | | | | | | | | |
| from each agency is \$30.0. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) 60.0 | | 02.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2015 Utility Costs in Fairbanks and Emmonak | Inc | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | 0 | U |
| The court system is experiencing increases in utility costs in | | | , , | | | | | | | | | |
| increase is due to steam heat and electricity in the Fairbanks | | | | den | | | | | | | | |
| Valley Electric Association that costs for utilities provided in | tnis region | wiii be increasing | 1 by 20.5%. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 23.5 FY2015 Wide Area Network Bandwidth Expansion | Inc | 318.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 318.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | 318.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| Like other state agencies, the court system is in need of incr to traverse the court system's network. As database and we | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amount of information that travels the network slows and co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| other court processes. Increased bandwidth is required to m | | | | iirigs ariu | | | | | | | | |
| bandwidth-intensive applications such as e-filing, case mana | | | | na | | | | | | | | |
| electronic document management, disaster recovery, and pu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| communications, among others. | iblic doccs | o tominato for ote | nowac cicononio | | | | | | | | | |
| communications, among carors. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Once the court system implements its eCourts (e-filing, docu | ıment man | agement, fully ele | ectronic case file). | hiaher | | | | | | | | |
| network bandwidth will be integral to its success. The court s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| especially at many of its rural locations. In FY14, the court s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| bandwidth. This sum was sufficient to purchase increased by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nome, and Kotzebue. The court system is seeking additional | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| in other parts of the state. | Ū | • | | • | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 318.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 CC: Increase the House's \$318.1 Wide Area Network | Inc | 150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bandwidth Expansion Increment | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Like other state agencies, the court system is in need of increased bandwidth, which allows electronic information to traverse the court system's network. As database and web application use increases for court business, the amount of information that travels the network slows and congests, leading to delays during court proceedings and other court processes. Increased bandwidth is required to maintain court business for existing and new bandwidth-intensive applications such as e-filing, case management, video arraignment, video conferencing, electronic document management, disaster recovery, and public access terminals for statewide electronic communications, among others.

Once the court system implements its eCourts (e-filing, document management, fully electronic case file), higher network bandwidth will be integral to its success. The court system is already experiencing network congestion, especially at many of its rural locations. In FY14, the court system received \$350.0 to purchase increased bandwidth. This sum was sufficient to purchase increased bandwidth in some rural locations, including Bethel, Nome, and Kotzebue. The court system is seeking additional funding to improve the network transmission speeds in other parts of the state.

1004 Gen Fund (UGF)

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT _ | TMP |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trial Courts (continued) FY2015 Delete Unrealizable Federal Pass-Through Funding to Non-Profit Entities | Dec | -100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -100.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -100.0 FY2015 (SB 108) CONFIDENTIALITY OF CRIMINAL CASE RECORDS | FisNot | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Updated for Judiciary CC that requires the Court to make c agencies. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 25.5 | ertain confi | dential files availa | ble to specified si | tate | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 25.5 FY2015 VETOED (SB 108) CONFIDENTIALITY OF CRIMINAL CASE RECORDS | Veto | -25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Updated for Judiciary CC that requires the Court to make c agencies. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -25.5 | ertain confi | dential files availa | ble to specified si | tate | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Security Screening Services The court system currently has full-time security screening Fairbanks, Juneau, Ketchikan, Palmer, Kenai, and Bethel). security guard to be hired for each superior court location a a minimum of two guards is required to operate security sc a walk-through metal detector, the court system plans to us patrons' bags and use a hand-held metal detector to screen criminal sentencing, and other high risk proceedings. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 320.0 | We are req t which the reening equ e the single | n njuesting funding to re is currently no s uipment consisting ge guard to perform | o contract for one security service. E g of an x-ray mach n hand searches o | Because nine and of | 320.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 Funding for Increased Trials In FY13, the court system conducted 418 criminal trials. In increase over FY13. There were 230 more trial days in FY days, jury costs in FY14 exceeded FY13 costs by approxin trials has also affected interpreter costs. So far, during the incurred interpreter costs totaling \$55,000. The court system interpreter services. We are recommending an increase of | 14 than in F nately \$210, first three m n currently | court system held FY13. As a result of 000. The increas nonths of FY15, th has \$85,000 in its | of the increase in se in the number of e court system ha | trial of jury as | 275.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The remaining \$15.0 of this increment is requested for incre 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 275.0 | eased juror | parking costs in F | Homer. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Facility Operations and Maintenance - UGF Only The court system leases court facilities from private landlor to fund increased lease expenses in Nome, Dillingham, Ba requesting an additional \$29,000 for utility increases in And associated with snowplowing and janitorial contracts in And 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 179.2 | row, Home horage and | out the state. For l er, and Wrangell. I Palmer and \$16 | The court system | is also | 179.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 Data Exchanges with the Department of Corrections The court system seeks funding to develop two electronic of first exchange would provide real-time access to a defenda Corrections to determine when a defendant is scheduled to available to the court system and to DOC will contribute to multiple employees to find defendants in DOC custody and within DOC. | nt's custody appear in d improved ei | y status and would court. Having this fficiency by elimin | d allow the Depart information read ating the time spe | tment of ily ent by | 150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services C | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| ska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rial Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Data Exchanges with the Department | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of Corrections (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The second data exchange would allow the court system t | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| are disqualified from serving as jurors because of their crir | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| individuals who are on felony probation cannot serve as ju | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| questionnaires, reminder notices, and subsequent follow-u | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| processes as well as reduce the court system's juror costs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be disqualified and flown home. The funding requested is | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| enable the two systems to communicate and disseminate | custody and p | probationary statu | us information ne | eded by | | | | | | | | |
| the two agencies. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 150.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Life-Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems | Inc | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Automation of its case management system requires the c | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be compatible with updated software versions and licensing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| technology requires the court system to continually evalua | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the past, the court system has received sporadic grant and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| systems. To ensure a planned, life-cycle approach to repla | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| must be incorporated into the court system's base operating | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, an keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond th | ne recommend | led guidelines, bu | ut requires a sust | ained | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, an keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond th funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fun | ne recommend nding base, the | ied guidelines, bu court system ha | ut requires a sust as received \$500, | ained ,000. | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, an keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond th funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fun Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm | led guidelines, bu e court system ha nent replacement | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an | ained .000. | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, an keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond th funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fun Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will sec | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement anding of \$600,000 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl | ained ,000. anned | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fun Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will sec life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical systen | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo | led guidelines, but e court system ha nent replacement anding of \$600,000 or this increment | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested | ained ,000. anned | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; a | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo | led guidelines, but e court system ha nent replacement anding of \$600,000 or this increment | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested | ained ,000. anned | | | | | | | | |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | the recommend anding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 10 to facilitate a pl will be requested 5. | ained ,000. anned as | 224.0 | | | | | | | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo | led guidelines, but e court system ha nent replacement anding of \$600,000 or this increment | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested | ained ,000. anned | -924.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,0 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 | the recommend anding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 10 to facilitate a pl will be requested 5. | ained ,000. anned as | -924.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,000 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; at 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 | ne recommend ading base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. | ained ,000. anned as | | | | | | | | ŭ |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fundant that year the court system requested an additional \$175,000 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; at 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court | the recommend anding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 10 to facilitate a pl will be requested 5. | ained ,000. anned as | -924.2 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will seed life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; a 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) | ne recommend ading base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. | ained ,000. anned as | | | | | | | | ŭ |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; a 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec | led guidelines, bu e court system ha nent replacement inding of \$600,00 ir this increment in the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply | ne recommend ading base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in | led guidelines, bue court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. | ained ,000. anned as | | | | | | | | ŭ |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and the follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and Supply follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and Supply Costs | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec | led guidelines, bu e court system ha nent replacement inding of \$600,00 ir this increment in the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 | ne recommend nding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a susta as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 -1,373.8 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fun Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; a 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec | led guidelines, bu e court system ha nent replacement inding of \$600,00 ir this increment in the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a sust as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; a 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off | ne recommend nding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a susta as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 -1,373.8 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to | ne recommend nding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system has enert replacement inding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 -630.0 | ut requires a sustant received \$500, the and received and to to facilitate a playwill be requested as. 0.0 -1,373.8 0.0 -390.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 -130.0 0.0 | 0.0 -400.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -390.0 FY2016 Additional Reductions in Services, Supplies, and | ne recommend nding base, the 2000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 in Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system ha nent replacement nding of \$600,00 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 | ut requires a susta as received \$500, t and received an 0 to facilitate a pl will be requested s. 0.0 -1,373.8 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and the follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and Supplication (UGF) and General G | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system has enert replacement inding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 -630.0 | ut requires a sustant received \$500, the and received and to to facilitate a playwill be requested as. 0.0 -1,373.8 0.0 -390.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 -100.0 | 0.0 -130.0 0.0 | 0.0 -400.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -390.0 FY2016 Additional Reductions in Services, Supplies, and | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system ha tent replacement inding of \$600,00 or this increment in the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 -630.0 -390.0 | ut requires a sustant received \$500, the and received and to to facilitate a playwill be requested as. 0.0 -1,373.8 0.0 -390.0 | ained .000. anned .as 0.0 0.0 -100.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 -130.0 0.0 -45.0 | 0.0 -400.0 0.0 -150.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 -200.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will seed life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -390.0 FY2016 Additional Reductions in Services, Supplies, and Equipment Funding | ne recommend nding base, the 000 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding fo and \$50,000 ir Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system has enert replacement inding of \$600,000 or this increment on the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 -630.0 | ut requires a sustant received \$500, the and received and to to facilitate a playwill be requested as. 0.0 -1,373.8 0.0 -390.0 | ained 000. anned as 0.0 0.0 -100.0 | 0.0 -130.0 0.0 | 0.0 -400.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its fund Last year the court system requested an additional \$175,00 appropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will see life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical system follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; \$1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 50.0 FY2016 AMD: Reverse UGF Increment Requests Submitted 12/15/14 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -924.2 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,373.8 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel, Contractual, and Supply Costs 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -630.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -390.0 FY2016 Additional Reductions in Services, Supplies, and Equipment Funding 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -395.0 | ne recommend nding base, the 200 for equipm cure a base fur ms. Funding bo and \$50,000 in Dec Dec Dec | led guidelines, but a court system ha tent replacement inding of \$600,00 or this increment in the Trial Courts -924.2 -1,373.8 -630.0 -390.0 | ut requires a sustant requires a sustant received \$500, the and received an interest of the followill be requested in the following of the fol | ained .000. anned .as 0.0 0.0 -100.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 -130.0 0.0 -45.0 | 0.0 -400.0 0.0 -150.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 -200.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |

