_	d Species Act - te Right to Man	•	esearch Ne	eeds to	FY2021 Req Reference N		\$4,000,000 43347
AP/AL: App	propriation			Project 7	Type: Research	/ Studies	/ Planning
• • •	Natural Resource	es		•	•		o o
Location: S				House D	istrict: Statewi	de (HD 1-4	0)
Impact Hou	ı se District : Sta	tewide (HD 1-	-40)		Eddie Grasser	•	- /
•	Project Dates: (•	,		Phone: (907)26		
This capital _l help the stat	rary and Statem project provides e to avoid federa This project ena FY2021 \$3,000,000 \$250,000	funds to fight al ESA regula	unnecessa tory burden	s and mai	ntain the state's	right to ma	anage fish
Total:	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000
✓ State Match	n Required	ne-Time Project	☐ Phased	- new	Phased - unde	rway 🛚 Oı	n-Going
	ım State Match % F	Required	☐ Amendr	ment	☐ Mental Health	Bill	-
Operating 9	Maintananaa	Conto			Λmo	unt	Stoff

Operating & Maintenance Costs:

	Amount	Staff
Project Development:	0	0
Ongoing Operating:	0	0
One-Time Startup:	0	
Totals:	0	0

Prior Funding History / Additional Information:

Sec1 Ch3 SLA2019 P4 L6 SB19 \$1,000,000 Sec1 Ch17 SLA2012 P116 L27 SB160 \$1,550,000 Sec7 Ch43 SLA2010 P26 L26 SB230 \$600,000 Sec4 Ch30 SLA2007 P93 L14 SB53 \$473,300

Project Description/Justification:

If unchecked, regulatory burdens will expand due to federal endangered species issues. This expansion will restrict states' rights and the ability of industry to operate in Alaska. For example, the federal government is or has considered designating almost all of the waters off Alaska as critical habitat for marine mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), which would constrain industrial and other activities despite little to no benefit to the listed marine mammals. For the State to successfully defend against existing and potential new, unnecessary regulations, funds are needed to adequately engage in the federal ESA process, from supporting legal challenges to ensuring scientific information is available to make informed decisions and to support successful legal arguments. Legal engagement, along with supporting scientific data, are effective in limiting regulatory impacts from federal ESA listings. Inadequate information typically results in stronger

Endangered Species Act - Legal and Research Needs to Protect State Right to Manage

FY2021 Request: Reference No:

\$4,000,000 43347

regulatory protection measures, which in many instances may provide little to no conservation benefit to the species.

This project will enable critical research to inform ESA decisions and to support legal engagement when actions on ESA-listed or candidate species are implemented based on faulty application of federal regulations. Research projects will be pursued to:

- 1. Inform listing decision for species likely to be petitioned for listing under the ESA, for example, northern fur seals.
- 2. Obtain information to minimize unnecessary burdens on resource development, subsistence, and other activities; and
- 3. Focus ESA recovery actions on activities that increase population size and result in recovery and delisting.

Legal engagement would include activities such as drafting delisting petitions and joining selected lawsuits, such as the recent challenge to the critical designation of unoccupied habitat. The department needs to have the flexibility to address issues as they arise.

Activities Exemplifying Legal Engagement

This project would allow the Alaska Department of Law to cooperate with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, to provide legal support to actively challenge unwarranted ESA listing decisions and other ESA actions, thereby avoiding unnecessary regulations that may impede economic and other activities. Legal engagement activities include the following:

- successful delisting of the Eastern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of Steller sealions:
- the pending delisting petition for the Arctic subspecies of ringed seals;
- previous and potential future involvement in litigation challenging the issuance of incidental take permits for Cook Inlet beluga whales;
- work that helped USFWS conclude that yellow cedar was not warranted for listing; and
- potential future challenges to unsupported ESA listing or other actions.

_	d Species Act - te Right to Man	•	esearch Ne	eds to	FY2021 Re Reference	•	\$4,000,000 43347
AP/AL: App	ropriation			Project 1	ype: Researd	ch / Studies /	/ Planning
Category: N	Natural Resource	es		-			_
Location: S	Statewide			House D	i strict : Statev	vide (HD 1-4	0)
Impact Hou	ı se District : Sta	tewide (HD 1-	40)		Eddie Grasse	•	,
•	Project Dates: (`	,		Phone: (907)		
This capital _l help the stat	project provides e to avoid federa This project ena FY2021 \$3,000,000 \$250,000	funds to fight al ESA regulat	ory burdens	and mair	ntain the state	's right to ma	anage fish
Total:	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000
State Match 25% = Minimu	h Required □ Or um State Match % F	ne-Time Project Required	☐ Phased ☐ Amendn		Phased - und Mental Heal	•	ngoing
Operating 8	k Maintenance (Costs:			Am	ount	Staff

operating a maintenance costs.

	Amount	Staff
Project Development:	0	0
Ongoing Operating:	0	0
One-Time Startup:	0	
Totals:	0	0

Prior Funding History / Additional Information:

Sec1 Ch3 SLA2019 P4 L6 SB19 \$1,000,000 Sec1 Ch17 SLA2012 P116 L27 SB160 \$1,550,000 Sec7 Ch43 SLA2010 P26 L26 SB230 \$600,000 Sec4 Ch30 SLA2007 P93 L14 SB53 \$473,300

Project Description/Justification:

If unchecked, regulatory burdens will expand due to federal endangered species issues. This expansion will restrict states' rights and the ability of industry to operate in Alaska. For example, the federal government is or has considered designating almost all of the waters off Alaska as critical habitat for marine mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), which would constrain industrial and other activities despite little to no benefit to the listed marine mammals. For the State to successfully defend against existing and potential new, unnecessary regulations, funds are needed to adequately engage in the federal ESA process, from supporting legal challenges to ensuring scientific information is available to make informed decisions and to support successful legal arguments. Legal engagement, along with supporting scientific data, are effective in limiting regulatory impacts from federal ESA listings. Inadequate information typically results in stronger

Endangered Species Act - Legal and Research Needs to Protect State Right to Manage

FY2021 Request: Reference No:

\$4,000,000 43347

regulatory protection measures, which in many instances may provide little to no conservation benefit to the species.

This project will enable critical research to inform ESA decisions and to support legal engagement when actions on ESA-listed or candidate species are implemented based on faulty application of federal regulations. Research projects will be pursued to:

- 1. Inform listing decision for species likely to be petitioned for listing under the ESA, for example, northern fur seals.
- 2. Obtain information to minimize unnecessary burdens on resource development, subsistence, and other activities; and
- 3. Focus ESA recovery actions on activities that increase population size and result in recovery and delisting.

Legal engagement would include activities such as drafting delisting petitions and joining selected lawsuits, such as the recent challenge to the critical designation of unoccupied habitat. The department needs to have the flexibility to address issues as they arise.

Activities Exemplifying Legal Engagement

This project would allow the Alaska Department of Law to cooperate with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, to provide legal support to actively challenge unwarranted ESA listing decisions and other ESA actions, thereby avoiding unnecessary regulations that may impede economic and other activities. Legal engagement activities include the following:

- successful delisting of the Eastern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of Steller sealions;
- the pending delisting petition for the Arctic subspecies of ringed seals;
- previous and potential future involvement in litigation challenging the issuance of incidental take permits for Cook Inlet beluga whales;
- work that helped USFWS conclude that yellow cedar was not warranted for listing; and
- potential future challenges to unsupported ESA listing or other actions.