Numbers and Language

| ka Court System (continued) rial Courts (continued) | Type I | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TN |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|------|-----|-----|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and Reduced Work Week Schedule | Dec | -1,190.1 | -1,065.1 | -50.0 | 0.0 | -75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 fund authorized budget. Within the trial courts, the funding for pachieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -1,190.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2018 Increased Grants from Foundations and Non-Profits Trial Courts is seeking additional authority to receive and a such as the National Center for State Courts or the America 1108 Stat Desig (Other) 100.0 | | | 0.0 ndations or nonpro | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2018 Reductions in Personal Services, Bandwidth, Facility Expenses and Other Administrative Costs | Dec | -3,381.8 | -1,400.0 | 0.0 | -1,522.0 | -385.0 | -74.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Excluding the base adjustment for increased healthcare or general funds budget request is \$3,671,800 less than the funding for personal services, contractual, supplies, and contractual funding for personal services. | FY2017 author | rized budget. Wi | thin the trial court | cted s, the | | | | | | | | |
| FY2018 Net Reduction of Interagency Receipt Authority The trial courts received \$60,000 in interagency receipt au | Dec | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| the Office of Public Advocacy (OPA) and the Public Defen be received in FY2018, therefore Trial Courts is reducing to The Department of Public Safety (DPS) annually transfers | interagency red approximately | ceipt authority ac | ccordingly. | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is fac agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagen transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricte | cy receipt auth | n an unbudgeted nority to budget f | reimbursable ser | vice | | | | | | | | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is fac agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagen transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 | cy receipt auth d general fund | n an unbudgeted pority to budget fo allocation. | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th | vice is | -254 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ω | 0 | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is factorized agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagent transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal receipt deem of the seeking and the seeking | ncy receipt auth d general fund Dec | n an unbudgeted nority to budget for allocation. -300.0 | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 | vice is 0.0 | -254.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is factorized agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagent transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal receiptederal grant awards. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -300.0 | ncy receipt auth d general fund Dec | n an unbudgeted nority to budget for allocation. -300.0 | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 | vice is 0.0 | -254.4 9,813.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73 | 0 | _ |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is fac agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagen transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal receiptederal grant awards. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -300.0 Illocation Total* | ncy receipt auth d general fund Dec | n an unbudgeted nority to budget fo allocation. -300.0 sulting from an a | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 anticipated reducti | vice is 0.0 on in | | | | | | | | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is factorized agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagent transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal received federal grant awards. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -300.0 Illocation Total * Imministration and Support FY2006 New Position to Address Additional Responsibilities in | ncy receipt auth d general fund Dec | n an unbudgeted nority to budget fo allocation. -300.0 sulting from an a | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 anticipated reducti | vice is 0.0 on in | | | | | | | | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is factorized agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagent transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal receipt federal grant awards. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -300.0 Allocation Total * dministration and Support FY2006 New Position to Address Additional Responsibilities in Administration 1133 CSSD Admin (Fed) 59.6 | cy receipt auth d general fund Dec ipt authority rea — | n an unbudgeted pority to budget for allocation. -300.0 sulting from an a | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 anticipated reducti 7,106.4 | 0.0 on in 328.3 | 9,813.3 | 350.2 4.6 | -184.9 | -100.0 | 0.0 | 73 | 2 | |
| expenses for shared space in Nenana. This transfer is fact agreement (RSA). The Court System is seeking interagent transaction does not impact the court system's unrestricted 1007 I/A Rcpts (Other) -20.0 FY2018 Reduce Federal Receipt Authority Trial Courts is seeking a reduction for excess federal received federal grant awards. 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) -300.0 Illocation Total * Idministration and Support FY2006 New Position to Address Additional Responsibilities in Administration | ncy receipt auth d general fund Dec ipt authority re | n an unbudgeted pority to budget for allocation. -300.0 sulting from an a | reimbursable ser or this funding. Th -45.6 anticipated reducti 7,106.4 | vice is 0.0 on in 328.3 | 9,813.3 | 350.2 | -184.9 | -100.0 | 0.0 | | 2 | |

Numbers and Language

| _ | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|---|---|--|---|--|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Administration and Support (continued) FY2007 Increased Utility Costs and Service Contracts Costs (continued) absorbed within the maintenance budget. Diverting funds fro of increased utilities will cause these critical maintenance act | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| challenge of funding deferred maintenance that accumulated 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 16.1 | | | ourt ancady race | 3 110 | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Increase for indirect cost rate receipts to address federal requirements for interpreter services and security | Inc | 150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| concerns. The court system was successful in its endeavor to establish some overhead costs against federal grants. With these function requirements for the provision of interpreter services, statewis support to the trial and appellate courts. 1133 CSSD Admin (Fed) 150.0 | ls, the co | urt system plans to | o address federal | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Fund one new audio equipment position to improve services in Administration and Support. Information systems and support requests a permanent full-tiproper set-up and maintenance of recording and sound systewill also be responsible for defining the archival requirements maintaining the long-term storage system and for developing public recordings. Courtroom sound and recording systems a maintained by a technician with specialized training and certificost approximately \$82,900. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 82.9 | ms in cou for long- and mair are now c | ortrooms througho term storage of dig taining a web-bas computer-based ar | ut the state. The gital recordings, fo ed system for acc nd must be installe | position or cess to ed and | 2.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Expand Court's Salary Schedule to Include "G" Step for Range 14 and below Under AS 22.20.037(c), the court system is required to condument of the executive salaries that are consistent with salaries per periodic employees in the executive branch. In the early 1990's, the comployees in the executive branch received for the cost of live employees voted in a union. The union has now been decent the court's salaries for parity with the executive branch. The most common compensation concern raised by court employees upon reaching "F" step. For FY01, the largest uniculated upon the executive branch are unions. The union has now been decent the court's salaries for parity with the executive branch. | aid to the ourt syste ing increating increating increating the ployees in in the estep between and the ployees in in the estep between are step and the ployees in in the estep are | classified and pa em did not receive use and the follow the court has been s the discontinuate xecutive branch - een the "F" step a llows long-term, ki | rtially exempt state the 3.3% raise the ing year the court in vigilant about resion of annual menthe General Governd the first longer mowledgeable em | te nat viewing it ernment vity step. ployees | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| an opportunity to progress through another salary step prior to step will also place the court system on an even keel with the 22.20.037(c). 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 31.0 FY2008 AMD: Reduction in FY08 JRS rate from 75% to 56.98% The Court System's retirement rate for FY08 will be 56.98% in Governor's budget. This decrement reflects the savings for the 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -12.2 | Dec nstead of | branch, which w -12.2 the estimated 759 | as the intent of A12.2 % that was include | S 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Administration

Agency: Judiciary

| Alaska Court System (continued) Administration and Support (continued) | Trans <u>Type</u> Ex | Total xpenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services Com | nmodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc _ | PFT _ | PPT _ | <u>TMP</u> |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------------|
| FY2009 Fund CMS Analyst Position to Improve Services in | Inc | 85.3 | 85.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Administration requests \$519,600 for six permanent full-time position. These requests are described below: Two CMS (Case Management System) analysts are needed to support ongoing conversions of courts to CourtView, the software used for case management, to implement CMS-related initiatives, and to maintain CourtView once it is installed. To complete the CourtView implementation, it is estimated that the court will convert six to nine courts annually. Each conversion requires a minimum of three weeks for an aggregate of approximately 27 work weeks annually in the field. The current staffing level is inadequate to sustain courts currently on CourtView as well as courts soon to be on CourtView. These positions will cost approximately \$170.600.

A contracts and leasing manager is needed to manage leases for court space and tenant space, and service contracts for janitorial, snow removal and other building services for the 42 court facilities operated by the court system. Additionally, this position will manage numerous small construction projects related to tenant improvements and maintenance work throughout the year. The majority of this work relates to recurring responsibilities funded with leasing and building maintenance operating budget funds. This position is estimated to cost \$87,500.

The court system's security needs have grown to the point at which a senior staff position is needed to oversee statewide security demands. This position will be responsible for security management and public relations, employee and judicial officer security, building security, information technology security, and emergency preparedness and planning. This position will serve as the court system's liaison with the Department of Public Safety and the Department of Homeland Security on court security matters. The estimated cost of this position is \$124,800.

An additional shop technician is needed in information services to help support ongoing and new projects using infrastructure technologies supported by technical staff. Examples of these projects include replacement of PCs and printers to insure end user equipment is updated with current technology to support new applications; a new network-based digital audio recording system; a new security system for the Anchorage court campus; expansion of the case management system into other court locations; and telephone systems. This position is estimated to cost \$75.200.

Fiscal operations requires an additional accounting position to handle the increased demands for management of accounting records associated with grants, reimbursable services agreements, contracts, and general audit requirements. The fiscal operations department is minimally staffed within the accounting area. Efforts to improve efficiencies or save money in other areas of the court system operations has resulted in increased administrative work for the accounting staff. Examples of the increased work include efforts by the travel clerk to insure travelers are securing good fares for their travel as well as assisting travelers with finding accommodations and registering for conferences. Additionally, as the court receives federal pass-through funding from executive branch agencies, additional work is generated as reporting requirements for sub-recipients can be extensive. Finally, the court system requires additional resources to assist with audit functions within the trial court accounting operations. This position is estimated to cost \$61,500.

Fiscal operations requires an additional accounting position to handle the increased demands for management of accounting records associated with grants, reimbursable services agreements, contracts and general audit requirements. The fiscal operations department is minimally staffed within the accounting area. Efforts to improve

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type E | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|--|--|--|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) Administration and Support (continued) FY2009 Fund CMS Analyst Position to Improve Services in Administration (continued) efficiencies or save money in other areas of the court syste work for the accounting staff. Examples of the increased w are securing good fares for their travel as well as assisting for conferences. Additionally, as the court receives federal additional work is generated as reporting requirements for system requires additional resources to assist with audit fur This position is estimated to cost \$61,500. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 85.3 FY2009 Multi-Agency Justice Integration Consortium (MAJIC) Multi-Agency Justice Integration Corsortium (MAJIC) | em operations vork include ef travelers with pass-through sub-recipients | has resulted in i forts by the trave finding accomm funding from ex can be extensiv | increased adminisel clerk to insure to codations and regreective branch agree. Finally, the co | strative travelers istering gencies, purt | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Increased Software Support Costs Vendors for the case management software and operating licensing fees and maintenance expense. As with utilities, so court system, the costs for the maintenance and support for support costs will render the court unable to receive softwar repair "bugs" detected after earlier versions of software app case management system, a new digital imaging system, a investment in new software and upgraded Microsoft software quirements. It is imperative that this investment be protected agreements. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 175.9 | service contraction of the contraction of the contractions are in the contractions are in the contractions are applications. | cts, supplies and sincreased. Fail and software pate released. The mording system has to address the | d other expenses ure to pay the sol ches that are nee igration over to a as required exten court's functional | of the ftware ded to new sive | 175.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 56, SLA 2010 (HB 421) FY 2011 Noncovered Employees Salary Increase 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 144.1 | FisNot | 144.1 | 144.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 Partially Fund Request for New Positions to Improve Services in Administration | Inc | 111.8 | 101.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Administration requests \$310,500 for three permanent full-time positions. These requests are described below:

Fiscal operations needs an additional records technician to maintain continuous case file document scanning and to ensure timely responses to public record requests for documents that are available only on microfilm, which is cumbersome to access. This position will assist with the court system's imaging project, which is an effort to scan and digitize court records for improved access by court staff, other agencies such as the Child Support Services Division, and the public. With additional staffing, the records management division will be able to expedite the scanning and digitizing of court documents for more efficient and timely access. This position is estimated to cost \$69,800.

Increasingly, the court system is working to improve information sharing by developing interfaces to other agencies' data systems. These efforts require oversight by a programmer/analyst. The position will concentrate on enhancements of existing court systems and deployment of new solutions to improve data sharing. The position will work with MAJIC (the Multi-Agency Justice Integration Consortium) to implement interagency data

Numbers and Language

| | Trans | Tota1 | Personal | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------|-------------|---------|--------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| | | Expenditure | Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT _ | TMP |
| Alaska Court System (continued) Administration and Support (continued) FY2012 Partially Fund Request for New Positions to Improve Services in Administration (continued) exchanges using data exchange standards and technologie justice system as a whole. The programmer/analyst will ass design and development of integrated justice projects. Addit recommendations involving data exchange project proposal MAJIC members and contractors in relevant technologies. The court system operates 44 court facilities, most of which entities. The court system has never receiving funding for a relying instead on project-funded employees to perform this Work with court staff to identify space needs and technical r Negotiate and manage leases and contracts for building ser landscaping; (3) Monitor contract compliance and address of projects related to tenant improvements and maintenance we 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 111.8 | sist the cou- tionally, the s, technolor. This position are leased permaner work. The equirement vices, such accupant co | art and other MAJ e position will eva ogies, tools, and co on is estimated to d from private land et, full-time contra e contracts and le ts for new leases th as janitorial, sno | IIC agencies with fluate and make quality control, and cost \$111,700. Idlords or other gonets and leasing manager was and service control ow removal, and Manage small control. | technical d train evernment manager, viil: (1) tracts; (2) enstruction | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Software Support Vendors for the court's case management software and ope licensing fees and maintenance expense. As with utilities, s court system, the costs for the maintenance and support for support costs will render the court unable to receive softwar repair "bugs" detected after software applications are releas system, a new digital imaging system, and a new recording software and upgraded Microsoft software applications to ac imperative that this investment be protected by purchasing I increased funding for FY14 is for the support costs for the in system and for the increased costs associated with the case 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 114.9 | service con software I e upgrade ed. The m system ha ddress the icensing a nplementa | ntracts, supplies a nas increased. For s and software pa igration over to a s required extens court's functional and maintenance a tion of the final pl | and other expense ailure to pay the satches that are ne new case manacts sive investment in I requirements. It agreements. The hase of the digital | es of the software seded to gement new is | 114.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 Life-Cycle Replacement of Computer Systems Automation of its case management system requires the collaboration of its case management system requires the collaboration of its case management system requires the collaboration of its case management system requires and licensing technology requires the court system to continually evaluate the past, the court system has received sporadic grant and systems. To ensure a planned, life-cycle approach to replace must be incorporated into the court system's base operating three years for personal computers (PCs) and servers, and keeps equipment in place for an additional year beyond the funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding source to facilitate this cycled approach. In its funding spropriation of \$75,000. The additional \$100,000 will secund life-cycle approach for replacement of these critical systems follows: \$25,000 in Appellate; \$25,000 in Administration; and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | requirement and improcessive and improve and impro | ents. Rapid advantable its base of ted ding to replace edhese systems, a sexperts recomme for printers. The foded guidelines, be the court system is ment replacemer funding of \$600,000 for this increment | ncements in compension of the compension of the compension of the court system currout requires a suspension of the court system currout requires a suspension of the court system currout requires a suspension of the court requires approximate app | outer ment. In prating of funding cycles of pently stained 0,000. | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans <u>Type</u> | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Alaska Court System (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Administration and Support (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reverse Request for Life-Cycle Replacement of | Dec | -25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computer Systems | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -25.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court | Dec | -201.0 | -201.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Closures) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -201.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Travel and Supply Costs | Dec | -75.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 | 0.0 | -50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to | Dec | -57.2 | -57.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unpaid Days Off | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -57.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and | Dec | -152.0 | -25.0 | -2.0 | -50.0 | -75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced Work Week Schedule | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| authorized budget. Within administration, the funding for pe supplies will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -152.0 FY2018 Budget Reduction | Dec | -105.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -65.0 | -40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Excluding the base adjustment for increased healthcare con general fund budget request is \$3,671,800 less than the FY Support component, funding for contractual services and su 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -105.0 | 2017 autho | rized budget. With ne reduced by \$10 | hin the Administra 05,000. | ation and | | 110 | | | | | | |
| * Allocation Total * | | 609.5 | 337.5 | -27.0 | 397.9 | -148.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| * * Appropriation Total * * | | 17,808.0 | 7,343.9 | 296.2 | 10,211.2 | 191.6 | -184.9 | -100.0 | 50.0 | 80 | 2 | 4 |
| Therapeutic Courts Therapeutic Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1938.02 Treatment | Inc0TI | 500.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 500.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| funding for therapeutic court participants | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Starting in 1998 the Trust, Alaska Court System and other of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| partnered to offer a therapeutic alternative to targeted popu | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| underlying issues related to contact with the criminal justice substance abuse and mental health treatment services is a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and the success of its participants. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 500.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.02 Fairbanks | Inc0TI | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Juvenile Therapeutic Court | Count will | antinua fundir - f | or a thereneville - | a. mt | | | | | | | | |

The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court will continue funding for a therapeutic court alternative for Trust beneficiary youth involved in the juvenile justice system. The project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court System.

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan by engaging Trust beneficiary youth in treatment to avoid the future costs of more expensive treatment services or costs associated with the adult

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| rapeutic Courts (continued) herapeutic Courts (continued) FY2011 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.02 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| correctional and judicial systems. Court System staff will p associated cost savings as well as the number of youth set 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 245.9 | | | the funding is uti | lized and | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.02 Mental Health Court Expansion-targeted communities | Inc0TI | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The MH Trust: Dis Justice - MH Court expansion will provi in Juneau or Kodiak. The project and its funding will be ma | | | | neficiaries | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact we court ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment neerisk of future contacts with the criminal justice system, care the associated high costs. Court System staff will provide associated cost savings as well as the number of individual 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | rith the criming ds and will be within a coroutcome date | nal justice system be monitored by the rectional or the para a on how the fund | and then develo ne court, thus de sychiatric institut ding is utilized ar | oping a creasing ion and | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 567.05 Access to timely neuropsychiatric evaluations The MH Trust: Dis Justice - Access to Timely Neuropsycho project will continue funding for access to neuropsychologic evaluations assist therapeutic court teams in developing at assist judicial officers and legal team members in construct participant's cognitive abilities. The funding and the project contracts with qualified neuropsychologists. | cal evaluation opropriate contition | ns statewide. The ourt ordered treatr ns of bail/probation | ese assessment ment plans as we on appropriate to | s and ell as the | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project maintains a critical component of the Disability accurately assess and evaluate a therapeutic court particip bail/probation aren't set thus avoiding the costs of incarcer violations. | ant's cogniti | ve abilities so ina | ppropriate condi | tions of | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 5.0 FY2011 Increase Partners for Progress funding to support | Inc | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Therapeutic Courts (funds will be transferred to H&SS/ASAP) | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 200.0 FY2011 Increased Inmate health Care Therapeutic Courts related portion of GGU Salary and Health Ins Increases | Inc | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 200.0 FY2011 Increased Inmate health Care Therapeutic Courts | Inc | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |

Numbers and Language

| _ | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|---|--|---|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| rapeutic Courts (continued) herapeutic Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice- Management & clinical oversight of therapeutic court probation staff | Inc | 142.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 142.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| Since 1998, therapeutic courts have expanded from two in A courts are closely supervised and monitored by the Alcohol shas brought the need for focused daily and clinical supervision program planning, budget management, monitoring, and put | Safety Action of the s | on Program prob taff to ensure for | ation staff. This e | kpansion | | | | | | | | |
| The FY12 GF/MH increment (\$142.7) is required for the afor is being implemented and to ensure public safety. 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 142.7 | ementione | ed services, to en | sure quality progra | mming | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice- Probation staff instruction on therapeutic models of community supervision | Inc | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| This increment request is necessary to ensure Alcohol Safet trained in evidence-based practices to improve long-term clie without compromising public safety. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY12 GF/MH increment (\$80.0) is required for the afore 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 80.0 | mentioned | l services | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice- Training for Judicial Conference The funding may be used to provide training on topics relate- impairments and associated treatments, addictions and associated practices, or other topics identified and approved by The professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of beneficiary's contact with the criminal justice system, and to individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will associated with incarceration and the processing of another The training may occur at an annual judicial or magistrate co Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. | ociated trea e Trust. By if Trust bei set approp Il result in i criminal ca | atment, therapeut providing this tra- neficiaries, consid- priate conditions of minimizing the ris- ase as a result of | tic jurisprudence paining and education der underlying causof bail/probation give k of future costs bail/probation viola | on these ses for a ven the ations. | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The FY12 MHTAAR increment (\$15.0) is required for the afo | remention | ed services | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice-Training for Therapeutic Court Clinical Staff | IncM | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The funding will be used to send existing Therapeutic Court to a national conference sponsored by SAMHSA and the GA other year and focuses on issues surrounding the intersection the use of evidence-based practices as solutions to problem another conference should one be identified and appropriate | INS Cente on of menta s identified | er. The conference al health and crim | ce is only offered e inal justice system | very [°] is and | | | | | | | | |
| The FY12 MHTAAR increment (\$15.0) maintains the FY10 ft | unding leve | el & momentum o | of effort in this area | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1938.03 Treatment | Inc0TI | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| funding for therapeutic court participants Starting in 1998 the Trust, Alaska Court System and other cr offer a therapeutic alternative to targeted populations and co | riminal just | ice departments a | and agencies parti | nered to | 200.0 | ••• | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ŭ | Ü | J |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) Therapeutic Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1938.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treatment funding for therapeutic court | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| participants (continued) issues related to contact with the criminal justice in an effort | to roduco | rocidivism Timol | v access to subs | stanco | | | | | | | | |
| abuse and mental health treatment services is a critical com of its participants, and the reduction in criminal recidivism. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. The GF/MH increment (\$250.0) maintains the FY11 funding level 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 250.0 | | | (\$250.0) & the F | =Y12 | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1938.03 Treatment | IncM | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| funding for therapeutic court participants | | | | | | | | | | - | - | |
| Starting in 1998 the Trust, Alaska Court System and other country offer a therapeutic alternative to targeted populations and co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| issues related to contact with the criminal justice in an effort | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| abuse and mental health treatment services is a critical com | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of its participants, and the reduction in criminal recidivism. | pononi io i | ano operations or t | anodo odanto, uno | 0400000 | | | | | | | | |
| , , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. The | | | (\$250.0) & the I | FY12 | | | | | | | | |
| GF/MH increment (\$250.0) maintains the FY11 funding level | I and mom | entum of effort. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 250.0 | | | | | 0.45 | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.03 Fairbanks | IncM | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Juvenile Therapeutic Court | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project will continue funding for a therapeutic court alter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| juvenile justice system. The project and its funding will be m | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan l avoid future more-expensive treatment services or costs ass | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| systems. Court System staff will provide outcome data on h | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| well as the number of youth served and their outcomes.This | | · · | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| The FY12 MHTAAR increment (\$245.9) maintains the FY11 | | | | 11 109. | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 245.9 | ranang io | voi a momentam | or chort. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.03 Mental Health | IncM | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Expansion-targeted communities | 211011 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| This project will provide a therapeutic court alternative for Tr | ust benefic | ciaries in an identi | fied community. | The | | | | | | | | |
| project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the Disability Justice Focus Area by providing a court alterna | ative that w | vill identify the und | derlying reasons | for an | | | | | | | | |
| individual's contact with the criminal justice system, and ther | n developir | ng a court-ordered | l treatment plan | that | | | | | | | | |
| addresses treatment needs and which will be monitored by t | , | , | , | | | | | | | | | |
| the criminal justice system, care within a correctional or psyc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the fun | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the number of individuals served and their outcomes. This p | | | | =Y09. | | | | | | | | |
| The FY12 MHTAAR increment (\$204.4) maintains the FY11 | tunding le | vei & momentum | ot ettort. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | T M | 120.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 569.06 AK Safety Action | IncM | 139.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 139.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pgm Therapeutic Case Management and Monitoring Treatment This project will continue funding an existing position within to | the Alcoho | I Safety Action Dr. | oaram (ASAD) k | ocated in | | | | | | | | |
| This project will continue funding an existing position within t | III AICUIU | Galety Action Fit | ogram (ASAF) IC | Joaigu III | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type E | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | ТМР |
|--|---|--|---|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| erapeutic Courts (continued) Therapeutic Courts (continued) FY2012 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 569.06 AK Safety Action Pgm Therapeutic Case Management and Monitoring Treatment (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barrow. This position identifies available treatment, makes the and monitors adherence to those court ordered recommendate manages and supervises the position. This project is a critic plan, by providing a therapeutic court alternative in rural/rem contributed to the individual's contact with the justice system costs incurred by contacts with the criminal justice system. The FY12 MHTAAR increment (\$139.9) maintains the FY11 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 139.9 FY2012 Geographic Differential for Non-Judicial Employees For FY11, the court system received funding to increase the amounts then being paid to union employees working in the (GGU). However, beginning in FY12, those union employees salaries. Those changes were approved by the legislature la agreements. | ations. The sal componer ote Alaska to Thus, this his project w funding leve IncM geographic Supervisory s will have no | DHSS/BH/ASAF nt of the Disabili nat addresses the project will mini as started with N el & momentum 6.7 differentials for our of the control of the control of the control of | P Program Coord ty Justice Focus he underlying disc mize the risks of MHTAAR funding of effort. 6.7 court employees General Governm lifferentials applie | inator Area order that the future in FY09. 0.0 up to the ent Unit ed to their | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This increment reflects the court system's cost of adopting the manner. Court employees favorably impacted by a changed amount. Court employees working in locations negatively impremain on the existing pay schedules. As these employees a new schedules with the reduced geographic differential. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 6.7 | geographic pacted by th are replaced, | differential will re e changed geog newly hired sta | eceive the new s raphic differentia ff will be placed o | alary nls will on the | 70.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FY2012 CC: Prob. Officer I to provide case management for the Anch. Wellness Court via RSA with Alcohol Safety Action Program | IncOTI | 79.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 79.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This project will continue funding an existing position within the Alcohol Safety Action Program (ASAP) located in Barrow. This position identifies available treatment, makes treatment recommendations to the court and supports and monitors adherence to those court ordered recommendations. The DHSS/BH/ASAP Program Coordinator manages and supervises the position.

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan, by providing a therapeutic court alternative in rural/remote Alaska that addresses the underlying disorder that contributed to the individual's contact with the justice system. Thus, this project will minimize the risks of the future costs incurred by contacts with the criminal justice system.

The FY13 MHTAAR increment maintains the FY12 funding level & momentum of effort. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) $139.9\,$

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Anchorage Wellness Courts Probation Officer | IncM | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| During the FY12 budget process, the legislature added funding | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| position that was previously funded by the Municipality of And | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Department of Health and Social Services, is an integral part | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a permanent funding source is requested. This case coordin compliance with the treatment plan and other court requireme | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ancillary services such as housing, medical care, and job pla | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| oversee these participants is critical to their success. | oomone. c | country funding in | от а ренналет ро | onion to | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 85.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice- Grt 3502.01 Training for Judicial | IncM | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conference | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The funding may be used to provide training on topics related | l to menta | l health disorders | and/or cognitive | | | | | | | | | |
| impairments and associated treatments, addictions and associated | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and practices, or other topics identified and approved by The | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| beneficiary's contact with the criminal justice system, and to s | | | | en the | | | | | | | | |
| individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will associated with incarceration and the processing of another of | | | | tions | | | | | | | | |
| The training may occur at an annual judicial or magistrate co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. | nerence (| n at joint comerer | ice with the Dept. | or Law, | | | | | | | | |
| r dano 2 stottaot rigottoy, and stilled strategic ravesacy. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY13 MHTAAR increment is required for the aforemention 15.0 | oned serv | ces. | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.04 Mental Health | IncM | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Expansion-targeted communities (paired with \$52.6 Inc) | 111011 | 20111 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 201.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| This project will provide a therapeutic court alternative for Tru | ıst benefic | iaries in an identi | fied community. 1 | The The | | | | | | | | |
| project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court S | System sta | aff. | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Fo | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| court-ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| decreasing risk of future contact with the criminal justice system | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and the associated high costs. Court System staff will provid associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals | | | | i, tne | | | | | | | | |
| associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals | serveu ar | u men outcomes |). | | | | | | | | | |
| The FY13 MHTAAR increment maintains the FY12 momentu | m of effor | t | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | 0. 0 | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.04 Mental Health | Inc | 52.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Expansion-targeted communities (paired with \$204.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IncM) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project will provide a therapeutic court alternative for Tru | | | fied community. 7 | The | | | | | | | | |
| project and its funding will be managed by the Alaska Court S | System st | aff. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area by providing a court alternative that will identify the underlying reasons for an individual's contact with the criminal justice system, and then developing a court-ordered treatment plan that addresses treatment needs and which will be monitored by the court, thereby

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | T.10 |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|-----|------------|------|
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) | Туре | <u>Expenditure</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | <u>Misc</u> _ | PFT | <u>PPT</u> | TMP |
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.04 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health Court Expansion-targeted | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| communities (paired with \$204.4 IncM) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) decreasing risk of future contact with the criminal justice sys | tem, care | within a correctior | nal or psychiatric | institution | | | | | | | | |
| and the associated high costs. Court System staff will provi associated cost savings as well as the number of individuals | | | • | ed, the | | | | | | | | |
| The FY13 MHTAAR increment maintains the FY12 moment 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 52.6 | um of effoi | rt. | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.04 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court (paired with \$60.0 Inc) | IncM | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project will continue funding for a therapeutic court alte juvenile justice system. The project and its funding will be n | | | | the | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice I treatment to avoid future more-expensive treatment services judicial systems. Court System staff will provide outcome de savings as well as the number of youth served and their out | s or costs a ata on how | associated with the | e adult correctior | nal and | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. Th momentum of effort. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 245.9 | e FY13 MI | HTAAR increment | maintains the F | Y12 | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.04 Fairbanks | Inc | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Juvenile Therapeutic Court (paired with \$245.9 IncM) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This project will continue funding for a therapeutic court alte juvenile justice system. The project and its funding will be n | | | | the | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice I treatment to avoid future more-expensive treatment services judicial systems. Court System staff will provide outcome do savings as well as the number of youth served and their out | s or costs a ata on how | associated with the | e adult correctior | nal and | | | | | | | | |
| This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. Th momentum of effort. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 60.0 | e FY13 MI | HTAAR increment | maintains the F | Y12 | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Replaces MHTAAR - MH Trust: Dis Justice - Treatment | Inc | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| funding for therapeutic court participants | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Starting in 1998 the Trust, Alaska Court System and other coffer a therapeutic alternative to targeted populations and co | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| issues related to contact with the criminal justice in an effort | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| abuse and mental health treatment services is a critical com of its participants, and the reduction in criminal recidivism. | ponent to | the operations of | these courts, the | success | | | | | | | | |
| or no participanto, and the reduction in criminal recidivism. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

momentum of effort started in FY09.

This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. The FY13 GF/MH increment is required to maintain the

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants _ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|----------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) Therapeutic Courts (continued) FY2013 Replaces MHTAAR - MH Trust: Dis Justice - Treatment funding for therapeutic court participants (continued) 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 200.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.05 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court This funding maintains the operations of an existing thera involved in the juvenile justice system. The therapeutic co will be managed by the Alaska Court System. | | | | | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice treatment to avoid future more-expensive treatment servic judicial systems. Court System staff will provide outcome savings as well as the number of youth served and their o | es or costs as data on how t | sociated with the | e adult correctiona | al and | | | | | | | | |
| This project was started with MHTAAR funding in FY09. I momentum of effort. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 245.9 FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935.05 Juneau Mental Health Court (FY14-FY16) | T he FY14 MH T | TAAR increment 204.4 | maintains the FY | 0.0 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| This project provides a therapeutic court alternative for Tri managed by the Alaska Court System staff. The Juneau Mental Health Court is a critical component of | | | · · | | | | | | | | | |
| alternative that will identify the underlying reasons for an if then developing a court-ordered treatment plan that addre the court, thereby decreasing risk of future contact with th psychiatric institution and the associated high costs. Cour funding is utilized, the associated cost savings as well as | ndividual's cor esses treatmen e criminal justi rt System staff | tact with the crin t needs and whi ce system, care will provide outo | minal justice systemich will be monitore within a correction come data on how | m, and ed by nal or the | | | | | | | | |
| The FY14 MHTAAR increment maintains the FY13 mome 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503.01 Training for | ntum of effort. Inc | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Therapeutic Court Clinical Staff This funding will provide continued professional training to clinical case coordinators) at an identified national confere of the training will be on issues surrounding the intersectic systems and the use of evidence based practices improve | existing There ence or other ion on of substance | apeutic Court tea dentified training e abuse; mental | ams (judges, attor opportunities. Th health and crimina | neys, ne focus al justice | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| The FY14 MHTAAR increment (\$15.0) maintains the FY12 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 | 2 funding level | & momentum o | f effort. | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 569.08 AK Safety Action Pgm Therapeutic Case Management and Monitoring -Barrow This project will continue funding an existing position within Barrow. This position identifies available treatment, make | | | | | 139.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Туре | <u>Expenditure</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Travel</u> | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | <u>Misc</u> | PFT | PPT | TMF |
| apeutic Courts (continued) nerapeutic Courts (continued) FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 569.08 AK Safety Action Pgm Therapeutic Case Management and Monitoring -Barrow (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and monitors adherence to those court ordered recommend manages and supervises the position. | lations. The | DHSS/BH/ASAF | Program Coord | linator | | | | | | | | |
| This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Falternative in rural/remote Alaska that addresses the underly with the justice system. Thus, this project will minimize the criminal justice system. | ying disorde | er that contributed | to the individual | 's contact | | | | | | | | |
| The FY14 MHTAAR increment maintains the FY13 funding to 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 139.9 | level & mon | nentum of effort. | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Replace Federal Pass-Through Funding to Retain Wellness (DUI) Court Capacities In 1998, The Trust, Alaska Court System, and other criminal implement therapeutic courts as a strategy to reduce criminal abuse and mental health disorders. Currently there are eight crime was connected to their addiction. This increment will eight therapeutic courts and expand capacity to the communications and need is great. | al recidivisn ht therapeut maintain cu | n for targeted pop ic courts that focu rrent operations a | ulations with sub us on offenders v and capacities of | ostance whose these | 396.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| The FY14 GF/MH increment maintains current and expands high cost of criminal recidivism for this targeted population. | new efforts | s to protect public | safety and redu | ce the | | | | | | | | |
| LFD Comment: Per discussions with the Court System, this as expand the DUI courts. LFD split the single request into a increment. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1037 GF/MH (UGF) 689.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1934.06 Fairbanks Juvenile Therapeutic Court (FY15-FY17) | IncT | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 245.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |

This project is a critical component of the Disability Justice Focus Area plan by engaging Trust beneficiary youth in treatment to avoid future more-expensive treatment services or costs associated with the adult correctional and judicial systems. Court System staff will provide outcome data on how the funding is utilized & associated cost savings as well as the number of youth served and their outcomes. This project was started with Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) funding in FY2009. The FY2015 (MHTAAR) increment maintains the FY2014 momentum of effort.

The Trust may request this project transition to GF/MH in FY2018. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 245.9

will be managed by the Alaska Court System.

Numbers and Language

| Type Expenditure Services Travel Services Commodities Outlay Grants Misc PFT PFT | | | Trans | Total | Persona1 | | | | Capital | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Theirapeutic Courts (continued) FY2016 MT Intst Dis Justice - Grant 3902 02 Training for 1 nc0T1 10.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0. | | | Type | <u>Expenditure</u> | Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
| FY2015 MM Trust Dis Justice- Grant 3902 Q2 Training for IncOT1 10.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Conference The funding may be used to provide training on topics related to mental health disorders and/or cognitive impairments and associated treatments, addictions and associated treatment, therapeutic jurisprudence principles and practices, or other topics identified and approved by The Trust. By providing list istanting and education these professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, consider underlying causes for a beneficiary so-context with a criminal judicial to understand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, consider underlying causes for a beneficiary so-context with a criminal judicial or majestrate confirmation and the processing of another criminal cause as a result of ballprobabeton given the individuals mental another cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing the risk of future costs associated with incarcerategin and the processing of another criminal cause as a result of ballprobabeton violations. The Iralining may occur at an annual judicial or majestrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defenter Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court | | | | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | |
| The funding may be used to provide training on topics relied to mental health discorders and/or cognitive impairments and associated treatments, additions and associated with incorrelated and approved by The Trust. By providing this training and education these professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of Trust beneficiarly consider for the individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing part per isk of future costs associated with incorrelation and the processing of another criminal case as a result of hallprobation given the individuals mental and/or an annual plutical or megistrate conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Delender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2015 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services. 1028 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 103.6 104.7 105.0 105.0 106.0 107.0 108.0 109 | | rant 3502.02 Training for | Incuil | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 0 |
| impalments and associated treatments, addictions and associated treatment, therapeutic jurisprudence principles and practices, or other topics identified and approved by The Trust. By providing this raining and education these professionals are better equipped to undestand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, consider underlying causes for a beneficiary's contact with the criminal jurispect seystem, and to ask expropriate conditions of bally/broation given the individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing the risk of future costs associated with incarediate on and the processing of another criminal case as a result of bally/probation vivolations. The training may occur at an annual jurispical conference or al joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Delender Agency, and Office of Public Advocasey. The FY2015 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | to provide training on topics rela- | tad to mont | al hoalth disordors | and/or cognitive | | | | | | | | | |
| and practices, or other topics identified and approved by The Trust. By providing this training and education these professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, consider underlying causes for a beneficiary's contact with the criminal justice system, and to set appropriate conditions of balliprobation given the individuals mental androic cognitive capacity. This project will result in miniming the risk of thurst costs: associated with incarceration and the processing of another criminal cases as a result of balliprobation violations. The training may occur at an annual judicial or magistrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2015 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services: 1928 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 EY2016 MND: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec -13.6 -13.6 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| professionals are better equipped to understand the needs of Trust beneficiaries, consider underlying causes for a beneficiary scontact with the criminal justice system, and to set appropriate conditions of bulghroabtion justice of the individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing the risk of future costs associated with inaccreation and the processing of another criminal case as a result of ballproabtion violations. The training may occur at an annual judicial or magistrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocsory. The FY2015 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 -13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| beneficiary's contact with the criminal justice system, and to set appropriate conditions of ballybrobalion give the individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing the risk of Lituture costs associated with incarceration and the processing of another criminal case as a result of ballybrobalion violations. The training may occur at an annual justical or magistrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The PY2015 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Treatment Services Dec 175.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| individuals mental and/or cognitive capacity. This project will result in minimizing the risk of future costs associated with incarregation and the processing of a nother criminal cases as a result of balliprobation violations. The training may occur at an annual judical or magistrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2015 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 13.6 13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The training may occur at an annual judicial or magistrate conference or at joint conference with the Dept. of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2015 Montal Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Defender Agency, and Office of Public Advocacy. The FY2016 Mantal Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the alrorementationed services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec 13.6 13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | lations. | | | | | | | | |
| The FY2015 Menital Health Trust Authority authorized receipts (MHTAAR) increment is required for the aforementioned services: 1092 MHTAAR (Other) | The training may occur at | an annual judicial or magistrate o | conference | or at joint confere | nce with the Dept | . of Law, | | | | | | | | |
| aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Ungaid Days Off (Court Dec -13.6 -13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Public Defender Agency, | and Office of Public Advocacy. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| aforementioned services. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Ungaid Days Off (Court Dec -13.6 -13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec -13.6 -13.6 0.0 | | th Trust Authority authorized rece | ipts (MHTA | AR) increment is i | required for the | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 AMD: Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off (Court Dec -13.6 -13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | 10.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -13.6 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Treatment Services 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Treatment Services 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 MIT Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 1092 MHTAAR (Other) | 10.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closures) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -13.6 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Treatment Services 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Treatment Services 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 MIT Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | EV2016 AMD: Reduction Attribut: | able to Unnaid Days Off (Court | Dec | -13 6 | -13 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ω | Ω | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -13.6 FY2016 AMD: Reduction in Treatment Services | | able to Oripaid Bays Off (Court | DCC | 13.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | 0 | U |
| FY2016 AMDI: Reduction in Treatment Services Dec -75.0 0.0 | | -13.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -75.0 FY2016 Additional Personal Services Reduction Attributable to Dec 1-6.8 -6.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | ` , | tment Services | Dec | -75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -6.8 FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and Dec -177.0 -7.6 0.0 -169.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and Dec -177.0 -7.6 0.0 -169.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Reduced Work Week Schedule In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 funding request is \$3,805.0 less than the FY2016 final authorized budget. Within the therapeutic courts, the funding for personal services and for contractual services will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental Inc0TI 204.4 0.0 0.0 20.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | FY2016 Additional Personal Serv | rices Reduction Attributable to | Dec | -6.8 | -6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated with LWOP, Attrition, and Dec -177.0 -7.6 0.0 -169.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Unpaid Days Off | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced Work Week Schedule In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 funding request is \$3,805.0 less than the FY2016 final authorized budget. Within the therapeutic courts, the funding for personal services and for contractual services will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -6.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced Work Week Schedule In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 funding request is \$3,805.0 less than the FY2016 final authorized budget. Within the therapeutic courts, the funding for personal services and for contractual services will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | FY2017 Reductions Associated v | vith I WOP Attrition and | Dec | -177.0 | -7.6 | 0.0 | -169 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In the aggregate, the Alaska Court System's FY2017 funding request is \$3,805.0 less than the FY2016 final authorized budget. Within the therapeutic courts, the funding for personal services and for contractual services will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for Inc0TI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | via Evvor , / tartion, and | 500 | 277.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | 0 | O |
| authorized budget. Within the therapeutic courts, the funding for personal services and for contractual services will be reduced to achieve this reduction. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) - 177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for Inc0TI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | ska Court System's FY2017 fundi | ng request i | s \$3,805.0 less th | an the FY2016 fir | nal | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -177.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 3503 Training for IncOTI 15.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | be reduced to achieve this | s reduction. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The funding will provide additional professional training to existing Therapeutic Court teams (judges, attorneys, project coordinators, clinical case coordinators, etc.) at an identified national conference, in-state training, developed and coordinated by the Court System and/or other training opportunities. The focus of the training will be on the intersection of the criminal justice and community behavioral health systems (mental health and substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -177.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The funding will provide additional professional training to existing Therapeutic Court teams (judges, attorneys, project coordinators, clinical case coordinators, etc.) at an identified national conference, in-state training, developed and coordinated by the Court System and/or other training opportunities. The focus of the training will be on the intersection of the criminal justice and community behavioral health systems (mental health and substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | Frant 3503 Training for | Inc0TI | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| project coordinators, clinical case coordinators, etc.) at an identified national conference, in-state training, developed and coordinated by the Court System and/or other training opportunities. The focus of the training will be on the intersection of the criminal justice and community behavioral health systems (mental health and substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| developed and coordinated by the Court System and/or other training opportunities. The focus of the training will be on the intersection of the criminal justice and community behavioral health systems (mental health and substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| be on the intersection of the criminal justice and community behavioral health systems (mental health and substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Health Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| substance abuse) and the use of evidence based practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental IncOTI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Health Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| recidivism. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental Inc0TI 204.4 0.0 0. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental Inc0TI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 0 | , | e use of evidence based practices | s to improve | treatment outcon | nes and reduce ci | rımınal | | | | | | | | |
| FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental Inc0TI 204.4 0.0 0.0 204.4 0.0 | | 15.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Health Court | | | TwoOTT | 204 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | ^ |
| | | Frant 1935 Juneau Mental | Inculi | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | 0 |
| | | h Court is a critical component of | the Disahili | ty Justice Focus A | Irea hy providing | a court | | | | | | | | |

Numbers and Language

| _ | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | <u>Travel</u> | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | <u>TMP</u> |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|------------|
| Therapeutic Courts (continued) Therapeutic Courts (continued) FY2017 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Grant 1935 Juneau Mental Health Court (continued) psychiatric institution and the associated high costs. Court Sy funding is utilized, the associated cost savings as well as the ITHE FY2017 Mental Health Trust Authority authorized receipts momentum of effort. | number of | individuals serve | ed and their outco | mes. | | | | | | | | |
| The Trust may request this project transition to GF/MH in FY2 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 204.4 | 018. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inc0TI | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Funds will be used to provide training on topics related to mer and associated treatments, addictions and associated treatments practices, or other related at an annual judicial or magistrate of Department of Law, Public Defender Agency, and Office of Pueducation these professionals will be better equipped to under underlying causes for a beneficiary's contact with the criminal bail/probation given the individuals mental and/or cognitive carrecidivism and the associated costs. 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 10.0 | ent, therap conference ublic Advo rstand the justice sy | neutic jurispruden to or at a joint conf cacy. By providing to needs of Trust b stem, and to set a | ce principles and ference with the ng this training an eneficiaries, cons appropriate condi | d ider tions of | | | | | | | | |
| FY2018 MH Trust: Dis Justice - Training for Therapeutic Court Staff The Mental Health Trust Authority has recommended funding training to existing Therapeutic Court teams (judges, attorneys etc.) at an identified national conference, in-state training, dev other training opportunities. The focus of the training will be or community behavioral health systems (mental health and sub- practices to improve treatment outcomes and reduce criminal | s, project of reloped and the inters stance abo | coordinators, clin nd coordinated by section of the crir use) and the use | ical case coordina the court system minal justice and | ators, and/or | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1092 MHTAAR (Other) 15.0 FY2018 Increase Federal Receipt Authority Therapeutic Courts seeks \$200,000 in federal receipt authority | Inc y. | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1002 Fed Rcpts (Fed) 200.0 FY2018 Reduction in Professional Services Excluding the base adjustment for increased healthcare costs fund budget request is \$3,671,800 less than the FY2017 author for contractual services will be reduced by \$150,000. 1037 GF/MH (UGF) -150.0 | | | | | -150.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| * Allocation Total * ** Appropriation Total ** | - | 5,192.9 5,192.9 | 274.2 274.2 | 24.2 24.2 | 4,888.5 4,888.5 | 6.0 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commission on Judicial Conduct Commission on Judicial Conduct FY2006 Increase in Office Space Rent Unfunded annual increases in office space rent 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 8.5 | Inc | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| ommission on Judicial Conduct (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commission on Judicial Conduct (continued) FY2006 Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public Employee | FisNot | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salary and Benefit | 1 131100 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | U | U | U |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 4.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2007 Judicial Conduct Commission Member Travel Our 9 member COmmission is geographically representativ Juneau, 1 from Ketchikan, 1 from Sitka, 1 from Fairbanks a costs in airfare have caused us to overspend in the catego increase in our funding for Commissioner travel is needed. Commissioner travel to regular Commission meetings. It s biennial Judicial Conduct Commissioner training conference 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 4.5 | and the remary for the pa The primar hould also a | aining from South ast few years and a ry purpose of this allow 3 Commission | central Alaska. In is at the point whe increase is to pro oners to attend a I | creasing ere an vide for | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2007 Software Upgrades and Equipment Replacement On-going cost of maintaining computer programs and equipment item but has become needed as our communications and items of the computer of | | | | 0.0 eted | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 4.0 FY2007 Reduce Personal Services Underfunding to 5% | Inc | 18.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Funding to reduce personal service underfunding. The est will increase to 29.9 or 13.9% in FY07. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 18.6 | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | Ü | Ü |
| FY2008 Increase in Office Space Costs Our office space and accompanying shared conference factor continue a modest increase in cost over the next few years below the market rate, we remain underfunded for the antice reflects the actual increase in the Commission's lease expension of the second | . While the cipated FY 2 | Commission has 2008 year. The re | negotiated a rent | well | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Reduce Personal Services Underfunding to 3% Funding to reduce personal services underfunding to the C 3% for small entities. The estimated current year underfun or 6.52% in fiscal year 2008. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 9.0 | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2009 Raise capital outlay funding to minimum required for | Inc | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| large equipment This increment brings our "capital outlay" line item to the m any large office equipment that should fail during the year. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1.7 | inimum req | uired (\$5000) to a | llow for replaceme | ent of | | | | | | | | |
| FY2009 Reduce Personal Services Underfunding to 3% Funding to reduce personal services underfunding to the C 3% for small entities. The estimated current year underfun or 3.90% in fiscal year 2009. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 2.0 | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2010 Reduce Personal Services Underfunding The Commission on Judicial Conduct is a small, 2-person of | Inc office. An of | 3.6 fice this small has | 3.6 difficulty managin | 0.0 ng a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants_ | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Commission on Judicial Conduct (continued) Commission on Judicial Conduct (continued) FY2010 Reduce Personal Services Underfunding (continued) personal services budget when a vacancy factor greater the to maintain the current level of effort toward our responsibil 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 3.6 | | quired. This incre | ment is requested | l in order | | | | | | | | |
| FY2011 Vacancy Underfunding at 0% The Commission on Judicial Conduct is comprised of two s administrative assistant. The budget of the Commission or funding required to pay the costs of personal services. This FY2011 personal services expense for this agency. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 10.0 | Judicial Co | onduct is very sma | all, with the majori | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 56, SLA 2010 (HB 421) FY 2011 Noncovered Employees Salary Increase 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 4.5 | FisNot | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2015 Maintain Zero Vacancy Factor The Commission on Judicial Conduct is comprised of two s administrative assistant. The budget of the Commission on funding required to pay the costs of personal services. This personal services costs. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 10.9 | Judicial Co. | nduct is very sma | ll with the majority | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2016 Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -3.0 | Dec | -3.0 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| * Allocation Total * * * Appropriation Total * * | | 82.7 82.7 | 60.2 60.2 | 4.5 4.5 | 12.3 12.3 | 4.0 4.0 | 1.7 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Judicial Council Judicial Council | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2006 Increased Costs for Judicial Selection and Judicial Retention 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 81.6 | Inc | 81.6 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Personal services reduction to staff 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -165.3 | Dec | -165.3 | -165.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Delete two positions to correspond to personal services reduction | PosAdj | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2006 Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public Employee Salary and Benefit 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 16.1 | FisNot | 16.1 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2007 Evaluation of retired judges serving pro tem and survey of the performance of magistrates and standing masters | Inc | 40.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

There are 51 magistrates and masters in Alaska. Magistrates and masters are not appointed by the Governor, nor are their qualifications reviewed by the Alaska Judicial Council. Their appointments are made for an indefinite period by the presiding judge of the judicial district in which they serve. They serve at the pleasure of the

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans | Total | Personal | | | Capital | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------|----------|--------|----------------------|---------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Type | Expenditure | Services | Travel | Services Commodities | Out1ay_ | <u>Grants</u> | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
| Judicial Council (continued) Judicial Council (continued) FY2007 Evaluation of retired judges serving pro | | | | | | | | | | | |

tem and survey of the performance of magistrates and standing masters (continued)

presiding judge, and are not subject to retention elections like Alaskan judges and justices.

In 1997 and 2001, the Court asked the Judicial Council to gather information for a performance evaluation ot these judicial officers, many of whom are the sole judicial officers in the locations they serve. The Council surveyed Alaskan attorneys and peace and probation officers and shared the results with the Court. The Court would like the Council to conduct judicial performance surveys about magistrates and masters in FY2007. The Council seeks funding for this project. Requested funds will be used to survey design, editing and transcription (3.0), printing, bulk mail and postage (4.1), hosting of electronic surveys (6.0), and data entry and analysis (18.2).

Alaska Administrative Rule of Court 23 permits the Alaska COurt System to assign caseloads to retired judges for a period up to two years. The rule requires the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate the performance of these judges by surveying members of the bar in the judicial districts where the judges have served. An evaluation must be done so that the Court may determine the eligibility of these judges to continue to serve pro tem. The Council has not been provided with any additional funding to conduct these evaluations. The Council anticipates that it will need to evaluate five pro tem judges in FY2007.

The Council requires funding in the amoung of 9.2 for survey design, editing and transcription (.7); printing, bulk mail processing and postage (1.7); hosting of electronic survey (2.5); data entry and analysis (4.3).

| FY2007 Ch. 51, SLA 2006 (SB 237) Additional Judges/Judges' Salary 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 71.9 | FisNot | 71.9 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 53.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|--|--------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|
| FY2008 LFD: FY07 Fiscal note for additional Judges Ch 51, SLA 2006 (SB237) (Ch 33 SLA06 P42 L28-29)(HB365) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 0.7 | IncOTI | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2008 Criminal Justice Working Group Staffing | Inc | 50.0 | 48.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Alaska has a long history of cooperation among criminal justice agencies, the legislature and the courts. They have formed criminal justice working groups since the 1970s to develop long-range policies and to resolve shorter-term problems. Because the groups include all branches of government, and often the municipal governments too, they can act together. Problems that the groups have addressed included prison overcrowding, substance abuse issues, disparities for minorities, and sentencing and ball needs. Members of the groups (e.g., the Criminal Justice Planning Agency, the Sentencing Commission, the Criminal Justice Assessment Commission, and the Criminal Justice Council) have included:

- Legislators;
- The Court;
- Executive branch agencies, including Law, Corrections, Public Safety, Health and Social Services; the Public Defender Agency and the Office of Public Advocacy
- The Alaska Judicial Council: and
- Municipal governments.

The working groups have been funded by agencies, by appropriations from the legislature, and by federal grants.

Numbers and Language

| | | Trans Type Ex | Total penditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Judicial Council (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Council (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Criminal Justice Workin | g Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staffing (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Judicial Council has | staffed most of these groups becau | use of its ability | to conduct th | e research that th | he | | | | | | | | |
| groups have needed for | their decisions. It has also coordina | ted the work o | f the groups a | nd drafted their re | eports. | | | | | | | | |
| During the legislative ses | sions, the working groups meet me | onthly in Junea | u. Outside the | e sessions, they m | neet as | | | | | | | | |
| needed in Anchorage or | other locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T1:: | | | 5) (00 T) (| | o " | | | | | | | | |
| | staffing of a Criminal Justice Work | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | meetings, prepare materials, response reports about the group's findings | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | stice Working Group will help move | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | lifficult to resolve when agencies a | | | Jiulion oi cilillilai | i justice | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 50.0 | и ропсутнаке | s act alone. | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2008 Year 2 Fiscal Note to SI | | Dec | -62.5 | 0.0 | -15.7 | -46.0 | -0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Judges | S207 Addition of CIX Caponol | Dec | 02.3 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ü | O | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | -62.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Preliminary Judicial Per | formance Evaluations , the Council conducted performan | Inc | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| advance of judges' reten during their retention elec opportunity to improve th conducted a study of jud reported that the evaluat | tion election years. These evaluation tion year. The purpose of a prelime ir performance before they ran for icial use of performance evaluation from process made them more accordingly reports provided them with them with the more accordingly. | ons preceded t inary evaluatio retention. In 1 information ga untable for the | he Council's e n was to provi 1996, the Ame othered by the ir job performa | valuation of the ju de judges with an rican Judicature S Judicial Council. ance. Almost all of | udges 1 Society Judges | | | | | | | | |
| the Council has recomme | I these preliminary performance evented that the public not retain two ited from earlier evaluation. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| their performance in adva | in training for new judges. New jud ance of their retention election year concerns about their performance i | so that they n | night benefit fro | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Council anticipates to Council seeks funding to estimated to be \$16.0. E \$8.0 to its base budget to 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | ducted a limited evaluation of 14 jui hat about 12 judges will be on the l conduct preliminary evaluations of valuation costs can be spread over a conduct preliminary evaluations of 8.0 | pallot for the fire new judges. To two fiscal year finew judges. | st time in each he cost of a pi rs. The Counc | n retention electio reliminary evaluat il seeks an incren | on. The tion is nent of | | | | | | | | |
| Council's budget for sele | nses ing in FY 07 to evaluate applicants ction costs has been based on a pi a number of vacancies per year wa | e-2003 historic | | | | 49.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | <u>Grants</u> | Misc | PFT _ | PPT _ | TMP |
|--|---|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Judicial Council (continued) Judicial Council (continued) FY2010 Judicial Selection Expense | es | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | red. There are more judgeships to been many more applicants per raises. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| needs additional funds to m number of vacancies, the C | over the number of judicial vaca. leet its increased responsibility ir louncil required supplemental fur ding but did not increase the Cou workload in future years. | the area o | of judicial selection e first time in mor | n. In FY09, due t e than 20 years. | o the high The | | | | | | | | |
| These funds will permit the | ce 2003, the Council seeks \$63, Council to screen applicants for even though the Council has few | 7 vacancie | s per year. This a | mount does not | | | | | | | | | |
| Travel 12.6 Survey hosting / analysis 35 Mailing/printing/advertising/ Supplies 1.2 Total 63.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 From 1984-1988, there we | Gelecting and Evaluating Alaska's ere 6.2 applicants per vacancy; 1 07, there were 10.6 applicants p 63.6 | rom 1989-2 | 2002, there were | 8.5 applicants ре | er | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 4th year Fiscal Note for Ch Additional Judges and Judges' Sala | n 51, SLA 06 (SB 237) | Inc0TI | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 365)) 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 2.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2010 Support for Alaska Judicial 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | | Inc | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2011 Ch. 56, SLA 2010 (HB 421 Employees Salary Increase | • | FisNot | 14.7 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | 14.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2012 Reduce Advertising Budge 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | et for Judicial Council | Dec | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2012 Ch. 11, SLÀ 2011 (SB 58) SUPERIOR CT JUDGES | INCREASING NUMBER OF | FisNot | 23.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corrected FY 2012 information 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | tion and rounding in subsequent 23.2 | years. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2013 Reduce Advertising Budge 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) | et for Judicial Council -20.0 | Dec | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

Agency: Judiciary

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Judicial Council (continued) Judicial Council (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2014 Increased Lease Costs Associated with New Office Space The Judicial Council's lease expires at the end of April 2013 Anchorage for more than 23 years. During that time, the Comarket value rental rate. The rental market in Anchorage has office space is managed by a different company. A lease esfair market value rental rate. To rent the same amount of of more than it currently pays. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 16.3 FY2014 Zero Out Advertising Budget | ouncil was a as tightened atension or | ible to negotiate a I considerably, an a new lease will re | substantially bel d the Council's co equire the Counc | ow urrent il to pay a | -19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -19.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY2015 Ch. 83, SLA 2014 (SB 64) OMNIBUS CRIME/CORRECTIONS/RECIDIVISM BILL Updated to reflect changes in a new CS that increases the Commission to thirteen. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 197.2 | FisNot number of r | 197.2 members of the C | 126.3 riminal Justice | 27.5 | 38.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| FY2016 Partially Fund Outreach for Performance of Judges and Applicant Qualifications | Inc | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The Alaska Judicial Council depends on public involvement to perform its constitutional and statutory duties related to the selection and retention of judges. Until recent years, the Council had relied on a mixture of paid and non-paid advertising to involve and inform the public about these important governmental functions. Since advertising was removed from the Council's budget, it has relied solely on non-paid outreach. While the non-paid methods successfully reach some individuals, the absence of paid advertising has coincided with a dramatic drop off in involvement by members of the general public. Council members thus are deprived of information that could help them in their duties, and members of the public who might have wished to be involved are not heard. The effect that the requested increment would have in each of the Council's areas of responsibility is described below.

Soliciting public input on judicial applicants: The Alaska Judicial Council's longstanding procedures for evaluating applicants for judgeships include participation by members of the public. The most important tool for involving the public is an in-person hearing conducted in the town where the vacancy has occurred. At these hearings, members of the public comment on the qualifications of the applicants, and tell the Council members what qualities or characteristics are important to them for their local judge and what specific problems are facing their local justice system. Although the Council has continued to use non-paid methods of soliciting public input (such as posting notices on the state's on-line system and the Council's web site, issuing press releases, and asking local court staff to post notices in the courthouse and around town), the Council's inability to use paid advertising has depressed public participation in these hearings over the past two years. A portion of the requested increment would be used to publicize the hearing dates and times in local communities.

Soliciting public input on evaluation of judges standing for retention: Public comment is also integral to the Council's retention evaluation process, and it has been the Council's practice to conduct a statewide public hearing for the purpose of receiving public testimony on the performance of judges standing for retention. In 2014, the Council attempted to involve the public in its evaluation of judges standing for retention without paid advertising. Consistent with intent language in HB266, Council staff worked closely with the Legislative Information

Numbers and Language

| | Trans | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | ТМР |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------------|-----|
| Judicial Council (continued) Judicial Council (continued) FY2016 Partially Fund Outreach for Performance of Judges and Applicant Qualifications (continued) Office to publicize the statewide retention election hearing he memory, no members of the public testified on any of the fou was deprived of important information that members of the public A portion of the requested increment would be used to solicit evaluation process, and to publicize the date/time/purpose of stand for retention in 2016. | ld on June rteen judge iblic could who might public inpu | es standing for re have provided a have wished to ut throughout the | etention. The Co bout the qualific comment were i judicial perform | ouncil thus ations of not heard. nance | Services | <u>Commodities</u> | Outlay | Grants | Misc _ | PFT _ | <u>PPT</u> _ | TMP |
| Advertising of judicial performance evaluation and retention make informed decisions about whether judges should be ret publicize its evaluations of judges and its recommendations to the exhaustive process the Judicial Council uses to evaluate the Council's evaluation process will understand that they can themselves to make an informed vote. Alternatively, voters we Council's recommendations if they do not wish to review the increment would be used to publicize the availability of the Juliand the Judicial Council's recommendations to voters on all judicial commitment made by the Council's executive director during not use the increment to respond to opposition to a judge or judicial council is recommendations and the information upon winform the public about the evaluation process. | ained in of the voter judges sta n access th ill understa voluminous dicial Coul udges stan a prior legi ustice. Rat | ffice, the legislatu. s. It is important inding for retentione detailed evaluand that they can se evaluation matencil's evaluation matencil's evaluation islative session, ither, the Council | re requires the for voters to unon. Voters who unation materials access the Jurials themselve materials for all n. Consistent with Judicial Couwill use advertis | Council to derstand inderstand for licial is. The judges, th a incil will sing funds | | | | | | | | |
| Summary: The Alaska Judicial Council requests that the legi advertising funding so the Council can effectively obtain publi judges, and to insure that Alaskans are aware of the information they need to vote on the retention of judges. 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 5.0 | c input wh | en it evaluates ju | ıdicial applicant | s and | | | | | | | | |
| FY2016 Reduction Attributable to Unpaid Days Off | Dec | -4.7 | -4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -4.7 FY2016 Reduction in Contractual, and Supply Funding | Dec | -7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -2.0 | -5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -7.0 | DCC | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | O | O | O |
| FY2016 Delete Courtwatch Grant Funding 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -44.0 | Dec | -44.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -44.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY2017 Reductions Associated with Reduced Travel and Services | Dec | -28.5 | 0.0 | -15.0 | -13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In the aggregate, the Alaska Judicial Council's FY2017 fundin budget. The funding for personal services, travel, and contract reduction. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) -28.5 * Allocation Total * | - | 264.0 | 39.3 | 45.9 | 176.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1 | 1 | |
| * * Appropriation Total * * | | 264.0 | 39.3 | 45.9 | 176.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1 | 1 | 0 |

Numbers and Language

| | Trans Type | Total Expenditure | Personal Services | Travel | Services | Commodities | Capital Outlay | Grants | Misc | PFT | PPT | TMP |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unallocated Reduction Unallocated Reduction FY2006 Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public Employee | FisNot | 1,618.3 | 1,618.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salary & Benefit 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) 1,618.3 FY2006 reverse: Ch. 53, SLA 2005 (HB 98) Nonunion Public | FisNot | -1,618.3 | -1,618.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employee Salary & Benefit 1004 Gen Fund (UGF) * Allocation Total * | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | |
| * * Appropriation Total * * * * * Agency Total * * * * * All Agencies Total * * * | | 0.0 23,347.6 23,347.6 | 0.0 7,717.6 7,717.6 | 0.0 370.8 370.8 | 0.0 15,288.6 15,288.6 | 0.0 203.8 203.8 | 0.0 -183.2 -183.2 | 0.0 -100.0 -100.0 | 0.0 50.0 50.0 | 0 79 79 | 0 3 3 | 0 4 4 |

Column Definitions

| $\textbf{06-18IncDecF} \ (\textbf{06-18IncDecF} \ (\textbf{06-18IncDec} F \textbf{ndChs}) - [06Inc/Dec/F+07Inc/Dec/F+08Inc/Dec/F+09Inc/Dec/F+10Inc/Dec/F+11Inc/Dec/F+12Inc/Dec/F+13IncDecFnd+14IncDecFnd+15Inc/Dec/F+16Inc/Dec/F+16Inc/Dec/F+17IncD$ |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |